

Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP
Barristers and Solicitors
Patent and Trade-mark Agents

333 Bay Street, Suite 2400
Bay Adelaide Centre, Box 20
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5H 2T6

416 366 8381 Telephone
416 364 7813 Facsimile
1 800 268 8424 Toll free

RECEIVED

NOV - 7 2013

CITY OF TORONTO
CITY CLERK

4042

www.fasken.com

FASKEN
MARTINEAU



P. Martin Emmons
Direct: 416 868 3473
memmons@fasken.com

November 6, 2013

DELIVERED

City of Toronto
Toronto City Hall
12th Floor East
100 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2N2

Attention: Ulli S. Watkiss, City Clerk

AND TO:

City of Toronto
City Planning Division,
Toronto City Hall
12th Floor East
100 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2N2

Attention: Jennifer Keesmaat, Chief Planner and Executive Director

Dear Sir/Madame:

Subject: Official Plan/Municipal Comprehensive Review - Draft Policies and Designations for Employment

We are solicitors for Woodbine Entertainment Group (“**Woodbine**”), the owner of the property known municipally as 555 Rexdale Blvd. (the “**Property**”). Woodbine has operated a race track and associated stables at the Property since 1956, and since March, 2000, the Ontario Lottery and Gaming Corporation has operated slot machines at the Property.

As we advised you in our letter dated November 12, 2012 and a subsequent letter dated December 11, 2012 addressed to the attention of Paul Bain, Project Manager, Official Plan Team, Woodbine has serious concerns with the Planning Division’s proposal to amend the Official Plan policies applicable to the Property to designate the majority of the Property, including the portion operated as a race track and for slot machines, as Core

DM_TOR/208958.00278/6707935.1

Employment, with the westerly and north-westerly portion designated General Employment and the north-easterly corner designated Retail Employment. Such concerns arise from the fact that the uses permitted in the Core Employment designation do not permit or resemble the uses that have been carried on at the Woodbine Property for over 50 years. In Woodbine's view, it is not good planning to apply a designation to the Property that does not reflect the uses carried on at the Property and permitted under Site Specific Exceptions to the Official Plan. The Property and its uses have been and continue to be a "destination" large-scale use that is not typical and which should be reflected in the Official Plan designations applicable to the Property.

In summary, Woodbine does not support the proposal to designate its Property as Core Employment.

Please provide us with copies of the City's proposals arising from this Official Plan/Comprehensive Review when they are issued. Please also provide us with written notice of any meetings of Council or any committee of Council at which those proposed policies are to be considered.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Yours truly,



P. Martin Emmons
PME/AD

c.c. Bill Ford
Garth Essery
Peter Walker
Paul Bain