Attachment 2

Contractor Performance Evaluation Pairwise Matrix

1 slightly more important

2 more important

Contractor Performance Evaluation Pairwise Matrix	2 more important													
		3	3 much	n more	impo	ortant					TOTALS	subweight	total weight	%
SAFETY & COMPLIANCE - Laws & Standards										25%				25%
		Α	В	С										
Did the contractor comply with OHSA requirements?	Α		А	Α						А	2	50%	0.125	13%
Did the contractor adhere to environmental, (non-OHSA) safety requirements, and other laws & policies?	В		100	BC						В	1		0.063	6%
Did the contractor take adequate precautions with any hazardous materials and designated substances?	С	1						1		С	1	25%	0.063	6%
											4	1	0.250	
QUALITY - Compliance with Contract Standards & Specifications	W HELD		2000	48.11	100		No.		100	25%	1			25%
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY		Δ	В	С					4		1			20 70
Did the contractor comply with standards and specifications in the contract?	А	//	AB	A						Α	2	40%	0.100	10%
Was the quality and workmanship in compliance with the contract documents?	В		1,10	BC	_					В	2		0.100	10%
Did the contractor promptly & effectively correct defective work as the project progressed?	C									C	1		0.050	5%
and all some action promptly a should be determined and project progression.										O	5	_		3 /0
ORCANIZATION Work Plan and Management	100									10 501	_	1	0.250	
ORGANIZATION - Work Plan and Management										12.5%				12.5%
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	l l				
Did the contractor submit a satisfactory baseline schedule in compliance with the contract?	Α	-	AB	AC	D	E	F	Α	Α	Al	5		0.012	1.2%
Did the contractor commence the work on time?	В			В	BD	BE	BF	В	В	BI	8		0.019	1.9%
Did the contractor submit schedule updates in accordance with the contract?	С				D	E	F	CG	СН	1	3		0.007	0.7%
Did the contractor adequately staff and resource the project in compliance with the contract?	D					DE	DF	D	D	DI	8		0.019	1.9%
Did the contractor provide adequate & competent site supervision?	E						EF	E	Е	EI	8		0.019	1.9%
Did the contractor effectively coordinate and manage the work of its subcontractors?	F							F	F	FI	8	15%	0.019	1.9%
Did a person with decision-making authority represent the contractor at pay/progress meetings?	G	-							GH	1	2		0.005	0.5%
Did the contractor submit timely, relevant requests for information (RFIs) as needed?	Н	2								I	2	4%	0.005	0.5%
Were shop drawings submitted according to shop drawing schedule and in compliance with the contract?	1	-3									8	15%	0.019	1.9%
				et illandication							52	1	0.125	
EXECUTION - Work Performance				3616			A LIVE		1 1	25%				25%
		А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н		1			
Did the contractor complete the project on time?	А	O Table Com	A	AC	A	A	A	A	A	А	7	20%	0.050	5%
Did the contractor follow the approved schedule and meet milestones?	В			С	В	BE	F	BG	ВН	В	4	11%	0.029	3%
Did the contractor provide effective quality control?	C	-35		02000	C	CE	C	C	CH	C	7	20%	0.050	5%
Did the contractor keep the site clean and free of trash and debris in compliance with the contract?	D					DE	F	DG	DH	D	3		0.030	2.1%
Did the contractor promptly comply with change orders, change directives, site instructions, and RFQs?	E					LDL	EF	EG	EH	E	6		0.021	4%
Did the contractor seek authorization to perform extra or additional work?	F							FG	F	E	5		0.043	3.6%
Did the contractor adequately address disputes, damages and claims with third parties to City PM's knowledge?	G							LIG	GH	G	5		0.036	4%
Was the quality and submission timelines of the following items acceptable?	Н								OII	Н	5		n/a	4 70
Look ahead schedules or work plans	11			a de la compansión de l										0.00/
Accurate and complete record documents (as-builts)	+-										35		0.0060	0.6%
	_		_									2%	0.0060	0.6%
The second secon	+-											2%	0.0060	0.6%
	_			-								2%	0.0060	0.6%
Startup testing and commissioning reports Training plan and manuals	+-								2772			2%	0.0060	0.6%
Training plan and manuals	+											2%	0.0060	0.6%
ADMINISTRATION OF LAW D. C. LAW D. C												1	0.250	
ADMINISTRATION - Contractor Performance and Diligence	100			SSHI						12.5%				12.5%
		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G						
Did the contractor communicate, cooperate, collaborate with the contract administrator, project team & stakeholders?	А		А	AC	Α	Α	AF	AG		А	6	19%	0.023	2.3%
Did the contractor participate in resolving project problems and display initiative to implement solutions?	В			С	BD	В	F	BG		В	3	9%	0.012	1%
Did the contractor demonstrate accountability for problems for which they where responsible?	С				С	CE	CF	CG		С	6	19%	0.023	2%
Did the contractor submit accurate, complete invoices in a timely manner?	D					DE	F	G		D	2	6%	0.008	0.8%
Did the contractor provide competitive change order pricing?	E						F	EG		Е	3	9%	0.012	1%
Did the contractor accept responsibility for the full scope and extent of the contract?	F							FG		F	6	19%	0.023	2%
Did the contractor coordinate to minimize disruption to the public and City operations?	G									G	6	19%	0.023	2%
											32	1	0.125	
OVERALL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	THE PLAN				100		THE	1000		100%				100%
		А	В	С	D	Е	بالماك			.0070		9		.0070
SAFETY & COMPLIANCE - Laws & Standards	Α		AB	A	AD	A				Α	4	25%	0.250	250/
QUALITY - Compliance with Contract Standards & Specifications	В			В	BD	В				В	4		0.250 0.250	25% 25%
ORGANIZATION - Work Plan and Management	С				CD	CE				С	2		0.250	13%
EXECUTION - Work Performance	D				OD	DE	-			D	4		0.125	25%
ADMINISTRATION - Contractor Performance and Diligence	E									E	2		0.250	13%
										-	16		0.120	10 /0
											10	1		