May 28, 2014

via email: pgmc@toronto.ca

Planning and Growth Management Committee
c/o Nancy Martins, Administrator
City of Toronto
100 Queen Street West
10th Floor West
Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

Dear Members of Planning and Growth Management Committee:

Re: Appeal of Zoning By-law 569-2013
City-wide Zoning Bylaw Amendments that Address Particular Appeals
Agenda Item: PG33.5

We are the lawyers for the Governing Council of the University of Toronto (the "University"). The University has previously raised issues and concerns with Zoning By-Law 569-2013 ("Zoning By-law"), and appealed the Zoning By-law pursuant to section 34(19) of the Planning Act on June 4, 2013. The University and City staff have engaged in continued discussions since that time to seek to address the concerns found in the University's appeal.

The University supports several amendments contained in the May 2, 2014 Report from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning (the "Report") to be presented to the Planning and Growth Management Committee at its meeting on May 29, 2014. Specifically, the University is supportive of the amendments found at sections 1(b), 12, and 13 in Attachment 1 to the Report. The University would like to thank City staff for working with the University to address these issues in the University's appeal of the Zoning By-law.

However, the University is concerned with the proposed changes to the bicycle parking requirements for Post-Secondary School uses in the revised Table 230.5.10.1(1), at Section 24 of Attachment 1 to the Report. Bicycle parking is already provided for within the University of Toronto Area on a communal basis, rather than per individual building, in recognition that students and faculty move from building to building. These spaces are also intended to serve the surrounding University buildings used as Post-Secondary Schools. While the University is very supportive of encouraging bicycle use and provides numerous opportunities on campus for bicycle parking, these revised bicycle parking standards for Post-Secondary Schools are still inappropriate in this context and it may not be possible for the University to provide bicycle parking for each building at the standards proposed, despite the lower rates proposed by the City in this amendment.

Therefore, the University continues to request that the provisions of 230.5.10.1(1) not apply to existing buildings or structures, or portions thereof, operated by the University of Toronto for
Post-Secondary Schools purposes, as described in our correspondence, dated November 28, 2013.

All other issues in the University's appeal remain outstanding, and the University looks forward to continuing to work with City staff in the hopes that further resolution may be achieved.

Yours truly,
Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP

Signe Leisk
Enclosures

cc: Client