

Loss of Toronto Pooling Compensation for Social Housing - Budget Strategy



Social Housing - Ontario

Toronto provides 90% of the public housing in the GTA and 37% of the total social housing in the Province, but comprises only approximately 20% of the Province's population.

| | Tavanta | CTA | Ontorio | Toronto % | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | Toronto | GTA | Ontario | GTA | Ontario |
| Population (2011) | 2,615,060 | 6,054,191 | 12,851,191 | 43.2% | 20.3% |
| Public Housing units | 43,869 | 48,434 | 99,331 | 90.6% | 44.2% |
| Other units (Non-Profits /Co-ops) | 51,797 | 77,842 | 157,429 | 66.5% | 32.9% |
| Total units | 95,666 | 126,276 | 256,760 | 75.8% | 37.3% |

Provincial Funding (Toronto Pooling Compensation) Reduction

| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Original/2008 TPC Commitment \$M | 149.3 | 142.5 | 135.6 | 128.8 | 121.9 | 115.1 |
| Revised Plan \$M | 149.3 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cumulative Impact \$M | 0 | (42.5) | (85.6) | (128.8) | (121.9) | (115.1) |
| Cumulative Property tax impact % | | 1.8% | 3.6% | 5.4% | | |
| Incremental Impact \$M | | (42.5) | (43.1) | (43.2) | | |
| Incremental Property Tax Impact (original 2013) % | | 1.8% | 1.8% | 1.8% | | |
| Incremental Property Tax Impact (updated 2015) % | | 0.0% | 3.4% | 1.7% | | |



Proposed Strategy

- Defer/shift a portion of the funding loss to future years
 - Temporarily reduce capital from current in operating budget
 - Replace capital funding with new short term capital borrowing facility
 - Phase-in budgetary adjustments to replace terminated funding, restore CFC and repay borrowing



Provincial Offer

- Short term capital line of credit
 - four year borrowing facility
 - Six year repayment requirement
 - Commercial (market) rates
 - Secured by designated real estate assets, gas tax clawback
 - Early repayment from City surpluses
 - Regulatory changes required



City In-house Approach

- Use flexibility of bank loan (borrowing, repayment schedule flexibility, no collateral) to fund capital
- Limit budgetary phase-in period (4-6 years)
- Limit debt repayment term (up to 6 years)
- Target budget impacts to less than 2% each year
- Begin adjustments in 2015



Summary

- Following review of both options, staff propose the inhouse approach, including:
 - Temporary reduction of capital from current
 - New short term capital financing mechanism (bank loan)
 - Budget phase-in options, and
 - Budgetary adjustments for the 2015 operating budget to eliminate any potential 2015 tax impact
- Report details to Feb. 13 Budget Committee



Thank You





