

Patrick J. Devine patrick.devine@devinepark.com D 416.645.4570

> Devine Park LLP 250 Yonge St., Suite 2302 P.O. Box. 65 Toronto ON M5B 2L7

> > T 416.645.4584 F 416.645.4569

Matter No. D204-01

September 28, 2016

DELIVERED BY EMAIL AND COURIER

Mayor and Members of Council c/o City Clerk's Office City of Toronto 13th Floor, West Tower, City Hall 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M4H 2N2

Attention: Ms. Ulli S. Watkiss,

City Clerk

Dear Ms. Watkiss:

RE: City-Initiated Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law

TOcore: Updating Tall Buildings Setbacks in the Downtown

City File No: 16-103066 SPS 00 OZ

Item No. TE18.7 to be considered by City Council on October 5, 2016

Duration Investments Ltd.

Please be advised that we are the solicitors for Duration Investments Ltd., the owner of the lands municipally known as 308-314 Jarvis Street and 225 Mutual Street in the City of Toronto (the "**Property**").

We have reviewed the Final Report and Supplementary Report of the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District dated May 27, 2016 and August 31, 2016, respectively. On behalf of our client, we are writing to express our client's objections to the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments (collectively, the "**Proposed Amendments**") with respect to the Property.

Background

On behalf of the owner, we submitted an application to the City to amend the applicable zoning for the Property, being City of Toronto By-law 438-86, as amended (the "Rezoning Application"). On December 30, 2014, the Rezoning Application was appealed to the Ontario Municipal Board pursuant to subsection 34(11) of the *Planning Act*.

To date, there have been three pre-hearings for this matter. A 10-day hearing has been scheduled commencing on March 20, 2017.

Objections

Our client's primary objection to the Proposed Amendments is with respect to the transition provisions as currently drafted. Currently, the transition provisions apply to a list of identified Site-specific by-laws that would prevail over the provisions of the proposed Zoning By-law Amendments and all towers constructed pursuant to a building permit issued prior to October 4, 2016. There are no transition provisions with respect to sites with development applications under review by the City or development applications that are the subject of appeals before the Ontario Municipal Board, such as the current appeal in respect of the Property.

It is well-settled law that development applications must be reviewed and considered according to the policy and regulatory regimes in force and effect at the time of submission. Accordingly, it is inappropriate to require that existing applications and appeals, adhere to new policies and regulations. This is particularly pertinent to the current appeal in respect of the Property, which is very far along in the development process and the Ontario Municipal Board process.

We respectfully encourage Council to ensure that appropriate transition provisions be incorporated within the Proposed Amendments to ensure that landowners may continue to rely on the policies and regulations in force and effect at the time of submission, consistent with the established law.

We submit that proposed transition provisions would be clearer and better served by exempting specific properties in addition to, or alternatively, instead of, the exemption of site-specific by-laws. In this regard, we specifically request that the Property be exempted from the Proposed Amendments.

In addition to the specific objection with respect to the lack of appropriate transition provisions noted above, our client is generally concerned with the Proposed Amendments and its impact on planning in the *Downtown* and *Central Waterfront*. The Proposed Amendments, and the lack of flexibility therein, do not take into account the unique context of various area and specific sites within the *Downtown* and *Central Waterfront* which may warrant reduced setbacks. We submit that this "one-size-fits-all" approach is inappropriate given the varied contexts of all sites to which the Proposed Amendments apply.

Please accept this letter as notice of our client's objections to the Proposed Amendments. We respectfully request that we be notified of any further actions or decisions made by City Council respecting the above-noted Proposed Amendments.

If you have any questions or concerns about the matters discussed above, please contact the undersigned.

Yours very truly,

Devine Park LLP

Patrick J. Devine

PJD/SHL

cc: Duration Investments Ltd.

Potrid. J. Deme