

June 13, 2016

DELIVERED BY E-MAIL AND COURIER

City Clerk's Office
Toronto and East York Community Council
City Hall, 2nd Floor
100 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2N2

Attn: Ellen Devlin, Administrator, Toronto and East York Community Council

Dear Ms. Devlin:

Re: City-Initiated Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Nos. 438-86 and 569-2013

We are solicitors for a major real estate owner, developer and manager of property in the City of Toronto ("Canderel"), including properties known municipally as 1075 Bay Street and 777 Bay Street (the "Properties"). The Properties are within the area to which the above-noted proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments (the "Proposed Amendments"), if enacted, would apply. Among other things, the Proposed Amendments take setback standards that currently reside in a set of guidelines (the "Guidelines") and import them into the City's zoning by-laws, with some modifications.

We write to express our client's concerns regarding the Proposed Amendments and request that Community Council defer its consideration of this matter.

In our client's view, Community Council's consideration of the Proposed Amendments is premature at this time. The Proposed Amendments would, if adopted, have significant implications for all tall building development sites in the *Downtown* area. Further, based on the information currently available, it is our client's view that the Proposed Amendments would introduce unnecessary rigidity into downtown Toronto's planning framework. Building strong, healthy communities in downtown Toronto requires a flexible approach that recognizes the unique characteristics of each site. Maintaining the setback standards in the Guidelines is the best way to ensure that planning for tall buildings appropriately accounts for site-specific considerations.

Goodmans^{LLP}

Despite the significant impact of the Proposed Amendments, they have not been made available to our client for detailed review. Specifically, while the proposed Official Plan amendments have been available for some time, the accompanying zoning by-law amendments that are designed to implement these Official Plan policies were only made available days before the scheduled public meeting. With such limited access to all of the amendments under consideration, our client has not had a meaningful opportunity to understand the full extent of their implications. Likewise, the City has not had an opportunity to engage in meaningful consultation on the content of the proposed zoning by-law amendments. In these circumstances, deferring consideration of the Proposed Amendments is the most appropriate course. Accordingly, on behalf of our client, we hereby object to the Proposed Amendments and request that Community Council defer its consideration of this matter.

We would appreciate receiving notice of any decision regarding the Proposed Amendments.

Yours very truly,

GOODMANS LLP



For
David Bronskill
DJB/jbh

6581883