Hope you will consider 2 questions posed to you, and the consequence of your decision.

1. If you keep scrapping bike lanes, Jarvis was the 1st one, if cycling traffic is scant at first, can you imagine the blessing that would have been using the same feeble argument, when drivers were just as scant when the Ford Model T came out in the Market? No, because industries dictated to disregard the initial costs of paving roads & highways. And look at the mayhem we are in today, choking in air pollution, and most unsafe traffic condition leaving a carnage of maimed and killed pedestrians & cyclists in its wake.

2. Have you thoughtfully considered the conflict and imprudence of Vision Zero when simultaneously promote and prioritize auto traffic? You may placate the masses successfully, but I hope you will consider the ill judged and futile conflicting repercussions to act accordingly in appreciation of this ineffectual situation. **We can not have antithetical projects and expect competent results.**

Thank you. These 2 questions I wanted to bring up for your consideration.