McCarthy Tétrault LLP PO Box 48, Suite 5300 Toronto-Dominion Bank Tower Toronto ON M5K 1E6 Canada Tel: 416-362-1812 Fax: 416-868-0673

John A.R. Dawson Counsel Direct Line: (416) 601-8300 Direct Fax: (416) 868-0673 Email: jdawson@mccarthy.ca

Assistant: Chiu, Stephanie Ying Hui Direct Line: (416) 601-7863

April 3, 2017

mccarthy tetrault

Via Email and Courier

Etobicoke York Community Council Etobicoke Civic Centre Main floor, 399 The West Mall Toronto, ON M9C 2Y2

Chair and Members of Community Council

Re: Reference No. 16 269597 WET 13 111 Pacific Avenue, 255 Glenlake Avenue and 66 Oakmount Road (the "Property") Agenda Item EY21.5 (the "Preliminary Report")

We are solicitors for Minto Properties Inc. ("Minto"), the applicant in the above-noted matter. Minto appreciates the Recommendations in the Preliminary Report respecting a community consultation meeting and the public meeting.

However, Minto is concerned with Recommendations 4 and 5 respecting a formal "area-based character study" for a broader area which includes the Property. Minto has filed a comprehensive and detailed application respecting the Property, including an assessment of the broader area (the "Application"). Thus the consideration of the Application in the existing policy and guideline framework will account for the context of the surrounding precinct. The circumstance of the Application, and in fact of any proposed development in the precinct, is not one of wholesale demolition and redevelopment, but of targeted infill where existing rental apartment buildings and the existing public streets have effectively established fixed parameters. Thus, we submit that it is not helpful to either delay or pre-empt the finely grained review anticipated by the Application by an area study which is inevitably more generic in nature.

As was noted in the Preliminary Report, Minto has undertaken a significant pre-consultation process with City Staff, residents of the Property and the residents of the broader community surrounding the Property. The Application includes revisions to address the feedback received through that process. Minto intends to continue to devote resources for the purpose of facilitating a dialogue on the issues raised by the affected stakeholders respecting the Application, and continues to look to the City for direction in this regard. However, we submit that the dialogue should be specific, not generic. There are ultimately a limited number of infill opportunities in the precinct and what is appropriate in one specific circumstance does not establish what is appropriate in a different circumstance.



Accordingly, we would respectfully suggest that Recommendations 4 and 5 should be deleted. Alternatively, should the Committee determine that there is value in an area study for purposes other than for the assessment of the Application then we would request that Recommendation 5 be amended to delete all the words following the date "2018".

Should you have any questions with respect to the foregoing, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours truly,

John A.R. Dawson

JARD:sc

211541/445104 MT DOCS 16530169v1