Attachment 7: TSMP Alternative Evaluation Summaries

Note: The evaluations and evaluation summaries have been updated since the November 2015 consultation as a result of addressing stakeholder and agency comments.

Sub Area 1: Broadview Extension

DBJEC	CTIVES AND CRITERIA	1-A.	1-B.1	1-B.2	1-C.1	1-D.1	1-D.2
+ 94	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•		•	•	
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•		•	•	
VTING AN Dynamic	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.	•					
CREA	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•	•			•	
LANDS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•			•		
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Redundancy in the network.	\bullet	•		•	•	•
	Existing physical barriers.						
CONNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•					
	Cultural heritage resources.		•			•	
ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.			•			
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•		•			•
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.	•		•	•		
	Visual connections.	•					•
	Complete street principles and street character.	•					
High Qu Realn	Cycling routes.	•				•	
-OP A F DIBLIC	Place-making opportunities.	•					
DEVE	Health and safety.			•			
ABLE	Opportunities for innovation.	•	•		•	•	
USTAIN	Transit accommodation.	•				•	
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY LEVERAGE ASSETS FUTURE OF THE CITY PUBLIC REALM LEVERAGE ASSETS	Flood risk potential.						
BUTE T FUTURE	Noise and air quality.	•	•	•	-	•	•
CONTR	Resiliency and climate change.	•				•	
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•	•	•	
NOIL	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	•		•	•	•	•
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.						
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.						
	Property acquisition costs.	•			•		
	Maintenance and operations.	•					
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•			•		

Sub Area 2: Alternatives East of Carlaw and West of Leslie

BJEC	TIVES AND CRITERIA	Do Nothing	2-A	2-B	2-C	2-D.1	2-D.2	2-E
+ 9	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.							•
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOY A HIGH UUALLITY LEVERAGE ASSETS CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOY A HIGH UUALLITY LEVERAGE ASSETS TO THE CITY DYNAMIC URBAN MIX FUTURE OF THE CITY PUBLIC REALM	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	\bullet						
ing an (NAMIC	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.		•	•		•	•	•
DI	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.		•				•	
CUNA	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.		•		•	•	•	•
	Redundancy in the network.							
	Existing physical barriers.		•					•
CUNNEL	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.		•	•	•			•
	Cultural heritage resources.	•	•					
SSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.							
RAGE A	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•	•	•	•	•	•	
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.							
	Visual connections.		•					•
	Complete street principles and street character.						•	
REALM	Cycling routes.		•	-				
UBLIC	Place-making opportunities.				•			
	Health and safety.		•	•				
ADLE	Opportunities for innovation.							
	Transit accommodation.							
	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FUTUR	Noise and air quality.		•	•	•	•	•	•
	Resiliency and climate change.							
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.			•	•	•	•	•
NOI	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MENTA	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.		•	•	•	•		-
CITY	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.							
	Property acquisition costs.		•			•		
	Maintenance and operations.		•		•			•
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE		•					

Sub Area 3: Ship Channel Connections

)BJE(CTIVES AND CRITERIA	3-A.	3-B.	3-C.	3-D.
+	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use				
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	communities and employment areas. Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•	•	•
NG AN Namic	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.				
CREATI	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•			•
ANUS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•	•		
PORT L	Redundancy in the network.				
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Existing physical barriers.	•			
CONNEC	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•		•	•
	Cultural heritage resources.	•	•	•	•
LEVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	•	•	•
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•			•
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.	•	•	•	
	Visual connections.				
VLITY	Complete street principles and street character.	•			•
gh Qu <i>r</i> Realm	Cycling routes.				
P A HI	Place-making opportunities.				
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY Public realm	Health and safety.	•			
ABLE	Opportunities for innovation.	•			
JSTAINABLE City	Transit accommodation.	•			
OTHE S OF THE	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA	NA	NA
BUTE TO	Noise and air quality.				
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUS Future of the C	Resiliency and climate change.		•		
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•	•
NOIT	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	•			
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•	•		
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.			•	
	Property acquisition costs.	•		•	•
	Maintenance and operations.	•			
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	•		

Sub Area 4: Eastern Avenue

BJE	CTIVES AND CRITERIA	4-A.1	4-A.2	4-A.3
+ 9	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•	•
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•	•
TING AN	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.	•	•	
CREAL	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•		•
	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•	•	•
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Redundancy in the network.	•		•
	Existing physical barriers.	NA	NA	NA
CUNNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•	•	•
	Cultural heritage resources.	•		
LEVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	•	•
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.			
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.	•		•
	Visual connections.	•	•	•
ALITY	Complete street principles and street character.	•		
IGH UU REALM	Cycling routes.			
up a h Ublic	Place-making opportunities.			
UE VEL	Health and safety.		•	
WABLE	Opportunities for innovation.	•		•
E SUSTAINABLE DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY The City Public Realm	Transit accommodation.	•		•
	Flood risk potential.			
BUTE T	Noise and air quality.	•	•	•
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUS Future of the CI	Resiliency and climate change.	•		
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	٠
TION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.			
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•	•	-
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.		•	•
	Property acquisition costs.			
	Maintenance and operations.		•	•
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	•	

Sub Area 4: Mid-Block Connections

)BJE(CTIVES AND CRITERIA	4-B.1	4-B.2
+	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use		
ESTING - N MIX	communities and employment areas.		
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	
VING A	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.		
CREA	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•	
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the City	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	\bullet	•
	Redundancy in the network.	\bullet	
	Existing physical barriers.		
CONNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•	•
	Cultural heritage resources.		
EVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	
RAGE	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	NA	NA
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.		
	Visual connections.	•	
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY Public realm	Complete street principles and street character.	•	
igh Qu Realn	Cycling routes.		
OP A H UBLIC	Place-making opportunities.	•	
DEVEL	Health and safety.	•	
USTAINABLE City	Opportunities for innovation.	•	•
sustain e city	Transit accommodation.	\bullet	•
	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA
BUTE T FUTURE	Noise and air quality.		
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUS Future of the C	Resiliency and climate change.		
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning		
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	\bullet	
VIION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	•	•
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•	
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.		
	Property acquisition costs.	•	•
	Maintenance and operations.	•	•
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	

Sub Area 5: East-West Connections Between Lake Shore and The Ship Channel

BJE(CTIVES AND CRITERIA	5-A.	5-B.1	5-B.2	5-C.1	5-C.2	5-D.
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•		•	•	
	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•	•	•	•	
ring an Ynamii	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.	•			•		
CREA	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.		•	•	•		
LANDS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•			•	•	
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Redundancy in the network.	•			•	•	
	Existing physical barriers.						
CONNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•	•	•	•	•	
	Cultural heritage resources.		•				•
EVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.						
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•					
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.						
	Visual connections.			•	•		
	Complete street principles and street character.	•					
igh uu Realm	Cycling routes.	•				•	
OP A H UBLIC	Place-making opportunities.	•			•		
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC REALM	Health and safety.						
STAINABLE City	Opportunities for innovation.	•	•		•	•	
USTAIN	Transit accommodation.						
	Flood risk potential.	•					
BUTE TI	Noise and air quality.	•					
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUS Future of the C	Resiliency and climate change.			•			
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•		•	•	
TION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	•					
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.						•
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.	•			-		•
	Property acquisition costs.				•		
	Maintenance and operations.						
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•					

Sub Area 6: Unwin Avenue

BJE(CTIVES AND CRITERIA	6-A.	6-B.	6-C.
+	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•	
FUTURE OF THE CITY PUBLIC REALM LEVERAGE ASSETS CUNNECT THE FUCK LANUS CREATING AN INTERCEDING + DUBLIC REALM LEVERAGE ASSETS TO THE CITY DYNAMIC URBAN MIX	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•	•
VNAMIC VNAMIC	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.	•	•	
	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•	•	
LANDO	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•		
	Redundancy in the network.	•	•	•
	Existing physical barriers.			•
CUNNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•		
	Cultural heritage resources.	•	•	•
ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.		•	•
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•		
LEVER	Compatibility with the natural environment.	•		
	Visual connections.	•		
	Complete street principles and street character.		•	
REALN	Cycling routes.	•		
UBLIC	Place-making opportunities.	•	•	
	Health and safety.	•		
MADLE	Opportunities for innovation.		•	
ECITY	Transit accommodation.	•		
	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA	NA
FUTURE	Noise and air quality.			
	Resiliency and climate change.		•	
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•
NOIL	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	NA	NA	NA
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•	•	•
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.		•	•
	Property acquisition costs.	•	•	•
	Maintenance and operations.		•	•
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	•	

North-South Connection East of Leslie

OBJEC	CTIVES AND CRITERIA	KNOX AVENUE	WOODFIELD ROAD
+ 9Ni	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•
TING AN Ynamii	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.	•	•
CREA	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	rant mixed use mployment areas. to support the anticipated inimizing rights-of-way ighbourhoods. and industry and w businesses and industry. Port Lands with the South the rest of the city. Port Lands with the South the rest of the city. Sources. Port Lands with the South the rest of the city. Port Toronto/TRCA Port of Toront of TRCA Port of Toront of TRCA Port of the rest of the city. Port of the rest of the	•
LANDS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•	•
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Redundancy in the network.	•	
	Existing physical barriers.		
CONNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	NA	NA
	Cultural heritage resources.		
EVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	•
RAGE	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•	
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.		
	Visual connections.	NA	NA
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY PUBLIC REALM	Complete street principles and street character.	•	•
HIGH O	Cycling routes.	•	
LOP A HIGH QU/ Public realm	Place-making opportunities.	NA	NA
DEVE	Health and safety.		
iustainable E city	Opportunities for innovation.	NA	NA
SUSTAII E CITY	Transit accommodation.	NA	NA
0 THE (OF TH	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA
IBUTE T FUTURE	Noise and air quality.		
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SU Future of the	Resiliency and climate change.	•	
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	٠	•
ATION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	NA	NA
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.		
IMPL	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.		•
	Property acquisition costs.	•	
	Maintenance and operations.	NA	NA
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	

Water

OBJEC	TIVES AND CRITERIA	1 - Reduce Water Usage by Users and Keep Existing Network.	2 - Reduce Water Usage by Users and Enlarge/ Extend Network.	3 – Install Separate Pip System for non-Potable Users.
+ 9	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.			
CREATING AN INTERESTING + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•		•
TING AN Ynamic	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.			
CREA	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•	•	•
ANDS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•	•	•
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Redundancy in the network.	•		
	Existing physical barriers.			
CONNE	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	NA	NA	NA
	Cultural heritage resources.			
LEVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.			•
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.			
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.		•	•
	Visual connections.	NA	NA	NA
LΠ	Complete street principles and street character.			•
gh qua Realm	Cycling routes.	NA	NA	NA
P A HIG IBLIC F	Place-making opportunities.	NA	NA	NA
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY Public realm	Health and safety.	•		•
ABLE	Opportunities for innovation.		•	
USTAINABLE City	Transit accommodation.	•		•
	Flood risk potential.			
BUTE TO	Noise and air quality.			•
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUS FUTURE OF THE C	Resiliency and climate change.	•	•	•
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•
TION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	•		•
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.			•
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.			•
	Property acquisition costs.		•	
	Maintenance and operations.	•		
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE	•	•	•

Wastewater

OBJEC	CTIVES AND CRITERIA	1 – Do Nothing and Reduce Waste Water Flows.	2 – Reduce Waste Water Flows & Enlarge/Extend Collection - Convey flow via Carlaw Avenue inter- connecting sewer.	3 – Reduce Waste Water Flows, Enlarge/Extend Collection and Provide Decentralized Treatment South of Ship Channel
+ NG +	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•	
creating an interesting dynamic urban mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	•	•	
ING AN 'NAMIC	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.			
CREAT	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•	•	
ANDS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.		•	•
PORT L	Redundancy in the network.			
CT THE PORT To the city	Existing physical barriers.	•	•	
CONNECT THE PORT LANDS To the city	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	NA	NA	NA
	Cultural heritage resources.		•	
LEVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	•	•
ERAGE .	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.			
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.			
	Visual connections.	NA	NA	NA
DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY Public realm	Complete street principles and street character.		٠	•
LOP A HIGH QUA Public realm	Cycling routes.	NA	NA	NA
LOP A F PUBLIC	Place-making opportunities.	NA	NA	NA
DEVE	Health and safety.			•
IABLE	Opportunities for innovation.		•	
CONTRIBUTE TO THE SUSTAINABL Future of the City	Transit accommodation.			
	Flood risk potential.			
FUTUR	Noise and air quality.			
CONTR	Resiliency and climate change.	•	•	•
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•
NOIL	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.			
MPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.		•	-
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.			
	Property acquisition costs.		•	•
	Maintenance and operations.		•	
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE		•	•

Stormwater

BJEC	TIVES AND CRITERIA	1 – Do Nothing	2 – Conventional	3 – Water as a Resource
+	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.	•	•	•
ukealing an iniekealing + Dynamic Urban Mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.	\bullet		•
IN U AN NAMIC	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.			
DY	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.	•		•
TO THE CITY	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.	•		•
THE CITY	Redundancy in the network.	NA	NA	NA
	Existing physical barriers.	•	•	•
CUMIC	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•	•	•
	Cultural heritage resources.		•	
LEVERAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.	•	•	•
RAGE /	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.	•		
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.			•
	Visual connections.	NA	NA	NA
	Complete street principles and street character.	•	•	•
PUBLIC REALM	Cycling routes.	NA	NA	NA
DEVELUE A RIGH QUALITT PUBLIC REALM	Place-making opportunities.	\bullet	•	
DEVE	Health and safety.	•		•
ADLE	Opportunities for innovation.	•	•	•
	Transit accommodation.	\bullet		
FUTURE OF THE CITY	Flood risk potential.	\bullet		
FUTUR	Noise and air quality.	\bullet		
cunikibule in the sustaina Future of the City	Resiliency and climate change.	•	•	•
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	•	•	•
VTION	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.	\bullet	•	•
IMPLEMENTATION	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•		•
IMPL	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.			•
	Property acquisition costs.			
	Maintenance and operations.	•		•
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE		•	

Stormwater Disinfection

			South of the	South of the Ship Channel			North of the Ship Channe		
BJFU	TIVES AND CRITERIA	2A	2B	20	2D	2E	2F		
+	Creation of new, vibrant mixed use communities and employment areas.								
n Interestin C urban mix	Necessary capacity to support the anticipated mix of uses while minimizing rights-of-way widths.		•						
IND AIN	Existing/planned neighbourhoods.								
	Existing businesses and industry and opportunities for new businesses and industry.								
PURI LANUS	Better connect the Port Lands with the South of Eastern area and the rest of the city.								
	Redundancy in the network.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	Existing physical barriers.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
FUTURE OF THE CITY PUBLIC REALM LEVERAGE ASSETS TO THE CITY DYNAMIC URBAN MIX	Opportunities for linking natural habitat and open spaces and improving biodiversity.	•							
	Cultural heritage resources.								
RAGE ASSETS	Archaeological resources and traditional uses of Aboriginal people.		•						
	Existing/planned parks and open spaces.								
LEVE	Compatibility with the natural environment.	•							
	Visual connections.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	Complete street principles and street	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
REALM	Cycling routes.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
PUBLIC	Place-making opportunities.								
	Health and safety.								
ADLE	Opportunities for innovation.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	Transit accommodation.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
	Flood risk potential.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
FUTUR	Noise and air quality.	•							
PUBLIC REALM LEVERAGE ASSETS TO THE CITY	Resiliency and climate change.	•	•		•		•		
	Compatibility with City, provincial planning policies and Waterfront Toronto/TRCA objectives/frameworks.	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
NOI	Consistency with approved area Environmental Assessments.								
MENTA	Engineering feasibility and construction cost.	•				•			
IMPLE	Existing municipal infrastructure and utilities.			•	•				
	Property acquisition costs.								
	Maintenance and operations.		•						
	OVERALL PERFORMANCE			•					