



# TA16.3



## Evaluation of The Atmospheric Fund's Development of a Social Venture (ECC)





# Presentation

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Evaluation Purpose**
- 3. Evaluation Process**
- 4. Evaluation Results**
- 5. Q & A**



# Introduction

- Russ Christianson, Rhythm Communications
  - Master of Industrial Relations, Bachelor of Commerce
- Catherine Lang, C. Lang Consulting
  - Master of Adult Education, Bachelor of Sociology and Philosophy, and Bachelor of Social Work



# Introduction

- TAF is committed to professional evaluation and knowledge sharing.
- Cathy and I delivered a detailed evaluation report for internal use.
- TAF is preparing a “lessons learned” summary for external circulation.



# Background

- 2011 - Energy Savings Performance Agreement
- 2012 – Investment Committee (IC) chose incubating a social venture/company as the primary strategy to commercialize ESPA
- 2013 - Strategy recommended by IC and approved by TAF Board
- 2015 - Efficiency Capital Corporation (ECC) incorporated with third-party investment
- 2016/17 - Evaluation



# Evaluation Purpose

1. Document and reflect on the process used to develop, create and launch ECC.
2. Provide insights to inform TAF and others regarding future social venture development.



# Evaluation Process

- **Professional**, external evaluation
- **User developed and friendly** framework: Utilization Focused Evaluation (UFE)
- **Comprehensive**: One year for evaluation design and completion, including:
  - document review
  - meetings with staff
  - key informant interviews
  - written reports



# Evaluation Results

- 1. Theory of Change**
- 2. Financial Analysis**
- 3. Lessons Learned**
- 4. Conclusions**





# Evaluation Results

## 1. Theory of Change

Accelerate deployment of ESPA in multi-residential buildings to reach TAF's objectives:

1. Decrease GHG emissions
2. Make a healthy ROI
3. Mobilize private investment



# Evaluation Results

## 2. Financial Analysis

**Original cash budget: \$290,000**

TAF program allocation: \$285K (two phases)

MaRS contribution: \$5K

**Actual TAF cash investment: \$558,048**

TAF staff costs allocated: \$294,161

Total investment: \$852,209

Development period: Jan 2011 to Apr 2016



# Evaluation Results

## 3. Lessons Learned

1. Venture incubation is a strategic approach to scale low carbon urban solutions, and achieve TAF's mission and objectives:
  - TAF built GHG reduction benchmarks into the agreement with the private investor in ECC
  - It takes leadership commitment to maintain the mission-driven goals as a priority over (or alongside) the financial imperatives of a social venture



# Evaluation Results

## 3. Lessons Learned

2. Venture development requires process and structure, with clear roles, budgets, milestones and go/no go decision making points.



# Evaluation Results

## 3. Lessons Learned

3. Before launching a new product or service, it is prudent to perform primary market research and testing to identify market demand and work out the kinks.



# Evaluation Results

## 3. Lessons Learned

4. Social enterprises incubated by non-profit organizations, like TAF, have a built-in advantage of mechanisms for due diligence and sober second thought.



# Evaluation Results

## 4. Conclusions

1. TAF's hands-on development of the ESPA & ECC were very innovative for a not for profit organization.
2. Social venture development is risky & requires entrepreneurship, leadership & management capabilities.
3. Make the best use of transparent, non-profit governance.
4. Access to patient capital is critical. TAF has a built-in advantage.
5. TAF's social venture incubation required a high level of collaboration & knowledge sharing with a variety of stakeholders. TAF is pleased to share the lessons learned.

# Questions

Please ask any questions you may have.