Montréal, March 13, 2018

## astral EX32.4.3

Casey Brandon
Director, Revenue Services Division
City of Toronto
5100 Yonge Street – Lower Level
Toronto ON M2N 5V7

Mike St. Amant Office of the Treasurer Metro Hall 55 John Street, 14th Floor Toronto ON M5V 3C6

## Re: City of Toronto Third Party Sign Tax Report

Astral is pleased to respond to Staff in respect to its draft report and recommendations, and we are appreciative of Staff's consultative approach in the matter.

We have several general, and then just a couple of more specific comments to offer, with the latter intended to make the language in the report more compatible with industry nomenclature. As it now stands, the report contains a few ambiguities, which might better be resolved prior to their inclusion in a tax related document.

As to our general observations, we will say that the creation of a new class for larger digital signs, coupled with a re-classification of smaller digital signs, seems a prudent approach. As it is currently constituted, the tax regimen undermines, and will increasingly undermine its primary purpose of revenue generation. There simply is no organic growth to be had. Consequently, Astral believes that the re-classification of smaller signs will lead to some reasonable opportunity and will, at the same time, serve to generate increased revenue for the City.

This said, we will observe that the rate of increase (some 60%) applicable to those now-existing digital signs which will become Class 6 signs, would be highly challenging for Astral. Each of these subject signs was constructed on a financial model which did not contemplate such an increase. Large format Digital (Proposed class 6) signs comprise less than 2% of the overall sign inventory in the City, therefore any plan to leverage such small numbers against the over 1700 remaining structures is bound to have detrimental effect on the companies that specialize in this area.

As a result of the foregoing, we ask Staff:

- a. either to (i) revisit this rate of increase entirely, or (ii) re-distribute the rate as it relates to each sign class; and
- b. to have it phase-in over a period of years.

Further, so as to provide some cost-certainty going forward, we ask that the new tax structure be fixed for a period of years, and for that period be linked to C.P.I. increases. Astral suggests that such period be a minimum of five years.

Our more specific observations are as follows:

- 1) We would like clarification that there can be only one tax class and one corresponding tax amount applied to each singular sign structure. The purpose being to avoid a possibility of double taxation on any one sign structure. For further clarification, Astral is amenable to using the highest value classification of the structures signage technology as the assessed taxable amount.
- 2) We would like for the nomenclature of the various sign structures to be more descriptive to avoid ambiguity or confusion with the interpretation of the proposed tax class structure. Specifically, in each individual instance where a specific sign product is mentioned, we ask that it be prefaced with whether said sign structure is static, electronic, or mechanical, etc.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karine Moses

President Astral Out Of home & VP Bell Media Ventes/Sales Québec 1800, avenue McGill College, Bureau 1600, Montréal, Québec H3A 3J6