Presentation to the Audit Committee on May 3, 2019, Agenda Item AU2.4

### AUDITOR GENERAL

### TORONTO

### Review of Urban Forestry – Ensuring Value for Money for Tree Maintenance Services

Beverly Romeo-Beehler, CPA, CMA, B.B.A., JD, ICD.D, CFF Auditor General

Jane Ying, CPA, CMA, CIA, CGAP, MHSc Assistant Auditor General

Celia Yeung, CPA, CA, B.B.A Senior Audit Manager

### Background

10.2 million trees providing 18,000 hectares of canopy



### Background

- Urban Forestry is responsible for protecting, maintaining, and enhancing the urban forest
- Our first audit report was issued in June 2018 on permit issuance and tree by-law enforcement functions
- This second report focuses on tree planting and maintenance



### Background

- Urban Forestry currently uses both in-house City crews and crews from 3 contractors to perform tree maintenance work
- City paid about \$1.7M a month (\$20M a year) for the contracted maintenance services in 2018 in addition to the cost of in-house City crews



# **Compliance with Tree Planting and Maintenance Service Standards**

- High compliance with storm cleanup requests (97%)
- Reasonably good compliance with tree pruning requests (90%) and tree planting requests (88%)
- Low compliance with tree removal requests (62%)



### **Key Management Responsibilities**

- Responsibilities of Urban Forestry Forepersons:
  - Assign tree maintenance work to each crew
  - Review and approve the daily log for "accuracy, productivity and completeness"
  - Conduct the minimum number of random on-site, and quality control inspections per week
- Have the right to request GPS reports from contractors as part of the contract provisions



### An example of a daily log

						1.24-0	10							63			
CREW / GENERAL INFORMATION	POREST	RY DISTRIC	T; pleas	e circle		TYPE:	-7	10.00	FNE	, FR	w,	PSE,	FSW	· CWE	9. FV	vw.	
CONTRACTOR COMPANY NAME:		-	-	SHEAT		DITIONS :	14	e	ť	_	-				-	-	_
DATE (dd-mmm-yy):	-1-170			CITY CR	EW -NOR	WAL SHETT	iouss -	el.	UAY I	TO FR	IDAY,	7:00	AH	10 2:0	IO PM		100
RECORD OF DUTY STATUS . 7 day of				CONTR	ACTOR . N	FORMAL SHIF	THOURS	- 30	OMD/	Y TO	FRIDA	NY . 6:	20 Ak	1 10	2:00		R
Max # hrs DR-CVOR / day = 13 hrs, Max # hrs O.D / day = 14 hrs PRINT EMPLOYEE NAME (Las! Name, First Name)			4 1115	TOTAL DR - Q. D. OFF						D.T. COMMENTS							
PRINT EMPLOTEE MANE (Cast Name	e, Pristinal	ne)		HOURS				25	#H	RS		WO	rking	with an	other	crew)	-
Grew Leader :				8	1		1	_									
2.				P		_											
1.																	
4.							1										
5																	
CREW LEADER SIGNATURE:	2.																
EQUIPMENT / VEHICLE NUMBER INF	ORMATIO	N															
AEDIAL TRUCK # 2					POLE SAW # DAILY PRE-TRIP INSPECTION												
					CHAIN SAW # 267/50 SCHEDULE # 1, OF PRE-TRIP												
	STUMPER #				TAL BOARD COMPLETED FOR									~~~			
CRANE #	TRAILER	<b>۴</b>		- 1	OTHER				EAC	H WO	RKL	OCAT	10N				
WORK ACTIVITY:				-	TSM	)	-	MRI	JNE	REA	IOVE	STUMP	SEEO	81084	5		3
WORK LOCATION - MUNICIPAL ADD AND WORK ORDER # (SR # 2 WO # is not	RESS evaluable)	TIME	TIME OUT	POS	DIA	SPECI	E8	PRDat	PRG03	RENDI	REM02	CEM03	REMON	WNT92	Inspection	OTHER	WO Completed
Bakeh ffe Yard		6:304	64									-					
Dependence pende	DB	6:45	7:K	1													
	8 B	715	7:3	1									1				
Address #1	<i>617.</i>	7:30	-	30	\$ 50	N	Seco			1					<u> </u>	1	7
90\$730		V:2	9:3	_	110	musy	proc		$\vdash$	F	-		1	1	1	$\square$	F
Address #2	7	9:30	1.2	-	150	1	6			$\vdash$			+		<u> </u>	$\mathbf{T}$	۶
Address #2		1.20	1:0	30	10	army	par		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	ľ	-	+-	1	$\vdash$	+	ť-
a.d.7.1	908/310 -			1-	+			1	<u> </u>	+-	-	-	+-	+	+	+	t
9087311	Luch 1:4		1:45	4-	<u> </u>			-	+	+	+		+-		+-	$\vdash$	+
9087311 Le	-	BR 1:36		4	-				+	+-	-	-	+-		⊢	+	+
9087311	BR		24	-T							ł –	I					
9087311	BR 2B	1:45	2K		+			-		+-	+	1	+	+	+-		_
	Ĵ.		2:K												F		

The crew must record each tree service address and the related work order number in the daily log.

The crew uses standard codes to record time spent on other activities:

BR – Break

- DR Driving time
- DU Dumping (wood)
- EVR Equipment/ Vehicle
- Repair/ Maintenance
- HO Hold off (for hydro)
- LU Lunch
- PC Parked cars
- YT Yard time
- OT Other

Tree service address and work order number

Form Date: September 2016

### Map 1 – Crew's vehicle did not stop at some tree locations and the crew went to locations that appear to be non-work related



## Map 2 – Crew's vehicle did not stop at or nearby the tree service location

The crew drove by this tree location according to the GPS report, but reported 45 minutes of work on this tree in the daily log



# Map 3 – Crew's vehicle stopped at several locations for a considerable amount of time that appear to be unrelated to City work



#### Map 4 – Crew drove a long distance and spent a large amount of time at what appear to be non-work related locations



### **Daily Logs and GPS Reports Comparison**



Similar comparative analysis could not be performed on Urban Forestry's inhouse City crews because their vehicles are not equipped with a GPS system

### **Certain Entries in Daily Logs should have been Questioned**

- 57 of the 139 daily logs (41%) from both City and contractor crews have missing data or entries that should have been questioned:
  - o duplicate work in multiple logs from the same crews
  - indicated activities such as watering, pruning, or unspecified activities on dead trees, locations with no tree, a tree stump, trees where work had already completed, or no work needed
  - Missing work orders or service requests to support work performed
  - Incomplete information (missing tree position or species information)
  - Missing Foreperson's approval

#### Effectiveness of the Inspection Program can be Improved

The required minimum number of inspections were not met in 2017 and 2018

On-site inspections completed for City and contractor crews, 2018

Quality control inspections completed for City and contractor crews, 2018





FEE - East District - East Region FEW - East District - West Region FNE - North District - East Region

FNW - North District - West Region FWE - West District - East Region FSE - South District - East Region FWW - West District - West Region FSW - South District - West Region FCT - Commercial Tree

# Effectiveness of the Inspection Program can be Improved

- Most of the Forepersons we interviewed would call the crews prior to visiting the site
- Inspections were usually done in the morning but the activities that should be questioned usually occurred in the afternoon

### **Opportunities to Improve Operational Efficiency**

- A considerable amount of time was spent on supporting activities
- From the 139 daily logs (59 City crews and 80 contractor crews) we reviewed, the crews reported the following average time spent on supporting activities:

Activities	Average Time Spent within an 8-hour shift (as reported on Daily log by crews)
Yard Time	53 minutes
Driving Time	93 minutes
Waiting for Parked Vehicles to be moved	Ranged from 15 minutes to 3.5 hours (reported on more than a quarter of the sampled logs)
Wood Disposal	20 minutes (not include driving time to the woodchip compound)
Equipment or Vehicle Malfunctions	76 minutes (7 of the 59 City crew logs)

### **Going forward**

10 recommendations to help Urban Forestry to improve contract management, customer service and operational efficiency for its tree planting and maintenance programs





