Attachment 3: Preliminary Summary of Submissions to Special Committee on Governance to Date – March 2019

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other jurisdictions	Questions and considerations	What is currently in place in Toronto
1. Supporting Neighbourhoods and Communities' Relationships with Local Governance	Toronto should consider a neighbourhood council model to debate, advise and/or have delegated authority to make decisions on very local neighbourhood issues such as pad parking and fences Model would remove these issues from the agendas of community council meetings.	Los Angeles: 96 Neighbourhood Councils (NC) play an advisory role on a variety of issues; elected by members of the community; each NC represents on average 38,000 people; Department of Neighborhood Empowerment (DONE) provides operational funding and support (meeting and office space, office equipment, communications) to facilitate sharing of resources and communication with residents and City staff. New York City: 59 Community Boards (CB) each with up to 50 volunteer members (almost 3,000 CB members in total); half are nominated by a local Council members and all are appointed by Borough	 Models will be reviewed with consideration given to: Diversity Scope Geographic area represented Resources and supports required Reporting relationships Method of selecting members Previous evaluations/audits of models Need to determine what gaps each model would be addressing and if there would be alternate ways to achieve goals within the existing governance structure Review against Toronto's governance structures (e.g. role of "borough president") 	 Council advisory bodies Program advisory bodies Current networks with community based organizations, including the Non-Profit Network (SDFA) Existing tribunals and appeals panels comprised of residents: Property Standards Committee Sign Variance Committee Toronto Preservation Board Toronto Strong Neighbourhoods Strategy 2020 supports participation of vulnerable communities through Neighbourhood Improvement Area (NIA) tables, networks and capacity- building programs like the Local Champions Program. Existing community service clubs, residents associations, networks of public benefit organizations. City grants to community organizations. Toronto Foundation. Community-based agencies (e.g. Arena Boards, AOCCs)

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other	Questions and	What is currently in place in Toronto
		jurisdictions	considerations	
		President to a two-		
		year term; each CB		
		lead by a District		
		Manager; Mayor's		
		Community Affairs		
		Unit designed to		
		connect City Hall to		
		residents, and includes CBs and		
		Neighborhood Support		
		Teams (NSTs)		
		Portland: An Office of		
		Neighbourhood		
		contracts with 7 non-		
		profit organizations		
		called District		
		Neighbourhood		
		Coalitions (DNC) to		
		build capacity to build		
		capacity for		
		communities to		
		participate in civic		
		governance; each		
		DNC supports a		
		cluster of		
		Neighbourhood		
		Associations (NAs) by		
		facilitating grant		
		programs, City information and		
		administration; City		
		currently recognizes		
		95 NAs that are self-		
		90 INAS LITAL ALE SELI-	1	

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other	Questions and	What is currently in place in Toronto
		jurisdictions	considerations	
		governed, volunteer- led organizations.		
		Edmonton: 157 "community leagues" with volunteer board members advise Council on planning and development issues, deliver grants, and manage social and recreational infrastructure (e.g. halls, outdoor rinks, playgrounds) under tripartite agreement between City, leagues and the Edmonton Federation of Community Leagues		
2. Standing Committees	Interim standing committee structure should be amended to bring housing, shelter and social policy issues together.	Ottawa reviews its standing committee structure each term. Vancouver has two standing committees (City Finances & Services and Policy & Strategic Priorities) New York City has 35 standing committees, each headed by a member of member of	 Balance of workload/agenda items across committees with policy alignment Recommend monitoring flow of business and experience of Standing Committee members in considering how 	 4 Standing Committees & Executive Committee: Economic and Community Development General Government and Licensing Infrastructure and Environment Planning and Housing Housing related matters are within the mandate of Planning and Housing Committee. Matters related to shelters and social policy are typically within the mandate of Economic and Community Development.

	jurisdictions Council, including at least five members.	 considerations certain policy areas are being debated Any changes to the existing Standing Committee model would need to 	
		existing Standing Committee model	
		ensure a relatively equal distribution in terms of agenda items and work load.	
		 Review with Members of Council and staff managing Committee agendas with provide more information. 	
General comments on a desire for engagement at all points in the governance process at the City.	Montreal: the Office de Consultation Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of	 Need to assess best model for Toronto (e.g. centralized vs. decentralized). 	 Council adopted Toronto's Civic Engagement Strategy in 2011 Get Involved webpage and social media promotes public consultation events, volunteer opportunities, public appointments
TOCore public engagement was highlighted as a good example of a robust public conversation.	Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may include any project	 Resource implications could be significant, depending on the model. The City Manager's 	 "Community of Practice" of City divisions and agencies share and adapt best practices in civic engagement Civic engagement courses/training Resident participation on advisory bodies, agency and corporation
de al go th er hi er pi	esire for engagement at I points in the overnance process at e City. OCore public ngagement was ghlighted as a good kample of a robust ublic conversation. volve more people with	de Consultation Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may	Provide more information.eneral comments on a esire for engagement at I points in the overnance process at e City.Montreal: the Office de Consultation Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may include any projectNeed to assess best model for Toronto (e.g. centralized vs. decentralized).Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may include any projectNeed to assess best model for Toronto (e.g. centralized vs. decentralized).Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may include any projectNeed to assess best model for Toronto (e.g. centralized vs. decentralized).Publique is an agency funded by the City that carries out public meetings on behalf of Montreal City Council or its Executive Committee. Issues primarily involve urban and land-use planning projects, but may include any projectNeed to assess best model for Toronto (e.g. tentralized).Public to the city Manager'sNeed to assess best modelNeed to assess tentralized vs. tentralized).

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other	Questions and	What is currently in place in Toronto
		jurisdictions	considerations	
Theme	Public ideas committees, boards and other advisory bodies	jurisdictions or Executive Committee. Consultation processes are prescribed and results reported to Council or Committee for consideration. Vancouver: invites residents to join online community to receive consultation notices, participate in surveys, etc. Hamilton: Public engagement charter and toolkit outline principles and commitment to engagement Toronto and many other municipalities support civic engagement through		What is currently in place in Toronto boards, round tables and task forces.
		decentralized approach, where multiple divisions are supported by a central		
		resource coordinating engagement through		

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other jurisdictions	Questions and considerations	What is currently in place in Toronto
		surveys, meetings, partnerships, etc.		
4. Information	Broad requests for more, clearer, timely, user- friendly information.		 Consider reviving Civics 101; more resources would be required. Consider ways to get public feedback on current information provided to public and what further information would be desired (e.g. leveraging the Digital Reference Group) 	 "My Local Government-It's for Me" webpage and booklet (<u>www.toronto.ca/city-</u> <u>government/council/my-local-</u> <u>government-its-for-me</u>) 211 (online version of "Blue Book") - phone and online Open Data <u>www.211toronto.ca</u> Introduction to Toronto's Government (www.toronto.ca/get- involved-how-government-works) Get Involved (<u>www.toronto.ca/getinvolved</u>) Budget Information Graphics City's Social Media (Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Instagram) Education booklets, booths and information sessions
5. Greater Autonomy for the City	City should revisit issue of Charter Cities with the province and the federal government. Need more autonomy from the province	Canadian Constitution sets out the role in municipalities in relation to provincial and federal governments; all Canadian municipalities are under the authority of their respective province.	Enhanced autonomy/authority for the City would be a negotiation with the province and potentially the federal government. Committee would need to consider the scope of the mandate of the Special Committee on Governance in	The Government of Ontario, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, is conducting a Regional Government Review. Their consultation is open to all residents until April 23, 2019 at <u>https://www.ontario.ca/page/consultation-</u> <u>regional-government-review</u>

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other	Questions and	What is currently in place in Toronto
		jurisdictions	considerations	
			determining whether this issue should be considered as part of its work.	
6. Electoral Reform	Term limits on members of Council should be put in place; Council should approve ranked ballots for the next municipal election; election finance		City Clerk will be reporting on election relation matters to City Council. Term limits : On	
	rules should be reviewed.		February 21, 2019, the Committee voted on GV1.3 to defer indefinitely a request for a report on the implementation of term limits.	
			Ranked ballots: On September 2015, as part of <u>EX8.1</u> , City Council voted as follows: k. that if the Province does amend the Municipal Elections Act to provide for Ranked Choice Voting;	
			a. the use of Ranked Choice Voting be optional for the City of Toronto; and	

Theme	Public ideas	Examples from other	Questions and	What is currently in place in Toronto
		jurisdictions	considerations	
			b. the City of Toronto only be permitted to implement Ranked Choice Voting after holding public consultations and a referendum.	
			On July 26, 2013, the Executive Committee voted to defer indefinitely <u>EX34.9</u> , which proposed a number of electoral reforms to the provincial government, including exploring alternative voting systems, including ranked voting.	
			Committee would need to consider the scope of the mandate of the Special Committee on Governance in determining whether this issue should be considered as part of its work.	