From:	<u>Gail Bebee</u>
To:	Economic and Community Development
Cc:	Jane Robertson; Victoria Joly; Harold Rosenfeld; Geri James; Sharon Johnson; davidjmcghee@on.aibn.com; vice_president@bayviewvillage.org; Monty McDonald
Subject:	Agenda item EC30.4 Report on Outstanding Noise Directives, May 30 ECDC Meeting
Date:	Thursday, May 26, 2022 8:35:42 PM
Attachments:	image.png

To: Members of the Economic and Community Development Committee

The Bayview Village Association\* has reviewed the Report on Outstanding Noise Directives and would like to provide the following comments on restricting noise from two-stroke leaf blowers and other small-engine equipment.

1. Banning noisy two-stroke leaf blowers and other small-engine equipment will help reduce Toronto's ambient noise to safe levels. Toronto's urban noise is already at levels that cause adverse health effects. It averages 63 dBA (24-hour average) whereas the World Health Organization limit is 55 dBA (day and evening average) and 40 dBA at night. (Toronto's Medical Officer of Health, 2017 report, Health Impacts of Environmental Noise).

2. Complaints Toronto received about noise due to this equipment is not an accurate metric for gauging public concern about its adverse impacts. Yet, the report's conclusion not to regulate/ban this equipment seems to be based on this metric. Many citizens do not know that they can complain to the city. Some 7,000 concerned Toronto residents have signed the "BAN 2-stroke leaf-blowers and similar garden equipment" petition. <u>https://www.change.org/p/tell-toronto-city-council-ban-2-stroke-leaf-blowers-and-similar-garden-equipment</u>

3. Toronto is playing catch up with respect to regulating two-stroke leaf blowers and other small-engine equipment. Over 200 municipalities across North America already restrict leaf blower use. The attached Newton, Mass. Leaf Blower Ordinance is a good example of the type of regulation in place.

4. Other serious adverse health and environmental effects of this equipment are beyond the scope of this bylaw, but would be mitigated by restricting its use. These include:

a) Harm to workers operating them.

b) Release of toxic and carcinogenic compounds including unburned fuel (gasoline and engine oil) and engine exhaust into the air we all breathe. The exhaust includes large amounts of carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons (which are toxic, carcinogenic, and ozone-forming).

c) Leaf blowers disburse harmful airborne particles that can linger in the air for a week or longer. Anyone in the area will inhale these particulates.

d) Leaf blower air jets damage or destroy animal habitat including nests, birds, small mammals, and pollinators and beneficial insects living in leaf litter. They damage plants, remove beneficial topsoil and mulch, and desiccate soil.

e) Gasoline powered equipment contaminates neighbourhood air.

f) Grass clippings and organic dust generated by leaf blowers fowl our waterways.

g) Gasoline spills during refueling exacerbate climate change.

5. Any education programs regarding leaf blowers must include public education about alternatives to leaf blowers:

- Create a less lawn/no lawn landscape. No-mow ground covers are good alternatives to grass.
- Use a mulch mower and leave grass clippings on the lawn where they will quickly decompose and provide nutrients for the law**n**.
- Use brooms to sweep clippings off the walk and drive.
- Use rakes to collect leaves in the fall.

Two-stroke leaf blowers and other small-engine equipment have significant adverse health and environmental impacts on Torontonians and our environment. Toronto must act now to restrict/ban two-stroke leaf blowers and other small-engine equipment.

Sincerely,

Gail Bebee Environment Committee Bayview Village Association

\*The Bayview Village Association is a non-profit organization founded in 1956 to promote the welfare of the residents of the Bayview Village area of ward 17, Don Valley North.

