AIRD BERLIS

Maggie Bassani Direct: (416) 865-3401 E-mail: MBassani@airdberlis.com

June 29, 2023

Email: phc@toronto.ca

Planning and Housing Committee Toronto City Hall 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

Dear Members of Planning and Housing Committee:

Re: Item 2023.PH5.2 - Official Plan Amendment for Bill 97 Transition - Authorizing the Continuation of Institutional and Commercial Uses in Employment Areas - Final Report

We represent Rowbry Holdings Limited with respect to the lands municipally known as 1125A, 1131 and 1131A Leslie Street, Toronto (the "Property").

On behalf of our client, we have reviewed the Final Staff Report, dated June 19, 2023, with respect to the proposed Official Plan Amendment for Bill 97 Transition - Authorizing the Continuation of Institutional and Commercial Uses in Employment Areas ("Draft OPA 668"), to be considered by the Planning and Housing Committee at its meeting on July 5, 2023. We write to convey our client's concerns with Draft OPA 668.

Background

The Property is approximately 4.8 acres with significant frontage along Leslie Street. The Property has exceptional access to higher order transit as it is located within 800 metres of the Sunnybrook Park Crosstown station and the Science Centre Crosstown/Ontario Line station.

The Property is part of a larger remnant employment area where a number of conversions have been approved and is currently under-utilized with a 1-storey service commercial building and 6storey office building. Constructed about 40 years ago, the existing buildings no longer meet today's office space standards, including with respect to layout, design, ceiling heights, and efficiency, which contributes to the declining function of the employment area along Leslie Street.

On July 30, 2021, our client submitted an employment conversion request for the Property, together with the owners of the lands located at 1125, 1125A to 1131A, 1135 and 1355 Leslie St reet, as part of the City's municipal comprehensive review (request #68). The requested c onversion would allow for the revitalization of the area and include a mix of residential and non-r esidential (employment) uses in support of transit infrastructure investment. On January 27, 2022, our client revised the scope of its conversion request to only include the lands located at 1 125 and 1125A to 1131A Leslie Street. On May 13, 2022, our client submitted updated materials in support of its conversion request.

Concerns with respect to Draft Official Plan Amendment 668

Draft Official Plan Amendment 668 is Premature

City Council's consideration and adoption of Draft OPA 668 at this time is premature and inappropriate given that the relevant sections of Bill 97 (being Subsection 1(1) with the new definition of "Area of Employment" and Subsections 1(1.1) and (1.2) authorizing the proposed transition provisions), and the new proposed Provincial Planning Statement ("PPS 2023") are not yet in force and in effect.

Until Bill 97 and PPS 2023 are fully in force, there could potentially be further amendments made to the *Planning Act* and PPS 2023. Accordingly, City staff should not be rushing to bring forward these transition provisions.

Draft Official Plan Amendment 668 is Contrary to the Intention of Bill 97

Draft OPA 668 is contrary to the province's intention behind the new scoped definition of "Area of Employment", which is to facilitate the delivery of much-needed housing in existing institutional and commercial areas not associated with manufacturing and industrial uses. Under the new definition, these areas would no longer be subject to employment conversion requirements.

Although Bill 97 allows the City to adopt transition provisions through an Official Plan Amendment, the City's "blanket" approach to Draft OPA 668 (i.e. capturing all lands in the City with existing institutional and commercial areas not associated with manufacturing and industrial uses) would frustrate the province's objective and simply maintain the status quo as it relates to introduction of residential uses in employment lands by requiring landowners to proceed through a conversion process. The authority to adopt transition provisions ought to be used sparingly and on a site-specific basis, in order to implement the province's intention. Draft OPA 668, if adopted, would render the new definition of "Area of Employment" to be meaningless.

Conclusion

As proposed by staff, it is our opinion that Draft OPA 668 does not represent good planning.

For the reasons stated above, we request that Planning and Housing Committee (1) refer this matter back to staff, (2) direct staff to reconsider the "blanket" approach of Draft OPA 668 and (3) direct staff to bring forth a recommendation report only once the new definition of "Area of Employment" and PPS 2023 are in force.

We ask to be notified of any decisions made by City Council, or Committee of Council, in connection with this matter.

Yours truly,

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Maggie Bassani MB

cc: Client

AIRD BERLIS