

# CreateTO

Audit Findings Report for the year ended December 31, 2023

KPMG LLP

Licensed Public Accountants

Prepared on March 28, 2024 Presentation on April 22, 2024

kpmg.ca/audit



### **KPMG contacts**

Key contacts in connection with this engagement



Maria Khoushnood Lead Audit Engagement Partner 416-228-7082 mkhoushnood@kpmg.ca



Taneca Smith Audit Senior Manager 416-224-4177 tanecasmith@kpmg.ca



# **Table of contents**



The purpose of this report is to assist you, as a member of the Board of Directors, in your review of the results of our audit of the financial statements. This report is intended solely for the information and use of Management, and the Board of Directors and should not be used for any other purpose or any other party. KPMG shall have no responsibility or liability for loss or damages or claims, if any, to or by any third party as this report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, and should not be used by, any third party or for any other purpose.

# Digital use information

This Audit Findings Report is also available as a "hyper-linked" PDF document.

If you are reading in electronic form (e.g. In "Adobe Reader" or "Board Books"), clicking on the home symbol on the top right corner will bring you back to this slide.



Click on any item in the table of contents to navigate to that section.



Highlights	Status	Materiality	Risks and results	Policies and practices	Appendices	(1
<b>Audit highlights</b>			lo matters to report	Matters to report – see link for	details	
	_			<b></b>		_





As of March 28, 2024, we have completed the audit of the financial statements, with the exception of certain remaining procedures, which include amongst others:

- Completing our discussions with the Board of Directors
- Obtaining evidence of the Board of Director's approval of the financial statements
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter (dated upon Board approval of the financial statements)
- Completion of subsequent events procedures, up to the date of approval of the financial statements

We will update the Board of Directors, and not solely the Chair, on significant matters, if any, arising from the completion of the audit, including the completion of the above procedures.

Our auditor's report, will be dated upon the completion of <u>any</u> remaining procedures.

#### KPMG Clara for Clients (KCfc)



#### Real time collaboration and transparency

We leveraged **KCfc** to facilitate real time collaboration with management and provide visual insights into the status of the audit!





# **Materiality**

Status



We *initially determine materiality* at a level at which we consider that misstatements could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users. Determining materiality is a matter of *professional judgement,* considering both quantitative and qualitative factors, and is affected by our perception of the common financial information needs of users of the financial statements as a group. We do not consider the possible effect of misstatements on specific individual users, whose needs may vary widely.

We **reassess materiality** throughout the audit and revise materiality if we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different materiality level initially.

#### Plan and perform the audit

We *initially determine materiality* to provide a basis for:

- · Determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures;
- · Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement; and
- Determining the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.

We design our procedures to detect misstatements at a level less than materiality in individual accounts and disclosures, to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole.

#### Evaluate the effect of misstatements

We also use materiality to evaluate the effect of:

- · Identified misstatements on our audit; and
- Uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements and in forming our opinion.



Highlights	Status	Materiality	Risks and results	Policies and practices	Appendices	
Mat	eriality					







### Significant risks and results

We highlight our significant findings in respect of significant risk.

Status

Q	Management Override of Controls	RISK OF
		FRAUD

#### Significant risk

Presumption of the risk of fraud resulting from management override of controls

Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Although the level of risk of management override of controls will vary from entity to entity, the risk nevertheless is present in all entities.

#### Our response

As this presumed risk of material misstatement due to fraud is not rebuttable, our audit methodology incorporates the required procedures in professional standards to address this risk. These procedures include:

- · testing of journal entries and other adjustments,
- · performing a retrospective review of estimates
- · evaluating the business rationale of significant unusual transactions.

#### Significant findings

- We did not note any significant control deficiencies in our evaluation of the design and implementation and test operating effectiveness of selected relevant controls over financial reporting.
- We tested manual and automated journal entries and other adjustments by using Data & Analytics routines. Using extractions from the complete general ledger, we selected a sample of journal entries meeting pre-determined high-risk criteria and verified if they were supported by proper documentation and appropriately recorded in the general ledger. We also followed the journal entry initiation and approval controls and process in place.
- We did not identify any issues or concerns after performing our review of estimates.
- We did not identify any significant unusual transactions or any specific additional risks of management override during our audit.





### **Other risks and results**

Status

#### Management Fee & City Funding Revenue

#### Significant findings

- Obtained an understanding of the revenue recognition policy and controls in place. Reviewed the underlying service agreement between CreateTO, Build Toronto and Toronto Port Lands Corporation ("TPLC").
- Obtained confirmations from the City of Toronto to verify the total funds received as City funding as well as obtained confirmations for management fee cross charges recorded by Build Toronto and TPLC.
- · No issues noted.



Q

#### Accounts payable and operating expenses

#### Significant findings

- Performed a search for unrecorded liabilities by extracting lists of subsequent payments and accounts payable details and selected samples for testing. Reviewed supporting documentation for significant accruals.
- Selected a sample of expense transactions and agreed to original invoices to ensure the proper classification of expenses.
- No issues noted.



#### Legal claim liabilities

#### Significant findings

- Reviewed Board and Committee meeting minutes and discussed any outstanding litigations and claims with management.
- Evaluated whether significant contingent liabilities are appropriately disclosed and/or recorded.
- · No issues noted.





#### 

### **Newly Effective Accounting policies and practices**

Standard	Summary and implications
Financial instruments and	<ul> <li>The new standards PS 3450 Financial instruments, PS 2601 Foreign currency translation, PS 1201 Financial statement presentation and PS 3041 Portfolio investments are effective for fiscal year ended December 31, 2023.</li> </ul>
foreign currency translation	<ul> <li>Equity instruments quoted in an active market and free-standing derivatives are to be carried at fair value. All other financial instruments, including bonds, can be carried at cost or fair value depending on the public sector entity's choice and this choice must be made on initial recognition of the financial instrument and is irrevocable.</li> </ul>
	Hedge accounting is not permitted.
	<ul> <li>A new statement, the Statement of Remeasurement Gains and Losses, will be included in the financial statements. Unrealized gains and losses incurred on fair value accounted financial instruments will be presented in this statement. Realized gains and losses will continue to be presented in the statement of operations.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>PS 3450 Financial instruments was amended subsequent to its initial release to include various federal government narrow- scope amendments.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Management has completed their assessment for the implementation of these new standards and has concluded that there is no significant impact of the implementation of this new standards for CreateTO.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Based on the audit procedures performed, KPMG audit team agrees with management's assessment.</li> </ul>



#### 

### **Accounting policies and practices (continued)**

Standard	Summary and implications
Asset retirement obligations	<ul> <li>The new standard PS 3280 Asset retirement obligations is effective for fiscal year ended December 31, 2023.</li> <li>The new standard addresses the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of legal obligations associated with retirement of tangible capital assets. Retirement costs are recognized as an integral cost of owning and operating tangible capital assets.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The asset retirement obligations ("ARO") standard requires the public sector entity to record a liability related to future costs of any legal obligations to be incurred upon retirement of any controlled tangible capital assets ("TCA"). The amount of the initial liability is added to the historical cost of the asset and amortized over its useful life if the asset is in productive use.</li> <li>Management has completed their assessment for the implementation of these new standards and has concluded that there is no significant impact of the implementation of this new standards for CreateTO.</li> </ul>
	Based on the audit procedures performed, KPMG audit team agrees with management's assessment.





### **Appendix A: Other required communications**

**CPAB** communication protocol

The reports available through the following links were published by the Canadian Public Accountability Board to inform Audit Committees and other stakeholders about the results of quality inspections conducted over the past year:

- <u>CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2022 Annual Inspections Results</u>
- <u>CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2023 Interim Inspections Results</u>

**Required inquiries** 

Professional standards require that during the planning of our audit, we obtain your views on the identification and assessment of risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, your oversight over such risk assessment, identification of suspected, alleged or actual fraudulent behaviour, and any significant unusual transactions during the period. Please refer to the following inquiries:

- What are your views about fraud risk at the entity?
- How do those charged with governance exercise effective oversight of management's processes for identifying and responding to the risk of fraud in the Entity and internal controls management has established to mitigate these fraud risks?
- Are you aware of, or have you identified any, instances of actual, suspected, or alleged fraud, including misconduct or unethical behaviour related to financial reporting or misappropriation of assets? If so, have the instances been appropriately addressed and how have they been addressed?
- Is the entity in compliance with laws and regulations?
- Has the entity entered into any significant unusual transactions?



### **Appendix B: KPMG Clara**

Status



#### Streamlined client experience

And deeper insights into your business, translating to a better audit experience.



#### **Secure**

A secure client portal provides centralized, efficient coordination with your audit team.



#### Intelligent workflow

An intelligent workflow guides audit teams through the audit.



#### Increased precision

Advanced data analytics and automation facilitate a risk-based audit approach, increasing precision and reducing your burden.







# Appendix C: Audit quality - How do we deliver audit quality?

Quality essentially means doing the right thing and remains our highest priority. Our Global Quality Framework outlines how we deliver quality and how every partner and staff member contributes to its delivery.

The drivers outlined in the framework are the ten components of the KPMG System of Quality Management (SoQM). Aligned with ISQM 1/CSQM 1, our SoQM components also meet the requirements of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) and the relevant rules of professional conduct / code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting in Canada, which apply to professional services firms that perform audits of financial statements. Our Transparency Report includes our firm's Statement on the Effectiveness of our SoQM.



#### KPMG 2023 Audit Quality and Transparency Report

We define 'audit quality' as being the outcome when:

- audits are **executed consistently**, in line with the requirements and intent of **applicable professional standards** within a strong **system of quality management;** and
- all of our related activities are undertaken in an environment of the utmost level of **objectivity**, **independence**, **ethics** and **integrity**.



For more information on newly effective and upcoming changes to auditing standards  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ see Current Developments

#### 

 $\sqrt{m}$ 

### **Appendix D: Newly effective and upcoming** changes to auditing standards

Effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2022

#### ISA/CAS 220

. . . . . . . . . . . (Revised) Quality management for an audit of financial statements

#### ISQM1/CSQM1 . . . . . . . . . . .

Quality management for firms that perform audits or reviews of financial statements or other assurance or related services engagements

### ISQM2/CSQM2

. . . . . . . . . . . Engagement quality reviews

#### Effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2023

#### **ISA 600/CAS 600**

. . . . . . . . . . . Revised special considerations -Audits of group financial statements



#### 

### **Appendix E: Changes in accounting standards**

Standard	Summary and implications
Revenue	The new standard PS 3400 <i>Revenue</i> is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2023
	<ul> <li>The new standard establishes a single framework to categorize revenue to enhance the consistency of revenue recognition and its measurement.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The standard notes that in the case of revenue arising from an exchange transaction, a public sector entity must ensure the recognition of revenue aligns with the satisfaction of related performance obligations.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The standard notes that unilateral revenue arises when no performance obligations are present, and recognition occurs when there is authority to record the revenue and an event has happened that gives the public sector entity the right to the revenue.</li> </ul>
Purchased Intangibles	<ul> <li>The new Public Sector Guideline 8 Purchased intangibles is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2023 with earlier adoption permitted</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The guideline allows public sector entities to recognize intangibles purchased through an exchange transaction. The definition or an asset, the general recognition criteria and GAAP hierarchy are used to account for purchased intangibles.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Narrow scope amendments were made to PS 1000 Financial statement concepts to remove the prohibition to recognize purchased intangibles and to PS 1201 Financial statement presentation to remove the requirement to disclose purchased intangibles not recognized.</li> </ul>
	The guideline can be applied retroactively or prospectively.





#### 

### **Appendix E: Changes in accounting standards (continued)**

Standard	Summary and implications
Public Private Partnerships	<ul> <li>The new standard PS 3160 <i>Public private partnerships</i> is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2023</li> <li>The standard includes new requirements for the recognition, measurement and classification of infrastructure procured through a public private partnership.</li> <li>The standard notes that recognition of infrastructure by the public sector entity would occur when it controls the purpose and use of the infrastructure, when it controls access and the price, if any, charged for use, and it controls any significant interest accumulated in the infrastructure when the public private partnership ends.</li> <li>The public sector entity recognizes a liability when it needs to pay cash or non-cash consideration to the private sector partner for the infrastructure.</li> <li>The infrastructure would be valued at cost, which represents fair value at the date of recognition with a liability of the same amount if one exists. Cost would be measured in reference to the public private partnership process and agreement, or by discounting the expected cash flows by a discount rate that reflects the time value of money and risks specific to the project.</li> <li>The standard can be applied retroactively or prospectively.</li> </ul>
Concepts Underlying Financial Performance	<ul> <li>The revised conceptual framework is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2026 with earlier adoption permitted.</li> <li>The framework provides the core concepts and objectives underlying Canadian public sector accounting standards.</li> <li>The ten chapter conceptual framework defines and elaborates on the characteristics of public sector entities and their financial reporting objectives. Additional information is provided about financial statement objectives, qualitative characteristics and elements. General recognition and measurement criteria, and presentation concepts are introduced.</li> </ul>





### **Appendix E: Changes in accounting standards (continued)**

Standard	Summary and implications
Financial Statement Presentation	• The proposed section PS 1202 <i>Financial statement presentation</i> will replace the current section PS 1201 <i>Financial statement presentation</i> . PS 1202 <i>Financial statement presentation</i> will apply to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2026 to coincide with the adoption of the revised conceptual framework. Early adoption will be permitted.
	The proposed section includes the following:
	<ul> <li>Relocation of the net debt indicator to its own statement called the statement of net financial assets/liabilities, with the calculation of net debt refined to ensure its original meaning is retained.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Separating liabilities into financial liabilities and non-financial liabilities.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Restructuring the statement of financial position to present total assets followed by total liabilities.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Changes to common terminology used in the financial statements, including re-naming accumulated surplus (deficit) to net assets (liabilities).</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Removal of the statement of remeasurement gains (losses) with the information instead included on a new statement called the statement of changes in net assets (liabilities). This new statement would present the changes in each component of net assets (liabilities), including a new component called "accumulated other".</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>A new provision whereby an entity can use an amended budget in certain circumstances.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Inclusion of disclosures related to risks and uncertainties that could affect the entity's financial position.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The Public Sector Accounting Board is currently deliberating on feedback received on exposure drafts related to the reporting model.</li> </ul>



#### 

# **Appendix E: Changes in accounting standards (continued)**

Standard	Summary and implications
Employee benefits	<ul> <li>The Public Sector Accounting Board has initiated a review of sections PS 3250 Retirement benefits and PS 3255 Post- employment benefits, compensated absences and termination benefits.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The intention is to use principles from International Public Sector Accounting Standard 39 Employee benefits as a starting point to develop the Canadian standard.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Given the complexity of issues involved and potential implications of any changes that may arise from the review of the existing guidance, the new standards will be implemented in a multi-release strategy. The first standard will provide foundational guidance. Subsequent standards will provide additional guidance on current and emerging issues.</li> </ul>
	• The proposed section PS 3251 <i>Employee benefits</i> will replace the current sections PS 3250 <i>Retirement benefits</i> and PS 3255 <i>Post-employment benefits, compensated absences and termination benefits.</i> It will apply to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2026. Early adoption will be permitted and guidance applied retroactively.
	<ul> <li>This proposed section would result in public sector entities recognizing the impact of revaluations of the net defined benefit liability (asset) immediately on the statement of financial position. Organizations would also assess the funding status of their post-employment benefit plans to determine the appropriate rate for discounting post-employment benefit obligations.</li> </ul>
	• The Public Sector Accounting Board is in the process of evaluating comments received from stakeholders on the exposure draft





### **Appendix F: Audit and assurance insights**

Our latest thinking on the issues that matter most to Audit Committees, board of directors and management.





### **Appendix G: Suite of optional transition reliefs**

In response to practical concerns, the standards allow for transition reliefs, applicable only in the first year of application



### **Climate-first option**

Allows entities to report only on climate-related risks and opportunities

Disclosing scope 3 GHG emissions

Relief from disclosing scope 3 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions

### Timing of sustainability reporting

Allows entities to report sustainability-related financial disclosures after their financial statements, but by Q2 interim reporting

### **Presenting comparative information**

Allows entities an additional year to report comparative information

If climate-first option is elected, only climate-related comparative information is required in the second year of application

### Using the GHG Protocol

Relief from using the GHG Protocol Standards to measure greenhouse gas emissions for entities that currently use a different methodology

### **Appendix G: Questions to start getting ready**



#### When could they affect you?

- Effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2024 (pending adoption decisions in Canada)
- If not adopted, entities may still choose to adopt the standards voluntarily



### Where will the information be disclosed?

- It depends the standards currently do not specify a single location
- The ISSB is committed to focus on integration in reporting



### What if you've already adopted other frameworks?

- Map how the standards differ from current frameworks used (i.e., conduct a reporting gap assessment)
- Focus on matters that affect your entity's prospects and consider what will impact an investor's assessment of those prospects



04

14

### Will they require scenario analysis? Entities will need to use scenario analysis when describing

- Entities will need to use scenario analysis when describing their assessment of climate resilience (i.e., analyze the impact of different climate-related risks and assumptions)
- The ISSB will provide guidance on the analysis that will be appropriate for different types of entities

#### Will your reporting need assurance?

- Assurance requirements are not within the remit of the ISSB
- In Canada, regulators may choose to require assurance similar to what has been directed in the EU and proposed by the SEC
- Regardless of regulatory assurance requirements, entities will need to ensure they have processes and controls in place to produce robust and timely information

### What do they mean for broader sustainability reporting?

- The standards are part of an evolution from fragmented, voluntary frameworks to authoritative standard setting
- Reporting to meet public policy and other needs is likely to continue as a separate strand of reporting



Consider where additional data is needed

23



### Appendix G: What do you need to do now?

05

#### Understand the Impact

- Research and understand current and emerging requirements
- Understand when, where and how this will impact your company

#### Get ready for assurance

- Assess the control environment, data quality and availability of sufficient documentation
- Undergo an assurance readiness assessment with your auditor
- Rectify issues ahead of the formal assurance process (when and if mandated in Canada).

Deter Deter Deter O Deter Deter

#### Determine what is material

- Determine which topics are relevant to report
   on
- Decide what information is material about those topics

#### Assess maturity

- Assess maturity of processes, the control environment, data model and policies related to ESG
- Understand the current distribution of roles and available knowledge and capacity



#### **Transform reporting**

transformation

- Design the future state of your sustainability reporting
- Deploy your target operating model, including training as well as support for change management



#### 

# **Appendix G: Regulatory updates**

EU (EFRAG)	SEC	OSFI B-15	
<ul> <li>The European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG) was mandated to develop draft European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRSs) setting out the detailed disclosure requirements under the CSRD</li> <li>There are considerable ESG reporting implications for non-EU based entities - scope includes non-EU entities or groups with significant operations in the EU</li> <li>In June 2023, the European Commission released a series of proposed changes to the draft ESRSs. The most significant amendments to the proposals are: <ul> <li>General disclosures under the ESRS will remain mandatory. Other ESRS disclosures will be subject to a materiality assessment;</li> <li>Additional phase-in relief for all entities; and</li> <li>Amendments aiming to improve interoperability with international standards and to align with other European legislation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Proposal published in March 2022 would require investor-focused climate disclosures</li> <li>In June 2023, the SEC released its updated regulatory agenda, which listed a final climate rule to be issued in October 2023</li> <li>The SEC's updated regulatory agenda also included various other ESG-related items, including a final rule on cybersecurity risk governance and proposals on corporate board diversity and human capital disclosures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In March 2023, OSFI published its final guideline <i>B-15 Climate Risk Management</i>. The requirements will be effective fiscal year-end 2024 for Domestic Systemically Important Banks and Internationally Active Insurance Groups headquartered in Canada, and fiscal year-end 2025 for all other in-scope federally regulated financial institutions (FRFIs)</li> <li>FRFIs will be required to report climate-related financial disclosures no later than 180 days after fiscal year-end</li> <li>Final disclosure expectation and/or timing of implementation of OSFI-specified prudential cross-industry and industry-specific metrics to be determined at a later date</li> <li>Assurance not required at this time, but FRFIs should work towards a future state in which external assurance is expected</li> </ul>	w
<ul> <li>The EU is expected to adopt the final standards in August 2023</li> </ul>	2. Refer to our publication <u>ESRS resource centre</u>	or regulatory updates on the proposed SEC climate rules for developments on the proposed ESRSs ability proposals issued by the ISSB, SEC and EFRAG	i.



- Proposal published in October 2021 • would require investor-focused climate disclosures
- In October 2022, the CSA stated that it continues to actively consider international developments and how they may impact or inform its proposed climate-related disclosure rule

#### What about the CSSB?

• The CSSB's mandate is to develop and support the adoption of international sustainability standards in Canada

\_\_\_\_\_

· In April 2023, the CSSB's first-ever chair and initial members were appointed

\_\_\_\_\_

Recent Activity<sup>1,2,3</sup>

- standards in August 2023
- Refer to our <u>guide</u> which compares the sustainability proposals issued by the ISSB, SEC and EFRAG

KPMG



### У in 🕇 🖙 💽 🖻

#### https://kpmg.com/ca/en/home.html

© 2023 KPMG LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

