



Kagan | Shastri  
DeMelo | Winer | Park  
LAWYERS | LLP

Jason Park  
Direct: 416.645.4572  
[jpark@ksllp.ca](mailto:jpark@ksllp.ca)

250 Yonge St  
Suite 2302, PO Box 65  
Toronto, ON M5B 2L7

File No. 23121

October 8, 2024

VIA EMAIL [clerk@toronto.ca](mailto:clerk@toronto.ca) and [councilmeeting@toronto.ca](mailto:councilmeeting@toronto.ca)

John D. Elvidge  
City Clerk  
Toronto City Council  
12<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower, City Hall  
100 Queen Street West  
Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

**Attention: Sylwia Przedziecki, City Council Secretariat**

**Re: Proposed Official Plan Amendments 668 and 680 (“OPA 668” and “OPA 680”)  
Letter of Concern  
3759, 3761, 3763, 3765, and 3855 Chesswood Drive, Toronto**

**Item: 2024.CC22.7 - Amending Item 2024.PH14.1 in response to Bill 97  
Proclamation - Employment Area Land Use Permissions - Official Plan  
Amendment 680**

---

Dear Mayor and Members of Council,

Please be advised that we represent the Mayfair Tennis Courts Limited (the “**Owner**”) the Owner of the lands municipally known as 3759, 3761, 3763, 3765, and 3855 Chesswood Drive, Toronto (the “**Subject Site**”). The Subject Site is designated as *Core Employment Areas* in the City of Toronto Official Plan (the “**Toronto OP**”). The Subject Site is currently used for recreational uses.

On behalf of the Owner, we are writing to file our concerns with the proposed OPA 668 and OPA 680. Amongst other things, the Owner wishes to maintain the existing uses within *Employment Areas* designation currently in the **Toronto OP**. On the October 9, 2024 Council agenda, this is item 2024.CC22.7.

### **Background**

City Council on July 19, 2023 endorsed in principle OPA 668 but has yet to formally adopt this OPA. OPA 680 was endorsed in principle by City Council on July 24, 2024 but once again has not been adopted as of yet. OPA 668 and OPA 680 were brought forward to align the Toronto OP’s land use permissions for its *Employment Areas* with the recently revised Provincial definition for

“area of employment” as brought forward through Bill 97, the *Helping Homebuyers, Protecting Tenants Act, 2023* and the new Provincial Planning Statement, 2024 (the “**New PPS**”). The new definition will come into force on October 20, 2024.

In the June 24, 2024 staff report for OPA 680, City staff provided an overview of the legislative and policy changes for land use types in “areas of employment”. City staff noted that OPA 668 would first transition land use permissions that are removed from the new “area of employment” definition (once in effect) prior to OPA 680 aligning employment policies with the new definition. City staff stated that OPA 668 will help ensure that the current permissions under which today’s businesses are accustomed to operating under will remain in place; following which OPA 680 will take effect to also ensure that these lands are still defined as employment areas under the new Provincial definition, providing local businesses continued confidence with future investments in these areas.

### **Concern with OPA 668 and 680**

While proposed OPA 680 (as well as OPA 668) provides policies with respect to “lawfully established” uses, it is our position it does not provide sufficient clarity regarding the appropriate interpretation of “lawfully established” uses within the context of Toronto OP policies and designations. In this regard, sufficient clarity is crucial as the uses that are permitted today should be permitted to continue in the future so that the current tenants of the Subject Site could have the ability to expand their operations without the necessity of having to go through the process of an application under the *Planning Act*.

The proposed policy direction for OPA 680 proposes to remove existing land use permissions from all of the City’s employment areas with no regard for whether this is appropriate. This is unacceptable. Further, as others have noted in their letters of objection for Item 2024.PH14.1, the City staff view is that OPA 668 would allow institutional and commercial permissions to continue generally in all existing employment areas despite OPA 680’s removal of those permissions. In our opinion, this interpretation is incorrect and consequently, our client may need to appeal both OPAs.

We are also concerned that OPA 680 does not meet the legislative intent of Bill 97 and the New PPS for areas of employment. The clear intent of these *Planning Act* changes and the New PPS was to allow certain lands that were originally designated as *Employment Areas* in the Toronto OP but through the permission of office, recreational, retail and institutional uses have transformed into areas which would no longer qualify as areas of employment under Bill 97 and the New PPS to be developed for mixed uses without being considered an employment land conversion.

Please also accept this letter as our request to be provided notice for all further decisions, meetings, reports, etc. related to OPA 668 and OPA 680.

Thank you for City Council's consideration of the concerns with OPA 668 and OPA 680 raised in this letter.

Yours truly,

**KAGAN SHASTRI DeMELO WINER PARK LLP**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'JIP', written over a horizontal line.

Jason Park  
JIP/CJD/ss

cc:

A. Benedetto/P. Zentil/J. Zentil