BRAUTI THORNING LLP BT LEGAL —



Lorne Honickman

Direct: 416.360.2786 Tel: 416.362.4567 Fax: 416-362.8410 Ihonickman@btlegal.ca

December 20, 2023

SENT VIA REGISTERED MAIL Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal Attention: City Clerk's Office City Hall, 2nd Floor 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

Dear Counsel:

Re: Redemption Paws - Appeal of Order A23-057062

Please be advised that we are counsel to Redemption Paws in the above-noted matter.

We attempted to file our client's Appeal Form and filing fee today in person, in order to ensure that the Appeal Form was received in advance of the thirty days after the date of the Order was served, being December 22, 2023. However, we were advised that the only form of accepted filing is via registered mail.

Thus, we have sent this letter and the enclosed Appeal Form and cheque via registered mail today, December 20, 2023.

We look forward to receiving confirmation of acceptance and filing of the above-noted appeal.

Yours truly,

BRAUTI THORNING LLP

Lorne Honickman LH/mm Encl.



An owner who has been served with a Dangerous Dog Order may, under section 349-16 of Chapter 349 of the Toronto Municipal Code, apply for a hearing to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal to appeal an officer's determination of a dangerous dog.

Appeal Procedure

This request for appeal form and the appeal fee must be received by the City no later than 30 days after the date the Dangerous Dog order was served on the dog owner. Failure to file an appeal within this time limit will result in the request for appeal being rejected.

This form must be submitted, along with the appeal fee of \$374.14 (based on the Council-approved fee of \$331.10 + HST) by registered mail to the following address:

Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal Attention: City Clerk's Office City Hall, 2nd Floor 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

The non-refundable appeal fee must be submitted by certified cheque, money order or bank draft, payable to Treasurer, City of Toronto.

SECTION A – DOG OWNER INFORMATION	
Name of Dog Owner (First, Last):	
Redemption Paws	
Address of Dog Owner:	
Dangerous Dog Order Reference Number:	Date Dangerous Dog Order was Served:
A295-705 7062	Movember 22, 2023
SECTION B - REQUESTOR INFORMATION	
Name of Person Requesting the Appeal (First, L	_ast):
Redemption Pews	
Counsel: Loine Honickman and Laura Brow	n, brown Thermag LLP
Address (Street Number, Street Name, City, Pro	ovince, Postal Code):
Counsel Address: 26 Wellington Street East	STURBER 4800. Transpires. ON MEET 182



other materials you provide for consideration at the hearing and anything else you provide to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal. Please keep this in mind when submitting personal information such as names, addresses or health information to the Tribunal.

A copy of this form, with the address of the dog owner and the address, telephone number and e-mail address of the person requesting the appeal removed, will be posted as part of the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal agenda on the City's public website.

Provided the form and fee are properly submitted, you will receive further information on the date of your hearing from the Tribunal Secretary. Please note that you will have disclosure obligations under the Dangerous Dog Tribunal's Rules of Procedure. More information about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal can be found here: https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/">https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/">https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/.

If you have any questions about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal, the information you have provided to the Tribunal on this form or otherwise, or any items on the Tribunal's agenda, you may send an e-mail to ddrt@toronto.ca.



An owner who has been served with a Dangerous Dog Order may, under section 349-16 of Chapter 349 of the Toronto Municipal Code, apply for a hearing to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal to appeal an officer's determination of a dangerous dog.

Appeal Procedure

This request for appeal form and the appeal fee must be received by the City no later than 30 days after the date the Dangerous Dog order was served on the dog owner. Failure to file an appeal within this time limit will result in the request for appeal being rejected.

This form must be submitted, along with the appeal fee of \$374.14 (based on the Council-approved fee of \$331.10 + HST) by registered mail to the following address:

Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal Attention: City Clerk's Office City Hall, 2nd Floor 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

The non-refundable appeal fee must be submitted by certified cheque, money order or bank draft, payable to Treasurer, City of Toronto.

SECTION A - DOG OWNER INFORMATION	
Name of Dog Owner (First, Last):	
Redemption Paws	
Address of Dog Owner	
Dangerous Dog Order Reference Number:	Date Dangerous Dog Order was Served:
Man A23-057062	November 22, 2023
SECTION B - REQUESTOR INFORMATION	
Name of Person Requesting the Appeal (First, L Redemption Pows Counsel: Lorne Honickn	
Address (Street Number, Street Name, City, Pro	vince Postal Code):
Counsel: Brown Thorning	J LLP
Counsel: Brauti Thorning 26 Wellington St. East,	Toronto, ON M5E 152

3 1 1



Note: If an interpreter in a language other than English or French is required in a proceeding, the party calling the witness whose evidence or submission needs interpretation must provide a certified interpreter. SECTION C − REASON(S) FOR APPEAL Reasons for Appeal Check all that apply: Wrong dog identified in order No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Vother, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to a Surfucion of the dog in issue, Surfucion of the dog, as an owner of Surfucion Date Occurred to the Roster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfucion Date Occurred to Bate Occurred to Surfucion Date Occurred to Bate Occurred to Surfucion Date Occurred to Surfucio	Note: If an interpreter in a language other than English or French is required in a proceeding, the party calling the witness whose evidence or submission needs interpretation must provide a certified interpreter. SECTION C − REASON(S) FOR APPEAL Reasons for Appeal Check all that apply: Wrong dog identified in order No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to the Surfucive, and foils to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfucive Section D - Authorization	Telephone Number:	6-362-4567 Email: Lhonickman@btlegal.ca Lbrown@btlegal.ca
Reasons for Appeal Check all that apply: Wrong dog identified in order No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	Reasons for Appeal Check all that apply: Wrong dog identified in order No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	Note: If an interpreter in a licalling the witness whose e	anguage other than English or French is required in a proceeding, the party
Check all that apply: □ Wrong dog identified in order ✓ No dangerous act □ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to □ Surfucie, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfucie. Surfucie.	Check all that apply: □ Wrong dog identified in order ✓ No dangerous act □ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to □ Surfucie, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfucie. Surfucie.	SECTION C - REASON	(S) FOR APPEAL
 Wrong dog identified in order ✓ No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization 	 Wrong dog identified in order ✓ No dangerous act First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization 	Reasons for Appeal	
No dangerous act □ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act □ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence □ Other, please specify. Order incorrectly refers to the as the awner of the dog in issue, surfucive, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of surfucive section D - Authorization	✓ No dangerous act □ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to the asymptotic fortier owners of the dog in issue, surfucive, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of surfucive section D - Authorization	Check all that apply:	
□ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify. Order incorrectly refers to □ AS the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	□ First, not second or subsequent dangerous act ✓ Dangerous act not severe □ Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act □ Dog was acting in self-defence ✓ Other, please specify. Order incorrectly refers to □ As the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	□ Wrong dog identified i	n order
Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization	Dangerous act not severe Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Other, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization	√ No dangerous act	
Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Nother, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization	Dangerous act did not occur while the dog was subject to a muzzle or caution notice under a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Nother, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, Surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave Section D - Authorization	First, not second or su	bsequent dangerous act
a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Nother, please specify. Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	a City Animal Control By-law or a control order under the Dog Owners' Liability Act Dog was acting in self-defence Nother, please specify: Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, surfwave, and fails to refer to the Foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D - AUTHORIZATION	√ Dangerous act not seven	vere
Surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of Surfwave section D-Authorization	as the owner of the dog in issue, surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of surfwave section D-AUTHORIZATION	그 그 그 그는 것이 하게 살 그들이 보고 하는 것 같다. 그런 그렇게 되는 것이 없는 것이 없었다.	는 (M. 2018) 전에 발표하면 생각이 되었다면 보고 있다면 보다 이 사람이 되었다면 보다 되었다면 보다 되었다면 보다 되었다면 보다 되었다면 되었다면 보다 되었다면 보다 보다 보다 보다 보다 보다 보다 보다 되었다면 보다 보다 보다 되었다면 보다 보다 보다 되었다면 보다 보다 보다 되었다면 보다 되었다면 보다 보다 보다 보다 되었다면 보다
FOSTER OWNERS OF the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D-AUTHORIZATION	FOSTER OWNERS OF the dog, as an owner of Surfwave SECTION D-AUTHORIZATION	- 500	
		d Other, please specify.	Order incorrectly refers to as the owner of the dog in issue, surfwave, and fails to refer to the foster owners of the dog, as an owner of surfwave
Date December 18, 2023	Date December 18, 2023	SECTION D - AUTHORIZA	TION
			Date December 18, 2023

This appeal form, as part of the appeal process, will be maintained for the purpose of creating a record that is available to the general public. This means that the form, in its entirety, may be available to the general public on request along with any evidence or



Page 2 of 3



other materials you provide for consideration at the hearing and anything else you provide to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal. Please keep this in mind when submitting personal information such as names, addresses or health information to the Tribunal.

A copy of this form, with the address of the dog owner and the address, telephone number and e-mail address of the person requesting the appeal removed, will be posted as part of the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal agenda on the City's public website.

Provided the form and fee are properly submitted, you will receive further information on the date of your hearing from the Tribunal Secretary. Please note that you will have disclosure obligations under the Dangerous Dog Tribunal's Rules of Procedure. More information about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal can be found here: https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/">https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/.

If you have any questions about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal, the information you have provided to the Tribunal on this form or otherwise, or any items on the Tribunal's agenda, you may send an e-mail to ddrt@toronto.ca.



Page 3 of 3



An owner who has been served with a Dangerous Dog Order may, under section 349-16 of Chapter 349 of the Toronto Municipal Code, apply for a hearing to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal to appeal an officer's determination of a dangerous dog.

Appeal Procedure

This request for appeal form and the appeal fee must be received by the City no later than 30 days after the date the Dangerous Dog order was served on the dog owner. Failure to file an appeal within this time limit will result in the request for appeal being rejected.

This form must be submitted, along with the appeal fee of \$374.14 (based on the Council-approved fee of \$331.10 + HST) by registered mail to the following address:

Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal Attention: City Clerk's Office City Hall, 2nd Floor 100 Queen Street West Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

The non-refundable appeal fee must be submitted by certified cheque, money order or bank draft, payable to Treasurer, City of Toronto.

SECTION A - DOG OWNER INFORMATION	
Name of Dog Owner (First, Last):	
Redemption Paws	
Dangerous Dog Order Reference Number:	Date Dangerous Dog Order was Served:
Man A23-057062	November 22, 2023
SECTION B - REQUESTOR INFORMATION	
Name of Person Requesting the Appeal (First, L Redemption Pows	ast):
Counsel: Lorne Honicky	man + Laura Brown
Address (Street Number, Street Name, City, Pro	ovince, Postal Code):
Counsel: Brauti Thorning 26 Wellington St. East,	3 LLP ON LISE 150
50 MEIIINGTON St. FORT	1010MO, ON MUE 727

311 terente at your service

07-0254 2023-08

Page 1 of 3



Telephone Number:	-362-4567	Email: Lhonickman@btle		
Do you require an interprete Note: If an interpreter in a la calling the witness whose e interpreter.	anguage other than En	Noldiglish or French is required in a provinced in a provinced interpretation must provi	proceeding, the party de a certified	
SECTION C - REASON	S) FOR APPEAL			
Reasons for Appeal				
Check all that apply:				
□ Wrong dog identified in	n order			
√ No dangerous act				
First, not second or su	bsequent dangerous	act		
√ Dangerous act not seven ✓ Dangerous act	ere			
 Dangerous act did not a City Animal Control By- 	occur while the dog law or a control orde	was subject to a muzzle or ca er under the Dog Owners' Liab	aution notice under oility Act	
Dog was acting in self				
✓ Other, please specify.	POILL MODE! O	nectly refers to her of the dog and fails to refer of the dog, as an	c to the	Surtwave
SECTION D - AUTHORIZA				
Signature		Date December 18, 1	2013	

This appeal form, as part of the appeal process, will be maintained for the purpose of creating a record that is available to the general public. This means that the form, in its entirety, may be available to the general public on request along with any evidence or



Page 2 of 3



other materials you provide for consideration at the hearing and anything else you provide to the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal. Please keep this in mind when submitting personal information such as names, addresses or health information to the Tribunal.

A copy of this form, with the address of the dog owner and the address, telephone number and e-mail address of the person requesting the appeal removed, will be posted as part of the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal agenda on the City's public website.

Provided the form and fee are properly submitted, you will receive further information on the date of your hearing from the Tribunal Secretary. Please note that you will have disclosure obligations under the Dangerous Dog Tribunal's Rules of Procedure. More information about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal can be found here: https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/">https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations/adjudicative-boards/dangerous-dog-review-tribunal/.

If you have any questions about the Dangerous Dog Review Tribunal, the information you have provided to the Tribunal on this form or otherwise, or any items on the Tribunal's agenda, you may send an e-mail to ddrt@toronto.ca.

