

From: [Bayview Cummer Neighbourhood Association](#)
To: [North York Community Council](#)
Subject: [External Sender] Our comments for 2025.NY26.2 on September 17, 2025 North York Community Council
Date: September 16, 2025 4:03:35 PM

To the City Clerk

Please add our comments below to the agenda for the September 17, 2025 North York Community Council meeting on item 2025.NY26.2, Maxome Avenue - Traffic Calming (Speed Humps) - Reopened from NY22.17

We understand that our comments and the personal information in this email will form part of the public record and that our organization will be listed as a correspondent on agendas and minutes of City Council or its committees. Also, we understand that agendas and minutes are posted online and our organisation may be indexed by search engines like Google.

On behalf of the Bayview Cummer Neighbourhood Association (whose catchment includes the street in question), we would like to have the following comments noted:

- The BCNA's survey late last year showed that a majority of respondents (albeit few in total) were not in favour of the traffic calming measures. This information was communicated to the City.
- At Councillor Cheng's community meeting that the BCNA helped organize/promote earlier this year, our Board sensed that the majority of area residents who attended the event were not in favour of the traffic calming measures. We also know that some of the attendees who spoke out in favour of the traffic calming measures do not live in or near our area, and we are unsure as to why they even attended the meeting.
- We are also aware of the two petitions/documents on this matter, one in favour of the traffic calming (signed by roughly 15 area residents) and one against the traffic calming measures (signed by over 200 area residents).

We understand the City wishes to strike a balance between safety and access, and we understand there are many factors to consider. However, we believe the will of the majority of the area's actual residents should be a big part of those considerations, and we do not see that happening. Initially, we encouraged the City to try to determine the opinions of area residents in a democratic manner. We understand that a new proposal has now been put forward. The BCNA was not directly informed of the details of this new proposal, but based on what we have heard, we find it difficult to see this new proposal (12 speed humps instead of 20 and a reduction of the speed limit) as a "good faith" compromise.

The BCNA views this as a disappointing development in a decision-making process that has missed opportunities to take into account democratic input from the community. We would urge you to reconsider the information that has been collected so far regarding the desires of the area members.

Regards,
The BCNA Board of Directors

The Bayview Cummer Neighbourhood Association
BLOCKEDbayviewcummer[.]ca/BLOCKED
[BCNA YouTube](#)
[BCNA facebook](#)

