

From: [Wojtek Biczysko](#)
To: [Planning and Housing](#)
Subject: [External Sender] Re: Please add these comments to the agenda for the July 15, 2025 Planning and Housing Committee meeting on item P23.3, Zoning Amendment Application for 66Third Street.
Date: July 14, 2025 12:00:57 PM

| July 14, 2025

By Email to

Planning and Housing Committee

[City of Toronto](#)
[100 Queen Street West](#)
[Toronto, ON M5H 2N2](#)

To the City Clerk:

Please add these comments to the agenda for the July 15, 2025 Planning and Housing Committee meeting on item P23.3, Zoning Amendment Application for 66-66Y Third Street.

We request that these comments be publicly visible on line and understand that these comments and the personal information in this email will form part of the public record and that our name will be listed as a correspondent on agendas and minutes of City Council or its committees. Also, we understand that agendas and minutes are posted online and our name may be indexed by search engines like Google.

Re: Strong Opposition to Zoning By-law Amendment for 66–66Y Third Street

Dear Chair and Members of the Planning and Housing Committee,

I am writing as a resident of New Toronto to express my strong opposition to the proposed zoning by-law amendment for 66–66Y Third Street. This proposal, which seeks to convert a small community parking lot into a multi-storey shelter, is deeply concerning for several reasons.

1. Height and Massing Incompatibility

The proposed building's height and massing are entirely out of character with the surrounding area. The current zoning allows for a maximum height of 11 to 12 metres, yet the proposal suggests a building height of up to 25 metres, including mechanical structures. This significant increase in height would overshadow neighbouring properties, particularly the single-storey homes to the south and the low-rise Woods Manor to the west, leading to a loss of privacy and a negative impact on the residential character of the street.

2. Setbacks and Privacy Concerns

The proposed setbacks are minimal, with the front yard reduced to zero metres and side yard setbacks as low as one metre. This lack of space between the building and neighbouring properties would result in direct sightlines into private homes, compromising residents' privacy and enjoyment of their properties. The design also includes balconies and rooftop amenity spaces that would overlook adjacent homes, further exacerbating these concerns.

3. Unsuitability for Seniors The proposed multi-storey design is not suitable for a seniors' shelter. The building's height and density are more appropriate for urban areas with higher population densities and better access to services. New Toronto, with its low-rise residential character, is not equipped to support such an intensive development, especially one intended for seniors who may have mobility challenges.

4. Lack of Parking and Traffic Concerns

The proposal includes no parking for staff, visitors, or residents. This omission would likely lead to an increase of on-street parking in an area already experiencing congestion. Additionally, the lack of designated loading zones and service areas raises concerns about traffic flow and the safe delivery of services, especially emergency services and garbage collection to the facility.

Conclusion

The proposed zoning by-law amendment for 66–66Y Third Street represents a significant departure from the established character of New Toronto. The issues of height, massing, setbacks, privacy, suitability for seniors, and lack of parking are substantial and cannot be overlooked. I urge the Planning and Housing Committee to reject this proposal and consider alternative sites that are better suited to such a development.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Sincerely,

Wojtek Biczysko

Third Street Resident

New Toronto