




To Live

**Audit Findings Report
for the year ended
December 31, 2025**

KPMG LLP

Prepared as of April 7th, 2026 for presentation to the Audit Committee
on **June 11, 2026**

kpmg.ca/audit



KPMG contacts

Key contacts in connection with this engagement



Kevin Travers

Lead Audit Engagement Partner

416-228-7004

ktravers@kpmg.com

Table of contents

Digital use information

This Audit Findings Report is also available as a “hyper-linked” PDF document.

If you are reading in electronic form (e.g. In “Adobe Reader” or “Board Books”), clicking on the home symbol on the top right corner will bring you back to this slide.



Click on any item in the table of contents to navigate to that section.

4

Highlights

5

Status

6

Risks and results

11

Policies and practices

13

Specific topics

14

Misstatements

15

Control deficiencies

16

Audit quality

17

Independence

18

Appendices



Audit highlights



No matters to report



Matters to report – see link for details

Status

We have completed the audit of the financial statements (“financial statements”), with the exception of certain remaining outstanding procedures, which are highlighted on the ‘Status’ slide of this report.



Significant changes



Significant changes since our audit plan



Risks and results & Significant unusual transactions



Significant risks



- management override of controls



Going concern matters



Significant unusual transactions



Policies and practices & Specific topics



Accounting policies and practices



Other financial reporting matters



Specific topics



Misstatements uncorrected



Uncorrected misstatements

Profit before tax (in \$'000s)

As currently presented \$17

Uncorrected misstatements \$0

As a % of the balance N/a



Total assets (in \$'000s)

As currently presented \$22,417

Uncorrected misstatements \$0

As a % of the balance N/a

Misstatements Corrected



Corrected misstatements



- No matters to be reported

Control deficiencies



Significant deficiencies



Audit Quality



Learn more about how we deliver audit quality.



Independence



Annual Statement of Compliance





Status

As of April 7th, 2026, we have completed the audit of the financial statements, with the exception of certain remaining procedures, which include amongst others:

- Completing our discussions with the Audit Committee
- Obtaining evidence of the Board of Director's approval of the financial statements
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter (dated upon Board approval of the financial statements)
- Completion of subsequent events procedures, up to the date of approval of the financial statements

We will update the Audit Committee, and not solely the Chair, on significant matters, if any, arising from the completion of the audit, including the completion of the above procedures.

Our auditor's report, will be dated upon the completion of any remaining procedures.

A draft of our auditor's report is provided in Appendix: Draft Auditor's Report.

KPMG Clara for Clients (KCc)



Real time collaboration and transparency


We leveraged **KCc** to facilitate real time collaboration with management and provide visual insights into the status of the audit!

On our audit we used KCc to coordinate requests with management.





Materiality



We **initially determine materiality** at a level at which we consider that misstatements could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users. Determining materiality is a matter of **professional judgement**, considering both quantitative and qualitative factors, and is affected by our perception of the common financial information needs of users of the financial statements as a group. We do not consider the possible effect of misstatements on specific individual users, whose needs may vary widely.

We **reassess materiality** throughout the audit and revise materiality if we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different materiality level initially.

Plan and perform the audit

We **initially determine materiality** to provide a basis for:

- Determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures;
- Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement; and
- Determining the nature, timing, and extent of further audit procedures.


We design our procedures to detect misstatements at a level less than materiality in individual accounts and disclosures, to reduce to an appropriately low level the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole.

Evaluate the effect of misstatements

We also **use materiality** to evaluate the effect of:

- Identified misstatements on our audit; and
- Uncorrected misstatements, if any, on the financial statements and in forming our opinion.

Initial Materiality



Total Expenditures

\$39,523,000

(2024: \$42,600,000)

Audit Misstatement Posting Threshold

\$49,350

(2024: \$42,700)

Significant risks and results

We highlight our significant findings in respect of **significant risks**.

Presumption of the risk of fraud resulting from management override of controls RISK OF FRAUD		
Significant risk	Estimate?	Key audit matter?
Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Although the level of risk of management override of controls will vary from entity to entity, the risk nevertheless is present in all entities	No	No
Our response As this presumed risk of material misstatement due to fraud is not rebuttable, our audit methodology incorporates the required procedures in professional standards to address this risk. These procedures include: • testing of journal entries and other adjustments, • evaluating the business rationale of significant unusual transactions.		
Findings • We did not note any significant control deficiencies in our evaluation of the design and implementation and test operating effectiveness of selected relevant controls over financial reporting. • We tested manual and automated journal entries and other adjustments by using Data & Analytics routines. Using extractions from the complete general ledger, we selected a sample of journal entries meeting pre-determined high-risk criteria and verified if they were supported by proper documentation and appropriately recorded in the general ledger. We also followed the journal entry initiation and approval controls and process in place. • We did not identify any issues or concerns after performing our review of estimates. • We did not identify any significant unusual transactions or any specific additional risks of management override during our audit.		



Other Areas of Focus

We highlight our significant findings in respect of other areas of focus as well as any additional areas of focus identified

Area of Focus	Audit Procedures
1 Cash	 • Obtained confirmations of the year-end cash and saving balances from third parties. • Reviewed bank reconciliations and vouched significant reconciliation items to supporting documentation. • Reviewed financial statements disclosures.
2 Capital Assets	 • Selected a sample of additions and agreed to original invoices to ensure proper accounting treatment. • Assessed the reasonableness of amortization expense. • All useful lives are based on corporate policies and did not change from prior year. KPMG reviewed the useful lives used in amortization calculation and ensured that all were in line with the Board's policy. The estimation uncertainty related to useful lives does not result in a risk of material misstatement.
3 Due to/from the City	 • Obtained confirmations from City of Toronto to ensure existence, accuracy and completeness of the intercompany receivable and payable balance. • Agreed transfers from Facility Fee Reserve Fund and State of Good Repair budget to supporting documents and ensured receipt of funds. • Verified transfer to the State of Good Repair budget and Facility Fee Reserve Fund expense items are classified correctly.



Other Areas of Focus

We highlight our significant findings in respect of other areas of focus as well as any additional areas of focus identified

Area of Focus	Audit Procedures
4 Transfer to/from funds	 - Obtained confirmations from City of Toronto to ensure existence, accuracy and completeness of the intercompany receivable and payable balance. - Agreed transfers from Facility Fee Reserve Fund and State of Good Repair budget to supporting documents and ensured receipt of funds. - Verified transfer to the State of Good Repair budget and Facility Fee Reserve Fund expense items are classified correctly.
5 Accounts payable and operating expenses	 - Performed a search for unrecorded liabilities by extracting lists of subsequent payments and accounts payable details and selected samples for testing. - Selected a sample of expense transactions and agreed to original invoices to ensure the proper classification of expenses. - Reviewed supporting documentation for significant accruals.
6 Legal claim liability	 - Reviewed Board and Committee meeting minutes. - Discussed any outstanding litigations and claims with management. - Evaluated whether significant contingent liabilities are appropriately disclosed and/or recorded. - Management performs their best estimate on the outcome of active legal claims based on present information. KPMG reviewed all legal invoices and obtained direct confirmation from legal counsel to ensure the current estimate is reasonable. The estimation uncertainty related to liability from legal claims does not result in a risk of material misstatement.

Accounting policies and practices



Initial selection of significant accounting policies and practices

No new significant accounting policies and practices were selected and applied during the period.



Description of new or revised significant accounting policies and practices

No Changes to significant accounting policies and practices that have an impact on the financial statements.



Significant qualitative aspects

Discussion about qualitative aspects of significant accounting policies and practices

- **Appropriateness:** TO Live accounting policy and practice is considered appropriate. The financial statements of the Board have been prepared by management in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards ("PSAS"), including accounting standards that only apply to government not-for-profit organizations.
- **Management bias:** We did not identified bias in management's judgments about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

Other financial reporting matters

We also highlight the following:



Financial statement presentation - form, arrangement, and content



No matters to report



Concerns regarding application of new accounting pronouncements



No matters to report



Significant qualitative aspects of financial statement presentation and disclosure



No matters to report



Specific topics

We have highlighted the following that we would like to bring to your attention:

Matter	Finding
Illegal acts, including noncompliance with laws and regulations, or fraud (identified or suspected)	No matters to report
Other information in documents containing the audited financial statements	No matters to report
Significant difficulties encountered during the audit	No matters to report
Difficult or contentious matters for which the auditor consulted	No matters to report
Management's consultation with other accountants	No matters to report
Disagreements with management	No matters to report
Related parties	No matters to report
Significant issues in connection with our appointment or retention	No matters to report
Other matters that are relevant matters of governance interest	No matters to report
Significant matters subject to correspondence with management	No matters to report
Issues with sending external confirmation requests	No matters to report

Uncorrected misstatements

Uncorrected misstatements include financial presentation and disclosure omissions. As required by professional standards, we request these misstatements be corrected.



Impact of uncorrected misstatements – Not material to the financial statements

- The management representation letter includes the Summary of Uncorrected Misstatements, which discloses the impact of all uncorrected misstatements considered to be other than clearly trivial
- Based on both qualitative and quantitative considerations, management have decided not to correct certain misstatements and represented to us that the misstatements —individually and in the aggregate—are, in their judgment, not material to the financial statements. This management representation is included in the management representation letter.
- We concur with management’s representation that the uncorrected misstatements are not material to the financial statements. Accordingly, the uncorrected misstatements have no effect on our auditor’s report.
- Discussion about the uncorrected misstatements or matters underlying the uncorrected misstatements (e.g. control deficiencies) could potentially cause future-period financial statements to be materially misstated.

Below is a summary of the impact of the uncorrected misstatement:

Profit before tax	(in \$'000s)	Total assets	(in \$'000s)
As currently presented	\$ 17	As currently presented	\$22,417
Uncorrected misstatements	\$0	Uncorrected misstatements	\$0
As a % of the balance	N/a	As a % of the balance	N/a

Control deficiencies

Consideration of internal control over financial reporting (ICFR)



In planning and performing our audit, we considered ICFR relevant to the Entity's preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on ICFR.

Our understanding of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described above and was not designed to identify all control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies. The matters being reported are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the audit that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to those charged with governance.

Our awareness of control deficiencies varies with each audit and is influenced by the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures performed, as well as other factors. Had we performed more extensive procedures on internal control over financial reporting, we might have identified more significant deficiencies to be reported or concluded that some of the reported significant deficiencies need not, in fact, have been reported.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting



A deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A deficiency in design exists when (a) a control necessary to meet the control objective is missing or (b) an existing control is not properly designed so that, even if the control operates as designed, the control objective would not be met. A deficiency in operation exists when a properly designed control does not operate as designed, or when the person performing the control does not possess the necessary authority or competence to perform the control effectively.

Significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting



A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, is important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.



Audit quality - How do we deliver audit quality?

Quality essentially means doing the right thing and remains our highest priority. Our Global Quality Framework outlines how we deliver quality and how every partner and staff member contributes to its delivery.


The drivers outlined in the framework are the ten components of the KPMG System of Quality Management (SoQM). Aligned with ISQM 1/CSQM 1, our SoQM components also meet the requirements of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) and the relevant rules of professional conduct / code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting in Canada, which apply to professional services firms that perform audits of financial statements. Learn more about our system of quality management and our firm's statement on the effectiveness of our SoQM:



[KPMG Canada Transparency Report](#)

We define 'audit quality' as being the outcome when:

- audits are **executed consistently**, in line with the requirements and intent of **applicable professional standards** within a strong **system of quality management**; and
- all of our related activities are undertaken in an environment of the utmost level of **objectivity, independence, ethics and integrity**.



Doing the right thing. Always.



Independence

As a firm, we are committed to being and being seen to be independent. We have strict rules and protocols to maintain our independence that meet or exceed those of the IESBA Code¹ and CPA Code. The following are the actions or safeguards applied to reduce or eliminate threats to an acceptable level:



Dedicated ethics & independence partners



Process for reporting breaches of professional standards and policy, and documented disciplinary policy



Ethics, independence and integrity training for all staff



International proprietary system used to evaluate and document threats to independence and those arising from conflicts of interest



Operating policies, procedures and guidance contained in our quality & risk management manual



Mandated procedures for evaluating independence of prospective audit clients




Restricted investments and relationships



Annual ethics and independence confirmation for staff

Statement of compliance

We confirm that, as of the date of this communication, **we are independent** of the Entity in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada.





Appendices

A

Required
communications

B

New accounting
standards

C

New auditing
standards

D

Audit and Assurance
Insights

E

Technology





Appendix A: Other required communications



Engagement terms

A copy of the engagement letter and any subsequent amendments has been provided to the Audit Committee.



CPAB communication protocol

The reports available through the following links were published by the Canadian Public Accountability Board to inform Audit Committees and other stakeholders about the results of quality inspections conducted over the past year:

- [CPAB Regulatory Oversight Report: 2023 Annual Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2024 Interim Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Regulatory Oversight Report: 2024 Annual Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2025 Interim Inspections Results](#)



Appendix B: New accounting standards (current)

Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in the Public Sector

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2026 with early adoption permitted.

- The framework provides the core concepts and objectives underlying Canadian public sector accounting standards.
- The ten chapter conceptual framework defines and elaborates on the characteristics of public sector entities and their financial reporting objectives. Additional information is provided about financial statement objectives, qualitative characteristics and elements. General recognition and measurement criteria, and presentation concepts are introduced.

Financial Statement Presentation

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2026 with early adoption permitted.

- The proposed section PS 1202 *Financial statement presentation* will replace the current section PS 1201 *Financial statement presentation*. PS 1202 *Financial statement presentation*.
- The proposed section includes the following:
 - Relocation of the net debt indicator to its own statement called the statement of net financial assets/liabilities, with the calculation of net debt refined to ensure its original meaning is retained.
 - Separating liabilities into financial liabilities and non-financial liabilities.
 - Restructuring the statement of financial position to present total assets followed by total liabilities.
 - Changes to common terminology used in the financial statements, including re-naming accumulated surplus (deficit) to net assets (liabilities).
 - Removal of the statement of remeasurement gains (losses) with the information instead included on a new statement called the statement of changes in net assets (liabilities). This new statement would present the changes in each component of net assets (liabilities), including a new component called “accumulated other”.
 - A new provision whereby an entity can use an amended budget in certain circumstances.
 - Inclusion of disclosures related to risks and uncertainties that could affect the entity's financial position.





Appendix B: New accounting standards (current continued)

Employee Benefits

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2029 with early adoption permitted.

- The Public Sector Accounting Board has issued a new standard PS 3251 *Employee benefits* which will replace the current sections PS 3250 *Retirement benefits* and PS 3255 *Post-employment benefits, compensated absences and termination benefits*.
- The standard uses principles from International Public Sector Accounting Standard 39 *Employee benefits* as a basis for the Canadian standard.
- The standard results in public sector entities recognizing the impact of revaluations of the net defined benefit liability (asset) immediately on the statement of financial position.
- The standard also requires that fully funded post-employment benefit plans use a discount rate based on the expected market-based return of plan assets and underfunded plans use a discount rate based on the market yield of government bonds, high-quality corporate bonds or another appropriate financial instrument. A simplified approach to determining a plan's funding status is provided.
- The standard also requires that:
 - Deferral provisions – Remeasurement gains and losses are presented as part of accumulated remeasurement gains and losses.
 - Valuation of plan assets – Upon adoption, public sector entities may continue to recognize non-transferable financial instruments balances that meet the definition of plan assets under existing PS 3250 guidance. This transitional provision does not permit the recognition of additional amount after adoption that do not meet the revised definition of plan assets.
 - Joint defined benefit plans – Defined benefit accounting is used for measurement of the proportionate share of the plan, instead of previously proposed multi-employer plan accounting which permitted accounting based on defined contribution concepts where insufficient information exists to use defined benefit accounting.
 - Disclosure of other long-term employee benefits and termination benefits – The standard does not include prescriptive disclosure requirements for other long-term employee benefits and termination benefits.
- The standard's guidance will be applied retroactively, with or without prior period restatement.



Appendix B: Changes in accounting standards – Future

Intangible Assets

Proposed to be effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2030 with early adoption permitted.

- The Public Sector Accounting Standards Board has issued proposed new standard PS 3155 *Intangible Assets* which would replace Public Sector Guideline 8 *Purchased Intangibles*.
- The standard will include foundational guidance on acquired and internally generated intangibles. It excludes intangible assets addressed in other public sector accounting standards and other intangible items such as exploration and extraction costs for non-renewable resources or intangible assets related to insurance contracts.
- The definition of “intangible assets” requires an intangible resource to be separate and identifiable from goodwill. It also requires that the entity has control over the intangible resource, future economic benefits flow from the intangible resource, and the intangible resource is the result of a past transaction and/or other events.
- Internally generated goodwill is not permitted to be recognized as an asset.
- An intangible resource is recognized when it meets the definition of an intangible asset and the asset’s cost can be measured in a faithfully representative way. The generation of the asset is classified into a research phase and a development phase. Expenditures from the research phase of an internally generated project are expensed. An intangible asset arising from the development phase can be recognized if it meets certain requirements.
- Intangible assets are initially measured at cost and subsequently carried at cost less accumulated amortization and accumulated impairment losses. Intangible assets acquired through a non-exchange transaction are measured at fair value as of the date it is acquired.

Cloud Computing Arrangements

- As part of its intangible assets project, the Public Sector Accounting Standards Board is also developing guidance on cloud computing arrangements. To ensure the development of this accounting guidance reflects current practices and needs, a survey was used to gather insights. The survey will inform the Public Sector Accounting Board about the types of cloud computing arrangements being encountered, magnitude of costs, key arrangement terms, current accounting policies and unique challenges in practice.



Appendix C: Newly effective and upcoming changes to auditing standards

Effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2024

ISA 260/CAS 260

.....

Communications
with those charged
with governance

Summary of Changes:

New requirements for the auditor to communicate:

- about the relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, that the auditor applied to the audit of the financial statements; and
- any enhanced independence requirement that the auditor applied specific to the audit of financial statements of certain entities.

ISA 700/CAS 700

.....

Forming an opinion
and reporting on
the financial
statements

Summary of Changes:

New requirements for the auditor to publicly disclose when the auditor applied independence requirements specific to audits of financial statements of certain entities WHEN the ethical requirements require public disclosure.



Appendix D: Thought leadership and insights

Half of Public Servants Turn to AI Raising Risks

KPMG survey finds public sector unready for AI, low literacy, need for digital sovereignty.

While less than a quarter (**22 per cent**) of Canadian public sector organizations have adopted artificial intelligence (AI), half of the public servants who use AI in their jobs rely on publicly available AI tools, exposing governments to potential risks including data privacy and security breaches, intellectual property theft and exposure to biased or inaccurate information that can lead to legal and ethical issues, finds a new KPMG in Canada research.

[Click here for more information](#)

Intelligent Government

The emergence of generative AI, alongside advanced autonomous and agentic systems, is transforming how government and the public sector innovate and operate. Our findings reveal an actionable blueprint for governments and organizations aiming to leverage AI's potential to drive efficiency, reduce time to market and improve patient outcomes. This report provides insights into how they can take a value based approach to AI that helps to accelerate innovation, unlock new growth opportunities, and maximize the impact of their AI investments.

[Click here for more information](#)

KPMG 2025 Canadian CEO Outlook

Our 2025 CEO Outlook survey reveals a compelling paradox: Canadian CEOs are increasingly confident in the growth of their companies and industries, yet their optimism about the Canadian and global economies is waning.

Despite the evolving pressures, CEOs alike are proactively focusing on making their organizations more resilient to external shocks. They are not just reacting to changes, but are actively seeking ways to mitigate external risks, improve productivity and optimize revenue.

[Click here for more information](#)

Midyear Observations on the 2025 Board Agenda

Disruption, volatility, and uncertainty aren't new operating conditions by any means. But the assumptions that have long driven corporate thinking – the role of government, geopolitical norms, and consistency in US policies as administrations change, and the speed of technological advances – are being upended. Few business leaders have experienced the scope, complexity, and combination of issues companies are facing today – and many will earn their stripes in the months ahead.

[Click here for more information](#)

Accelerate

The Canadian business landscape is experiencing profound interconnected geopolitical, technological and sustainability challenges which are causing compound impacts on the balance sheet. The audit committee's role in stewarding their organization towards increased productivity, resilience, and growth has never been more essential.

Our *Accelerate* series strives to provide audit committees with actionable insights and clear guidance for navigating through these interconnected challenges.

[Click here for more information](#)



Appendix D: Thought leadership and insights (continued)

From Smart to Smarter Cities

Leveraging integration, data and enablement for sustainable and resilient urban transformations.

Cities are reaching a crisis point. City populations are growing. Citizen satisfaction with government services is weakening. And net zero deadlines are looming. Cities recognize that their traditional approaches to city planning, development, management and renewal will not get them to their goals in time. They must become smarter, more integrated, data driven and enabling.

From Smart to Smarter Cities explores how a focus on becoming more integrated, data driven and enabling can help city decision makers, infrastructure leaders and private companies accelerate their smart city agendas.

In this report, professionals from KPMG's global network join with experienced industry and city leaders to unpack these three priorities — integrated, data driven and enabling — across the lens of the six challenges facing cities today — urban planning, data and privacy, transportation and mobility, sustainability and social equity, governance and oversight, and funding and financing.

[Click here for more information](#)

Blueprint for Livable Economies

Unlocking livability through place-based business cases

Rapid urbanization, climate volatility, fiscal constraint and rising social expectations are exposing the limits of traditional approaches to urban planning and investment. The challenge is not a lack of ambition or funding, but rather how decisions are made, investments are structured and delivery is governed and coordinated. Addressing the gap between investment and outcomes requires cities to move beyond asset level justification towards integrated, geography specific portfolios and place based business cases that reflect how places function and are experienced in practice.

Developed in collaboration by KPMG International, Davos Baukultur Alliance and UN Habitat, this white paper supports that shift. Drawing on global case studies, executive insight and practical tools, it sets out how public sector leaders can apply a place based approach using levers already within their control.

At the center of the paper is the *Blueprint for livable economies*, a decision making framework designed to help city leaders, investors and partners align outcomes, economic pathways and delivery mechanisms.

[Click here for more information](#)



Appendix D: Audit and assurance insights

Our latest thinking on the issues that matter most to Audit Committees, board of directors and management.

KPMG Audit & Assurance Insights

Curated research and insights for audit committees and boards.

Board Leadership Centre

Leading insights to help board members maximize boardroom opportunities

Current Developments

Series of quarterly publications for Canadian businesses including Spotlight on IFRS, Canadian Assurance & Related Services, Canadian Securities Matters, and US Outlook reports.

Accelerate - The key issues driving the audit committee agenda

Discover the most pressing risks and opportunities that face audit committees, boards and management teams.

Sustainability Reporting


Resource centre on implementing the new Canadian reporting standards

IFRS Breaking News

A monthly Canadian newsletter that provides the latest insights on accounting, financial reporting and sustainability reporting.

Audit Committee Guide – Canadian Edition

A practical guide providing insight into current challenges and leading practices shaping audit committee effectiveness in Canada.



Appendix E: Continuous evolution

Our investment:

We are in the midst of a five-year investment to develop our people, digital capabilities, and advanced technology.

Responsive delivery model

Tailored to you to drive impactful outcomes around the quality and effectiveness of our audits.

Result: A better experience

Enhanced quality, reduced disruption, increased focus on areas of higher risk, and deeper insights into your business.





<https://kpmg.com/ca/en/home.html>

© 2024 KPMG LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

