

**180 QUEENS DRIVE**

**ATTACHMENT 1**

**LETTER OF OBJECTION**

This email is intended to serve as our notice to object your intention to designate our property. In addition, I am providing some points and questions. Please kindly cooperate within the extent that you are able to do so, in helping answer some of our questions, or, providing thoughts/comments on our points.

1. This email letter ('letter') from you from 5:28pm on Dec 22, 2025 from [RegistrarCCO@toronto.ca](mailto:RegistrarCCO@toronto.ca) has been the sole piece of correspondence we have received whatsoever with regard to the 'NOID', which immediately, on its own, seems potentially inadequate and somewhat unfair for a number of reasons.
2. Not all of the owners have been adequately notified and/or have had the time for adequate notification.
3. Your 'letter', both its content and all links therein, does not provide or mention: implications for the property: implications for the owners: supporting information; etc.
4. Who from the City prepared the 'letter'?
5. Who received notice of this 'letter'?
6. Our property is already in the process of development, of which the City has already approved a process negating or undermining your process.
7. Do you know who the owners of this property are?
8. Does it matter to you who the owners are?
9. Who receives this email I am sending now?
10. Who ordinarily gets a copy of this email?
11. I do not want anyone to get a copy of this email, as per confidentiality notice above.
12. Who determines if our property is 'worthy' of designation or not?
13. How is the above determination made?
14. Has anyone prompted, in any way, the City to make any progress whatsoever towards determining that our property is 'worthy' of designation?
15. Written in your 'letter', who is the Ontario Heritage Trust?

16. Written in your 'letter', who are the Objectors?
17. Written in your 'letter', who are the Interested Persons?
18. Written in your 'letter', what is "the Shingle style"?
19. I think the subject property address has been repeatedly misspelled - there is no apostrophe in the name. Perhaps inadequate research on the property has been done.



20.

21. If the property truly had an apostrophe, why would it not be written so in ANY of its dozens of signages? The sample below shows it is possible.



22.

23. Your 'letter' contains numerous errors of fact regarding the description of the property.

24. Please provide a list of all other houses within a 1km radius (or some other non-subjective locational criteria) that are 'designated'.
25. As owners, we were never approached by anyone regarding this big impactful decision - is it not of any value whatsoever to contact the owners? Is it not of any value whatsoever to collaborate or exchange any information with the owners?
26. Does this 'letter' of yours set out the reasons for the intention to designate the property and all relevant facts?
27. In the link you provided in your 'letter', there is zero additional information regarding this 'designation' in spite of the fact that your 'letter' prefaces the link with "Further information...is available from..." and there is no other link whatsoever and no other information provided whatsoever.