

## **councilmeeting**

---

**From:** Alexander Bielecki <alex.bielecki@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** March 24, 2026 11:30 AM  
**To:** councilmeeting; Councillor Fletcher  
**Subject:** [External Sender] My comments for 2026.CC39.1 on March 25, 2026 City Council

**Categories:** Communication (Supp)

To the City Clerk:

Please add my comments to the agenda for the March 25, 2026 City Council meeting on item 2026.CC39.1, Report on Councillor Chris Moise's Comments to a Constituent

I understand that my comments and the personal information in this email will form part of the public record and that my name will be listed as a correspondent on agendas and minutes of City Council or its committees. Also, I understand that agendas and minutes are posted online and my name may be indexed by search engines like Google.

Comments:

To: Toronto City Council

From:

Alex Bielecki

68 Tiverton Ave

Toronto Ontario Canada

M4M 2L9

Date: March 24, 2026

Subject: **Formal Request for Penalty – Councillor Chris Moise (Ward 13)**

---

**Dear Mayor and Members of Council,**

I am writing to formally express my grave concern regarding the Integrity Commissioner's recent findings concerning Councillor Chris Moise. Following a year-long investigation, the Commissioner confirmed that Councillor Moise violated **Article 14 of the Member Code of Conduct**, citing "discreditable conduct" for his actions during a January 2025 budget meeting.

While the investigation was thorough, the recommendation of **no penalty** is a profound failure of accountability that this Council must rectify.

**The Breach of Conduct**

During the public meeting in question, a constituent engaged in the democratic process by asking a standard, budget-related question. In response, Councillor Moise characterized the individual's views as "white supremacist." When given the opportunity to clarify, he doubled down on this baseless and inflammatory claim.

Such rhetoric is not merely "political heat"—it is a direct assault on the character of a citizen who was simply exercising their right to participate in local government.

#### **Why "No Penalty" is Unacceptable**

In any professional environment, and especially within the halls of government, respect for the public is not a courtesy; it is a fundamental **obligation**. By recommending no penalty, the Integrity Commissioner has essentially signaled that:

- Elected officials can malign private citizens with impunity.
- Inflammatory, unfounded personal attacks are an acceptable part of Toronto's political discourse.
- The Code of Conduct serves as a symbolic gesture rather than a binding set of standards.

#### **The Duty of City Council**

For Toronto to remain a healthy, functioning democracy, the public must feel safe when addressing their representatives. When a Councillor uses their platform to unfairly tarnish a resident's reputation, it creates a chilling effect on civic engagement.

I urge City Council to reject the recommendation for no penalty and instead impose a **meaningful reprimand**. This is necessary to reinforce the simple truth that those inside City Hall work for the public. Anything less sends a dangerous message that the rules of conduct do not apply to those who hold the gavel. Respect for the citizens of Toronto is mandatory. Without the citizens, there is no Toronto. It is time for Council to uphold that standard.

---

**Sincerely,**  
Alex Bielecki

68 Tiverton Ave

Toronto Ontario Canada

M4M 2L9

647-221-4293

[alex.bielecki@gmail.com](mailto:alex.bielecki@gmail.com)