

180 QUEENS DRIVE

ATTACHMENT 1

LETTER OF OBJECTION – JUNE 23, 2026

Receiving yet another NOID, after the WITHDRAWAL, and without any explanation is baffling and concerning.

Who is behind these actions and why?

I cross-referenced both NOID letters (the one from Dec 2025 and the one from May 2026) and it appears the only difference is that the spelling of the address has been changed. This is so bizarre. In my January 2026 Objection to the NOID, one of my twenty-seven points/questions mentioned that the NOID letter actually had the address misspelled. It's nice to see that perhaps just one of my twenty seven points was useful, but what about all the other questions/comments/objections, etc., raised in the other twenty-six points?

Nobody acknowledged receipt of my email Letter of Objection?

(CONTINUATION OF LETTER OF OBJECTION, JUNE 23, 2026 FOLLOWS ORIGINAL OBJECTION OF JANUARY 21, 2026 BELOW)

ORIGINAL OBJECTION - JANUARY 21, 2026:

This email is intended to serve as our notice to object your intention to designate our property. In addition, I am providing some points and questions. Please kindly cooperate within the extent that you are able to do so, in helping answer some of our questions, or, providing thoughts/comments on our points.

1. This email letter ('letter') from you from 5:28pm on Dec 22, 2025 from RegistrarCCO@toronto.ca has been the sole piece of correspondence we have received whatsoever with regard to the 'NOID', which immediately, on its own, seems potentially inadequate and somewhat unfair for a number of reasons.
2. Not all of the owners have been adequately notified and/or have had the time for adequate notification.
3. Your 'letter', both its content and all links therein, does not provide or mention: implications for the property: implications for the owners: supporting information; etc.
4. Who from the City prepared the 'letter'?
5. Who received notice of this 'letter'?
6. Our property is already in the process of development, of which the City has already approved a process negating or undermining your process.
7. Do you know who the owners of this property are?
8. Does it matter to you who the owners are?

9. Who receives this email I am sending now?
10. Who ordinarily gets a copy of this email?
11. I do not want anyone to get a copy of this email, as per confidentiality notice above.
12. Who determines if our property is 'worthy' of designation or not?
13. How is the above determination made?
14. Has anyone prompted, in any way, the City to make any progress whatsoever towards determining that our property is 'worthy' of designation?
15. Written in your 'letter', who is the Ontario Heritage Trust?
16. Written in your 'letter', who are the Objectors?
17. Written in your 'letter', who are the Interested Persons?
18. Written in your 'letter', what is "the Shingle style"?
19. I think the subject property address has been repeatedly misspelled - there is no apostrophe in the name. Perhaps inadequate research on the property has been done.



- 20.
21. If the property truly had an apostrophe, why would it not be written so in ANY of its dozens of signages? The sample below shows it is possible.



- 22.
23. Your 'letter' contains numerous errors of fact regarding the description of the property.
24. Please provide a list of all other houses within a 1km radius (or some other non-subjective locational criteria) that are 'designated'.
25. As owners, we were never approached by anyone regarding this big impactful decision - is it not of any value whatsoever to contact the owners? Is it not of any value whatsoever to collaborate or exchange any information with the owners?
26. Does this 'letter' of yours set out the reasons for the intention to designate the property and all relevant facts?
27. In the link you provided in your 'letter', there is zero additional information regarding this 'designation' in spite of the fact that your 'letter' prefaces the link with "Further information...is available from..." and there is no other link whatsoever and no other information provided whatsoever.

CONTINUATION OF LETTER OF OBJECTION – JUNE 23, 2026:

At this point, in addition to all of the above points which have yet to be addressed, a whole new set of questions and concerns arises.

28. Was my Jan 21, 2026 Objection letter received properly?
29. To whom was it circulated?
30. Please explain why essentially the same withdrawn NOID is being circulated once again.
31. Please provide the Councillor's contact information.
32. Please acknowledge receipt of this email.
33. Why are the owners of the subject property being completely ignored in light of the obvious eagerness and passionate opposition to this process?
34. With elections just around the corner, is it wise to be pushing an agenda like this?
35. More importantly perhaps, who is pushing this and what are all of the reasons?