

## Public Consultation Meeting #2 DISCUSSION GUIDE

This Transportation Master Plan (TMP) sets the vision and strategic plan for the Centre's transportation network. It will inform and direct policies, programs and infrastructure initiatives to meet the needs of the anticipated population and employment growth. More specifically, the Scarborough Centre Transportation Master Plan (SCTMP) provides the framework, direction, and implementation plan for a transportation network for pedestrians, cyclists, transit and automobile users in Scarborough Centre and the surrounding community.

#### **Problem & Opportunity Statement**

As one of Toronto's four 'Centres', Scarborough Centre is a key location within the city that combines jobs, housing and services in a dynamic mixed use setting supported by excellent transit accessibility. Located at the heart of Scarborough, the area is expected to be a magnet for future growth over the coming decades.

Currently, Scarborough Centre is less than the sum of its parts:

- The existing transportation network is designed to favour vehicular movement as is defined by big blocks that result in longer travel distances;
- Bridges, ramps and grade separations are barriers to walking and cycling;
- Dedicated infrastructure for cyclists is lacking;
- Crosswalks are distantly spaced, sidewalks are often too narrow, missing or located in a way that does not support a vibrant and walkable public realm; and
- Development parcels are large and not serviced in a manner that supports a finer grain in the urban fabric.

Given the significant public and private investments planned for the area, an opportunity exists to evolve the transportation network in a manner that better supports the policies outlined in the Scarborough Centre Secondary Plan. Key opportunities include developing a **simplified grid street network** that is safe, accommodates all users and reduces travel distances. Giving priority to infrastructure required to **enhance walking, cycling and transit** will help build connections throughout the Centre as well as to the surrounding community and beyond. **Improved transportation facilities**, complemented by **better wayfinding, land use diversity and an inviting public realm**, will provide greater accessibility to the area's many amenities. The Transportation Master Plan will help guide growth and ensure the emergence of a vibrant, walkable and connected Scarborough Centre.



# Scarborough Centre Transportation Master Plan

#### What We've Heard So Far



What We've Heard So Far								
Improve pedestrian experience		Create a simplified grid street network and at grade intersections		Enhance highway interchanges for all users		Reduce/improve transfers between transit routes		
Improve safety and accessibility		Green Scarborough Centre		Encourage safety through dedicated cycling facilities and parking			e connectivity to orough Centre	
	Enhance wayfinding & create a sense of place tr		Provide greater transportation choices		Develop a transportation network that accommodates all users		a higher quality ublic realm	
www Scarborough Centre on the Move Website								
	Launched August 2016				Approximately 4,000 hits as of May 2017			
Planners in Public Spaces (PiPS)								
		<b>12</b> Events her & Fall 2016		67 1,54 pants Flyers Distr				
Roving Information Station								
	<b>2</b> Days		Locations		<b>41</b> Interviews			
	Public Consultation Meeting #1 November 29, 2016							
65 Participants								



### Scarborough Centre

Transportation Master Plan



#### Alternative 1: Existing Conditions

**Existing Conditions** the "Do Nothing" alternative, provides a baseline comparison of conditions without any significant improvements. In essence, Alternative 1 is the transportation network found today in the study area.



#### Alternative 2: Current Policy Framework

The **Current Policy Framework** alternative identifies future transportation conditions outlined in previous planning work including all planned improvements from the Scarborough Centre Secondary Plan, McCowan Precinct Plan and Scarborough Centre Public Space & Streetscape Master Plan.





#### Scarborough Centre Transportation Master Plan



#### Alternative 3: Emerging Vision

The **Emerging Vision** alternative includes the planned improvements identified in Alternative 2 plus a series of proposed improvements and solutions that encourage multi-modal transportation within and surrounding the Centre. Alternative 3 has been identified as the **preliminary preferred alternative** based on the evaluation below.



- Establishes a **finer-grid street network** that allows for **stronger north-south and east-west connections** within the Centre and to the surrounding communities.
- Realigns Progress Avenue and Borough Drive and re-establishes the eastern Borough Drive link.
- **Removes grade separation** at the intersection of McCowan Road and Progress Avenue to allow for better east-west accessibility for all modes of travel.
- Identifies a parking strategy the Centre.
- Designates pedestrian/cycling connections to encourage active modes of travel.
- Reconfigures the street network to support proposed transit investments.
- Reallocates road space to create Complete Streets (i.e. streets for people, placemaking and prosperity).



# Scarborough Centre Transportation Master Plan



#### **Draft Evaluation Results**

Question	Alternative 1:	Alternative 2: Current	Alternative 3:
	Existing Conditions	Policy Framework	<b>Emerging Vision</b>
Does it promote a shift wards sustainable modes of transportation?	No Does not provide adequate amount of sidewalks (6.7 km) and dedicated cycling	Partially Provides for increased sidewalks (10 km) and dedicated cycling lanes (5 km)	Yes Identifies the most sidewalks (15 km) and dedicated cycling lanes (15 km)
bes it provide an efficient and integrated transportation network for all users?	No Favours automobile travel	No Does not comprehensively address the study area, or efficiently incorporate proposed transit investments	Yes Identifies connections between the study area and surrounding communities to transit investments via walking and cycling
es it support an attractive and ant public realm and sense of place?	Partially Provides some sense of place on segments of Borough Drive, Albert Campbell Square and main entrance to mall	Partially Provides for an improved sense of place through mixed land use, with a focus on the pedestrian and cycling experience	Yes Builds upon Alternative 2 and defines street types based on Complete Streets Guidelines
es it allow for the convenient d safe movement of users of II modes of transportation?	No Favours automobile travel through existing street design. Lacks wayfinding and active transportation connections	No dentifies a pedestrian network, but does not define a wayfinding and signage strategy	Yes Provides a defined and unique strategy for the Centre, specifying wayfinding improvements to street design
es it provide for opportunities improve connectivity to work, ool and other destinations?	<b>Partially</b> Key routes and transit stations are not well- connected to key origins/ destinations	Partially Some improved connections to key origins/ destinations	Yes dds new pedestrian connections for the entire Centre
es it accommodate all users, ncluding vulnerable street users?	Partially Does not fully comply with AODA, but does provide accessibility ramps in Albert Campbell Square and provides elevators in Scarborough Centre TTC Station	Partially Does not improve all accessibility deficiencies in the network	Yes Complies with AODA with regards to transportatio network
bes it support the mixed-use I transit-oriented vision of the Secondary Plan?	No Does not achieve the objectives set out by the provincial and municipal policies	Partially Complies with planning policies, but identifies further work is required for planning complete transportation network and facilities	Yes Builds upon Alternative 2 and related planning polices, recommending a master plan for new transportation facilities throughout the study area
bes it create a transportation etwork and block plan that ports a vibrant urban centre?	No Encourages automobile travel through large blocks and street design	Partially Provides guidelines for intersection spacing to encourage pedestrian activity for the McCowan Precinct	Yes Proposes a fine-grained transportation network fo the entire Centre
es it improve connectivity and sess within the Centre and to/ n surrounding communities?	No Does not provide adequate connections within Scarborough Centre and to/from surrounding communities	<b>Partially</b> Creates policy framework for improving connectivity	Yes Reconfigures transportation network for better connections between Precincts and surrounding communities
es it encourage and support ive and sustainable modes of transportation?	<b>Partially</b> Provides few transportation demand management (TDM) measures	<b>Partially</b> Provides general TDM recommendations only	Yes Identifies TDM strategies for car share, bike share, demand-responsive transit, and outreach programs that encourage the use of active modes of transportation
es it minimize the impact on ne natural environment and cultural heritage?	Yes Does not impact existing natural environmental and cultural heritage	Partially May impact ecology, built/cultural heritage or areas with archaeological impact	<b>Partially</b> Potential greater impact on ecology, built/cultura heritage or areas with archaeological impact
es it support and enhance the open space network?	Partially Maintains existing open spaces, but does not propose new open space connections	Yes Promotes the enhancements of the natural environment to improve the livability and sense of place in the Centre	Yes Offers new connections to parks and open space throughout the Centre
s it economically feasible to plement (considering full life cle costs, impact to utilities, rability and future expansion opportunities)?	Yes Does not require investment for transportation network changes, but requires operating and maintenance costs	Partially Requires some investments from public and private sector	<b>Partially</b> Requires greater investment from public and private sector
oes it encourage public and private investments?	Partially Provides some potential for development on large parcels of land	Partially Improves development potential in the Centre	Yes Improves development potential in the Centre and maximizes connections and accessibility to the proposed public investments in transit
oes it allow for the safe and ficient movement of goods?	No Does not address the movement of goods and designated truck routes	No Does not address the movement of goods and designated truck routes	Yes Provides designated truck routes that are more efficient and separated from non-motorized traffic
	vards sustainable modes of transportation? es it provide an efficient and integrated transportation network for all users? s it support an attractive and int public realm and sense of place? s it allow for the convenient safe movement of users of modes of transportation? s it provide for opportunities mprove connectivity to work, ool and other destinations? es it accommodate all users, netuding vulnerable street users? es it support the mixed-use transit-oriented vision of the Secondary Plan? es it create a transportation twork and block plan that ports a vibrant urban centre? s it improve connectivity and ess within the Centre and to/ n surrounding communities? es it encourage and support ve and sustainable modes of transportation? es it minimize the impact on e natural environment and cultural heritage? s it support and enhance the open space network? it economically feasible to lement (considering full life ele costs, impact to utilities, ability and future expansion opportunities)? es it encourage public and private investments?	rards sustainable modes of transportation? Des not provide adequate amount of sidewalks (6.7 km) and dedicated cycling lanes (4 km)   as it provide an efficient and integrated transportation network for all users? No   as it support an attractive and int public realm and sense of place? Provides some sense of place on segments of Broogh Drw. Abet Champell Square and main entrance to mall   as it allow for the convenient is as a movement of users of place? No   as it allow for the convenient is at allow for the convenient is afte movement of users of place. No   as it allow for the convenient is at accommodate all users, connections Partially Mey routes and main stations are not well- connected to key origins/ destinations   as it accommodate all users, tocluding vulnerable street users? Des not achieve the objectives set out by the provide allow to the competitive main ablock plan that sorts a vibrant urban centre?   as it inprove connectivity and ses within the Centre and to plan that sorts a vibrant urban centre? No   as it inprove connectivity and ses it ansportation? No   as it inprove connectivity and cultural heritage? No   as it support and enhance the open space network? Des not provide adequate connections within scatororigh centre and to/form surrounding communities   as it support and enhance the open space network? Yes   Des not require investments? Does not require investment for transportation management (TDM) measures   as it support and enhance the open space network?	Parts subsiniable modes of transportation?   Descend performance of transportation?   Performance of transportation?     es it provide an efficient and integrated transportation network for all users?   No   No     sit allow for the convenient of users?   Performance of transportation?   Performance of transportation?     sit allow for the convenient of users?   No   Performance of transportation?     sit allow for the convenient of users?   No   Performance of transportation?     sit allow for the convenient of users?   Performally   Performally     sit allow for the convenient of users?   Performally   Performally     sit allow for the convenient of users?   Performally   Performally     sit allow for the convenient of users?   Performally   Performally     sit accommodate all users?   Performally   Performally     Dee not adverse the degradewest set of by the convenient of users?   Performally     Dee not adverse the degradewest set of by the convenient of users?   Performally     Dee not adverse the degradewest set of by the convenient of the users?   Performally     Des not adverse the degradewest set of by the convenient of the users?   Performally     Des not adverse the degradewest set of by the users   Performally





The SCTMP has identified Alternative 3: Emerging Vision as the preliminary preferred alternative for the future transportation network. This alternative encourages multi-modal transportation, improves connectivity both within Scarborough Centre and with surrounding communities, supports future transit investments, and builds upon the vision of the Scarborough Centre Secondary Plan.

Do you agree with the preliminary preferred alternative (Alternative 3: Emerging Vision) and the Draft Evaluation as it was presented today?

YES

Please provide comments explaining why or why not:

NO

### Additional Comments:

## Thank you for your input!

Please continue to share your thoughts online:



Fill out the MetroQuest Survey



www.toronto.ca/sconthemove



facebook.com/SContheMove



@CityPlanTO #sconthemove

