

Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy

2017 OPERATING BUDGET OVERVIEW

Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy (FREEE) work across the City with clients and stakeholders to deliver a comprehensive range of facility management, real estate services and sustainability programs in an efficient and effective manner that maximizes the City's property assets and delivers service excellence.

2017 Operating Budget Highlights

The total cost to deliver these services to Toronto residents is \$194.980 million gross and \$67.890 million net as shown below:

(in \$000's)	2016	2017	Chang	je							
	Budget	Budget ⁻	\$	%							
Gross Expenditures	194,264.4	194,979.5	715.1	0.4%							
Revenues	124,938.7	127,089.8	2,151.1	1.7%							
Net Expenditures	69,325.7	67,889.7	(1,436.0)	(2.1%)							
Through operational efficiencies and increased leasing revenues, the											

Through operational efficiencies and increased leasing revenues, the Program is able to fully offset \$2.523 million in operating budget pressures arising mainly from inflation in utilities and increases for staff salaries and benefits while maintaining 2016 service levels for 2017.

CONTENTS

Overview	
1: 2017 – 2019 Service Overview and Plan	<u>5</u>
2: 2017 Operating Budget by Service	<u>15</u>
3: Issues for Discussion	<u>25</u>
Appendices:	
1. 2016 Performance	<u>33</u>
2017 Operating Budget by Expenditure Category	<u>34</u>
3. 2017 Organization Chart	<u>35</u>
Summary of 2017 Service Changes	<u>36</u>
Summary of 2017 New & Enhanced Service Priorities	<u>37</u>
6. Inflows/Outflows to / from Reserves & Reserve Funds	<u>38</u>
7. 2017 User Fee Rate Changes	42

Fast Facts

- Manage over 9.6 million square feet of City-owned and operated facilities.
- Facilities Management complete approximately 45,000 building maintenance work orders annually for City-owned facilities.
- Real Estate Services manage over 5,700 properties and 26.5 million square feet of building space in an Asset Portfolio worth \$12 billion and manage 1,000+ leases in the portfolio generating over \$48 million in annual lease revenues.
- Environment & Energy manages all aspects of energy supply and consumption on behalf of City divisions, monitoring 3,500 energy accounts with a total utilities budget in excess of \$30 million.

Trends

- Facilities Management strives to operate efficiently to maintain a square foot cost allocation that reflects good value for money in a municipal setting.
- The cost/square foot includes custodial, building repairs & maintenance, security and utilities costs. Costs have stabilized from the use of a mixed service model for custodial and maintenance services, emphasizing a preventive maintenance plan and realizing energy efficiencies.
- Although the division has experienced cost pressures from economic factors, a number of efficiency measures have been identified which will allow the division to maintain the cost/square foot at the 2016 projected actual level.

Building Operating & Maintenance Cost per rentable sq.ft.




Key Service Deliverables for 2017

Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy protects, maintains and maximizes the City's investment in its public buildings and real property.


The 2017 Operating Budget will enable FREEE to continue to:

- Maintain City facilities in a clean, safe, and accessible manner as per Council approved maintenance standards.
- Ensure the City's property portfolio is optimal and meets program requirements.
- Develop an organizational structure that optimizes preventative and demand maintenance with state-of-good-repair plans and maximizes project delivery.
- Reduce energy demand and greenhouse gases and increase use of renewable energy technologies and clean energy generation.
- Invest in the growth and development of staff through talent management, leadership development, succession planning, mentorship programs, and by creating a healthy and positive work space.
- Maximize lease revenues by negotiating optimal leasing arrangements.
- Conduct a City-wide Real Estate review to better coordinate real estate portfolios across City Divisions, Agencies and Corporations in order to centralize inventory, improve service delivery and find operational efficiencies.


Where the money goes: 2017 Budget by Service



2017 Budget by Expenditure Category



Where the money comes from:



Our Key Issues & Priority Actions

- Improving Resilience to high impact extreme weather events and ability to mitigate potential damages and disruption to City Programs and Agencies.
 - ✓ Two positions were filled in 2015 that are actively engaged in addressing City Council's directives on climate change mitigation and adaptation and the Climate Change Risk Management Policy. Staff reports to City Council are planned for the end of 2016.
- Unlocking the Value of the City's real estate portfolio and maximizing the use of City-owned space while meeting client program requirements.
 - Act on opportunities through detailed review, market research and analysis by qualified staff to ensure City's Real Estate portfolio meets the needs of City Programs and Agencies.
- Coordination of preventative maintenance,
 SOGR and energy retrofit projects to ensure minimal impact and the most cost efficient.
 - ✓ The operating impact resulting from the investment in SAP facilities maintenance functionality will automate core business processes related to facility work orders, scheduled preventative maintenance and inventory management, while interfacing with state of good repair plans.

2017 Operating Budget Highlights

- The 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE of \$194.980 million in gross expenditures provides funding for three services: Facilities Management, Real Estate and Environment & Energy.
- The Program has achieved a budget reduction of -2.1% from the 2016 Approved Budget due to the following measures:
 - ➤ Efficiency savings (\$2.375 million)
 - Increased leasing revenues (\$1.891 million)
 - Inflation user fee increases (\$0.027 million)
- 2016 Service Levels will be maintained.

Council Approved Budget

City Council approved the following recommendations:

1. City Council approve the 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE of \$194.980 million gross, \$67.890 million net for the following services:

	Gross	Net
Service:	(\$000s)	_(\$000s)
Facilities Management	119,996.5	72,139.3
Real Estate	28,557.3	(32,145.3)
Environment & Energy	46,425.7	<u>27,895.7</u>
Total Program Budget	<u>194,979.5</u>	67,889.7

2. City Council approve the 2017 service levels for FREEE as outlined on pages 17, 20, and 23 of this report, and associated staff complement of 1,006 positions.




Part 1:

2017-2019 Service Overview and Plan

Program Map

Facilities, Real Estate, Environment and Energy

To work collaboratively and responsibly with our clients to advance the City-wide priorities by protecting and maximizing the City's property assets and in an innovative and fiscally sustainable manner



Service Customer

Facilities Management

- Residential / Commercial Tenants
- · Staff City Divisions
- Staff Agencies and Boards
- Community Groups

Indirect (Beneficial)

- · Residents
- · Provincial & Federal Agencies
- Visitors

Real Estate

- Residential / Commercial Tenants
- Staff City Divisions
- Staff Agencies and Boards
- Community Groups

Indirect (Beneficial)

- Residents
- · Provincial & Federal Agencies
- Visitors

Environment & Energy

- Residents
- Toronto Building Owners
- Residential / Commercial Tenants
- Staff City Divisions
- · Staff Agencies and Boards

Indirect (Beneficial)

- Provincial & Federal Agencies
- Visitors

Table 1
2017 Operating Budget and Plan by Service


	20)16	2017 Operating Budget					Inc	rementa	I Change	
(In \$000s)	Budget	Projected Actual	Base	New/ Total Base Enhanced Budget		2017 Bud 2016 Bu Chan	dget	201 Pla	~	2019 Plan	
By Service	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Facilities Management											
Gross Expenditures	121,528.3	123,225.0	119,996.5		119,996.5	(1,531.8)	(1.3%)	460.6	0.4%	2,447.9	2.0%
Revenue	48,192.7	50,327.2	47,857.2		47,857.2	(335.5)	(0.7%)	(887.4)	(1.9%)	2.0	0.0%
Net Expenditures	73,335.6	72,897.8	72,139.3		72,139.3	(1,196.3)	(1.6%)	1,348.0	1.9%	2,445.8	3.3%
Real Estate											
Gross Expenditures	28,638.9	27,229.1	28,557.3		28,557.3	(81.6)	(0.3%)	(871.0)	(3.1%)	321.2	1.2%
Revenue	58,348.7	57,141.9	60,702.6		60,702.6	2,353.9	4.0%	(902.1)	(1.5%)	12.7	0.0%
Net Expenditures	(29,709.8)	(29,912.7)	(32,145.3)		(32,145.3)	(2,435.5)	8.2%	31.1	(0.1%)	308.5	(1.0%)
Environment & Energy											
Gross Expenditures	44,097.3	41,668.0	46,092.4	333.3	46,425.7	2,328.4	5.3%	352.0	0.8%	235.6	0.5%
Revenue	18,397.3	15,499.0	18,530.0		18,530.0	132.6	0.7%	(213.1)	(1.2%)	4.6	0.0%
Net Expenditures	25,699.9	26,169.0	27,562.4	333.3	27,895.7	2,195.8	8.5%	565.1	2.0%	231.1	0.8%
Total											
Gross Expenditures	194,264.4	192,122.1	194,646.2	333.3	194,979.5	715.1	0.4%	(58.5)	(0.0%)	3,004.7	1.5%
Revenue	124,938.7	122,968.1	127,089.8		127,089.8	2,151.1	1.7%	(2,002.7)	(1.6%)	19.3	0.0%
Total Net Expenditures	69,325.7	69,154.0	67,556.3	333.3	67.889.7	(1,436.0)	(2.1%)	1,944.2	2.9%	2,985.4	4.3%
Approved Positions	1,023.5	937.8	1,000.0	6.0	1,006.0	(17.5)	(1.7%)	(2.0)	(0.2%)		

FREEE's 2017 Operating Budget is \$194.980 million gross and \$67.890 million net, representing a 2.1% decrease to the 2016 Approved Net Operating Budget.

- Base pressures are mainly attributable to the cost of inflation in utilities in the Environment & Energy Service
 and in contractual agreements for maintenance and custodial services, as well as increases for staff salaries
 and benefits common across all services.
- To help mitigate the above pressures, the Program was able to achieve service efficiency savings through addressing lease overholds; streamlining maintenance functions; reducing the overtime and casual budget; identifying efficiencies in security services; rationalizing the fleet portfolio; standardizing of custodial service levels; and restructuring aspects of its organization to eliminate vacant positions, with minimal to no service level impacts.
- New and enhanced services of \$0.333 million gross and net and 6.0 positions are included for delivery of key
 priorities for the TransformTO initiative to accelerate climate action plan strategies in 2017 to enhance the
 City of Toronto's efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
- Approval of the 2017 Operating Budget will result in FREEE reducing its total staff complement by 17.5 positions from 1,023.5 to 1,006.0.
- The 2018 and 2019 Plan increases are mainly attributable to cost-of-living allowance (COLA), progression pay, step and associated benefit increases.

The following graphs summarize the operating budget pressures for this Program and the actions taken to offset these pressures and meet the budget target.

Key Cost Drivers



Actions to Achieve Budget Reduction Target




Table 2 Key Cost Drivers

		201	7 Base Ope	rating Bud	get			
	Facil Manag	ities	Real E		Environmen	t & Energy	Tota	al
(In \$000s)	\$	Position	\$	Position	\$	Position	\$	Position
Gross Expenditure Changes								
Operating Impacts of Capital								
Solar PV Operating Impacts					57.6		57.6	
Delivery of Capital Projects								
BPM Reduction of 3 Temporary Capital Funded Positions		(3.0)						(3.0)
PMO Reduction of 2 Temporary Capital Funded Positions		(2.0)						(2.0)
Salaries and Benefits								
COLA, Progression Pay and Step Increases	352.8		30.3		(40.0)		343.2	
Economic Factors								
Utilities & Non-Labour	176.6				1,008.5		1,185.2	
Other Base Changes								
Union Station Utilities & Operations Reconciliation	58.4				155.3		213.7	
BPM Reduction of 1 FTP Project Manager	6.9	(1.0)					6.9	(1.0)
Increase Contribution to Vehicle Reserve Fund	109.1						109.1	
Utility Base Increases					642.2		642.2	
Other Base Changes	(23.3)		2.0		(13.7)		(35.1)	
Total Gross Expenditure Changes	680.5	(6.0)	32.3		1,809.9		2,522.7	(6.0)
Revenue Changes								
Leasing Revenues			(1,890.7)				(1,890.7)	
Total Revenue Changes			(1,890.7)				(1,890.7)	
Net Expenditure Changes	680.5	(6.0)	(1,858.4)		1,809.9		632.0	(6.0)

Key cost drivers for FREEE are discussed below:

Operating Impacts of Capital:

Adjustment of \$0.0576 million for the Solar Photovoltaic (PV) capital initiative to take into account revised forecasted revenue generation for 2017 and the associated adjustment to capital repayments.

Delivery of Capital Projects:

- A reduction of 3.0 temporary capital funded positions within the Business Performance Management (BPM) group as a result of the completion of capital project delivery.
- A reduction of 2.0 temporary capital funded positions within the Project Management Office (PMO) as a result of the completion of capital project delivery.

Salaries and Benefits

> Standard annual increases for cost-of-living allowance (COLA), progression pay for union and management staff, and associated fringe benefit adjustments result in an increase in staff salaries and benefits of \$0.343 million.

Economic Factors:

➤ The inflationary increases of \$1.185 million are subject to the multi-year contractual agreements with vendors for the procurement of goods and services as well as increasing utility rates.

Other Base Changes:

Union Station Utilities & Contracts – Additional costs are expected due to utility consumption as well as increases to specific maintenance and security guard contracts for the new concourse and retail areas in Union Station. In addition, leasing revenues and retail revenues are estimated to reduce from roughly \$10.6 to \$7.9 million related to the Union Station Revitalization project. As a result, there is an increase to

withdrawals from the Union Station reserve to offset the revenue shortfall. The expectation is for revenues to ramp back up in 2018 once the project is completed and retail outlets are fully operational, which will replenish the reserve.

- Business Performance Management (BPM) reduction of 1.0 temporary, vacant Project Manager, Facilities Transformation Project (FTP) position that is no longer required within the BPM team. As a part of the FTP restructure and review of all positions, it was ascertained the tasks and responsibilities associated with this position could be executed utilizing existing resources within the BPM group.
- > Contribution to Vehicle Reserve increase in contributions made for future replacements of vehicles.
- > Utility Base Increases Budget increases for non-recoverable facility locations to ensure budget levels reflect actual usage at these facilities.

Revenue Changes:

➤ Leasing Revenues will increase by \$1.891 million to take into account the arbitration decision for 2 Bloor Street East for annual rent of \$4.2 million. The 2017 Operating Budget takes into account \$1.710 million in rent. In addition, a 10% increase was negotiated on leases coming due in 2016 to realize additional revenues of \$0.138 million on the City's lease portfolio.

In order to achieve the budget reduction target, the 2017 service changes for FREEE consists of base revenue changes of \$0.027 million net, service efficiency savings of \$2.375 million net and service adjustments within the Program's current service levels of \$0.850 million gross and \$0 net, for a total of \$2.401 million net as detailed on following page.

Table 3
Actions to Achieve Budget Reduction Target
2017 Service Change Summary

			Service C	hanges			Total S	Service Cha	anges	Inc	rementa	al Chano	ie
	Facil		Real E		Environ	ment &	\$	\$	#	2018		2019	
Description (\$000s)	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Pos.	Net	Pos.	Net	Pos.
Base Changes:													
Base Revenue Changes													
User Fee Inflationary Increase		(10.1)		(12.2)		(4.4)		(26.7)					
Base Revenue Change		(10.1)		(12.2)		(4.4)		(26.7)					
Sub-Total		(10.1)		(12.2)		(4.4)		(26.7)					
Service Efficiencies													
Fleet Reduction	(118.5)	(118.5)					(118.5)	(118.5)					
Facilities Management (FM) Elimination of Vacant Positions	(803.7)	(684.1)					(803.7)	(684.1)	(8.5)				
Business Performance Management (BPM) Position Reductions	(208.4)	(208.4)					(208.4)	(208.4)	(2.0)				
City-Wide Strategic Initiative (CWSI) Position Reduction	(119.0)	(119.0)					(119.0)	(119.0)	(1.0)				
Change in Security Service Delivery at 18 Dyas	(60.0)	(60.0)					(60.0)	(60.0)					
Efficiencies in Security Preventative Maintenance	(100.1)	(100.1)					(100.1)	(100.1)					
Corporate Security Position Reduction	(151.9)	(151.9)					(151.9)	(151.9)	(1.0)				
Efficiencies in Facilities Preventative Maintenance	(200.0)	(200.0)					(200.0)	(200.0)		(300.0)			
Casual & Overtime Budget Reduction	(71.6)	(71.6)			(22.4)	(22.4)	(93.9)	(93.9)					
Change in Enhanced Security at City Hall	(165.0)	(165.0)					(165.0)	(165.0)					
Fleet Fuel Savings	(1.9)	(1.9)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(1.9)	(1.9)					
Addressing Lease Overholds			29.3	(412.2)			29.3	(412.2)					
Real Estate Services (RES) Position Reductions			(59.6)	(59.6)			(59.6)	(59.6)	(1.0)				
Sub-Total	(2,000.1)	(1,880.5)	(30.4)	(471.9)	(22.4)	(22.4)	(2,052.8)	(2,374.7)	(13.5)	(300.0)			
Service Adjustments													
Move to Standard Custodial Service Delivery for Toronto Police Locations	(849.6)	(0.0)					(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.0)				
Sub-Total	(849.6)	(0.0)					(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.0)				
Total Changes	(2,849.7)	(1,890.5)	(30.4)	(484.1)	(22.4)	(26.8)	(2,902.4)	(2,401.4)	(17.5)	(300.0)			

Base Revenue Changes (Savings of \$0.027 million net)

User Fees

 Inflationary increase of 2.0% on user fees such as Smart Commute Partner Fee, Film Shoots, Appraisal fees, etc.

Service Efficiencies (Savings of \$2.053 million gross & \$2.375 million net)

Fleet Reduction

- Rationalization of Facilities Management Service's fleet of City vehicles by eliminating 2 vehicles from its portfolio.
- There is no impact to service level associated with this efficiency measure.

Facilities Management (FM) Elimination of Vacant Positions

- Elimination of 8.5 vacant full-time equivalent (FTE) positions within the Facilities Management Service that have been vacant for an extended period of time.
- There is no change to service levels associated with the elimination of these positions.

Business Performance Management (BPM) Position Reductions

 Elimination of 1.0 vacant Support Assistant position and 1.0 vacant Manager position within the Business Performance Management (BPM) unit. There is no change to service level associated with the elimination of these positions.

City-Wide Strategic Initiative (CWSI) Position Reduction

- Elimination of 1.0 vacant Supervisor position within the City-Wide Strategic Initiatives team.
- There is no change to service level associated with the elimination of this position.

Change in Security Service Delivery at 18 Dyas

 Elimination of a contracted security guard at 18 Dyas Rd location. Instead of an on-site presence, security at the site will be replaced by mobile patrol.

Efficiencies in Security Preventative Maintenance

- Elimination of contracted preventative maintenance work on security systems, to be covered by further leveraging in-house existing resources to perform this work.
- Currently, there is a mixed model of preventative maintenance on security systems which will continue to be utilized, with a slightly greater reliance on in-house resources.

Corporate Security Position Reduction

- The elimination of 1.0 vacant Corporate Security Supervisor position.
- There is no change to service level associated with the elimination of this position.

Efficiencies in Facilities Preventative Maintenance

Reduction of the contracted services budget for maintenance work by Facilities Management and optimization of existing in-house staff to offset this and maintain the same level of service. This is possible due to the recent reorganization of the Facilities Management Service which allows for a greater pooling and use of inhouse staff resources to perform maintenance functions. In addition, the use of new technology tools, such as mobile work order management, will allow for this proposal to be successful.

Casual & Overtime Budget Reduction

- A reduction in the overtime and casual budget within the FREEE Program is possible through organizational efficiencies resulting from the reassignment of responsibilities within the existing complement.
- There is no impact to service level associated with this efficiency savings.

Change in Enhanced Security at City Hall

- A revision of the enhanced security model that was implemented at City Hall since late 2014, is proposed. This would include the removal of security guards at all entrances, except the main doors, where access is limited to City staff, Councilors and others with access cards.
- There will be no change to the current service level. Access to the public will continue to be available at the main doors.

Fleet Fuel Savings

A reduction in fuel price per liter passed on by Fleet Services to Facilities Management as part of their 2.6%
 Operating Budget reduction.

Addressing Lease Overholds

Addressing leases that are in an overhold position to bring them up-to-date and ensure the City is realizing
current market rates for these leases. This includes re-purposing a vacant position in the Leasing team to
ensure the necessary resources are available support business requirements to address the overhold leases
on an on-going basis.

Real Estate Services (RES) Position Reductions

- Reduction of 1.0 vacant support position within Real Estate Services.
- There is no change to service level associated with the elimination of this position.

Service Adjustments (Savings of \$0.850 million gross & \$0 net)

Move to Standard Custodial Service Delivery for Toronto Police Location

- A reduction to the custodial service level currently being delivered at Toronto Police locations will align the service level to the service standards developed corporately for all City facilities. This change will result in efficiency savings that will be passed on to Toronto Police Services. Currently, the level of service at Toronto Police facilities exceeds the corporate standard service levels.
- These service level changes will result in a reduction in part time hours for custodial staff that equates to \$0.250 million in savings and a reduction in 4.0 FTEs. In addition, contracted service needs will also be reduced, resulting in further savings of \$0.600 million in 2017.
- The key service level changes which will impact Toronto Police employees will be the elimination of the desk side waste pickup and the introduction of central waste receptacles. The Custodial Standard Service Model will also introduce a consistent green bin program to help the City meet its environmental commitments on a go forward basis. Moving to a consistent level of service will enhance Facilities Management's ability to offer one consistent training and development program for all custodial services across the organization. It will also be the basis for all quality assurance review for both our in-house staff and contracted services.

Table 4
2017 Preliminary New & Enhanced Service Priorities

		New/Enhanced						Total		Incremental Change			
	Faci	lities			Environment &		&						
	Manag	Management		Estate	Ene	rgy	\$	\$	Position	2018 F	Plan	2019 I	Plan
Description (\$000s)	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	#	Net	Pos.	Net	Pos.
Enhanced Services Priorities													
TransformTO					333.3	333.3	333.3	333.3	6.0	292.6			
Total					333.3	333.3	333.3	333.3	6.0	292.6			

Enhanced Service Priorities (\$0.333 million gross & \$0.333 million net)

TransformTO

The 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE includes funding of \$0.333 million gross and net and 6.0 positions to accelerate the initiation of climate action plan strategies in 2017 and deliver key priorities that will enhance the City of Toronto's efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These priorities include innovative financing, promoting smart commuting, and enhancing better building partnerships as outlined in the report to City Council on January 9, 2017 entitled "TransformTO Short-term Strategies Financial Estimates" (EX22.2v). http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2017/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-100749.pdf

http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2017/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-100750.pdf

Approval of the 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE will result in a 2018 incremental net cost of \$1.944 million and a 2019 incremental net cost of \$2.985 million to maintain 2017 service levels, as discussed in the following section.

Table 5
2018 and 2019 Plan by Program

		2018 - Incr	emental In	crease			2019 - Inc	remental I	ncrease	
	Gross		Net	%		Gross		Net	%	
Description (\$000s)	Expense	Revenue	Expense	Change	Position	Expense	Revenue	Expense	Change	Position
Known Impacts:										
Prior Year Impact										
City-Wide Real Estate (CWRE) transfer of Positions to Legal Services and Human Resources	(1,176.2)	(914.6)	(261.6)	(0.4%)						
Operating Impact of Capital	(9.13)	(7.7)	(1.5)	(0.0%)		(11.8)	(8.4)	(3.3)	(0.0%)	
Delivery of Capital Projects	(217.6)	(217.6)	, ,		(2.0)	, ,	` ,	` ′	, ,	
Salaries and Benefits										
COLA	471.8		471.8	0.7%		571.6		571.6	0.8%	
Salary & Benefit Increases	1,734.5		1,734.5	2.6%		2,222.4		2,222.4	3.2%	
Other Base Changes										
Interdivisional Costs & Recoveries (IDC/IDR)	(2.9)	10.0	(12.9)	(0.0%)		(38.8)		(38.8)	(0.1%)	
Contribution to Vehicle Reserve	61.8		61.8	0.1%		246.6		246.6	0.4%	
Service Changes	(1,200.0)	(900.0)	(300.0)	(0.4%)						
Other Base Changes	(13.4)		(13.4)	(0.0%)		(3.7)		(3.7)	(0.0%)	
Revenue										
User Fees		27.2	(27.2)	(0.0%)			27.8	(27.8)	(0.0%)	
New/Enhanced Services										
TransformTO	292.6		292.6	0.4%						
Total Incremental Impact	(58.5)	(2,002.7)	1,944.2	2.9%	(2.0)	3,004.7	19.3	2,985.4	4.3%	

Future year incremental costs are primarily attributable to the following:

Known Impacts:


- Incremental increases are mainly driven by COLA, progression pay and step increases for staff salaries and benefits; as well as executing key priorities related to the new and enhanced TransformTO initiative, and contributions to the vehicle reserve fund in anticipation of future replacements chiefly in 2019.
- These costs are mainly offset in FREEE's Operating Budget by:
 - The reduction of salary and benefits associated with 8.0 positions in 2017 for the development of a cross-functional transition team for nine months (September 2016 May 2017) to support the City Wide Real Estate Review (EX16.4) initiative approved by Council at its meeting on July 12th, 2016. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2016.EX16.4
 - Incremental interdivisional recoveries for maintenance, custodial and security services provided to other City Divisions / Agencies.
 - Expected incremental service efficiency savings from reduced contracted maintenance work and standardized custodial services to Toronto Police locations.
 - ➤ User fee revenue increases based on annual inflation of 2.0%.




Part 2:

2017 Operating Budget by Service

Facilities Management




2017 Service Budget by Activity (\$Ms)




What We Do

- Provide leadership and stewardship of the City's facilities with a commitment to best practices incorporating environmental, social and economic principles.
- Provide routine maintenance, custodial care, security, and construction activities for City
 Programs and Agencies in accordance with service level agreements.
- Ensure facilities are safe, accessible and available to deliver programs and services by employing facility maintenance standards, life cycle planning and building condition assessments.

Service by Funding Source (\$Ms)



Volume of Facilities Maintenance Work Orders Completed



This has allowed staff to address an increasing amount of work orders annually. An aging building stock has also increased the need for greater repair and maintenance work year over year.

order requests.

Facilities Management continues to invest in tools and technology to improve the efficiency

and effectiveness of the management of work

 On demand work orders expected to decline due to greater focus on preventative maintenance and capital project delivery.

toronto.ca/budget2U1/

Page 16

2017 Service Levels Facilities Management

No. Procursions inter to Chic Demand Requests for Procision Materianeano. Approved 1/2 5 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Service/Activity		Status	2014	2015	2016	2017	Footnote
- 10 Level 2 (Upper) Service) requests within 60 buys - 10 Level 2 (Upper) Service) requests within 60 buys - 10 Level 3 (Messessary Service) requests within 60 buys - 11 Service and self-self-or of period construction projects which metals to self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-			Approved		emand requests w		to Level 1 / 2 / 3 On-Demand requests	1
- 10 Level 3 Necessary Serked requests within 5 Days No. Damand maintenance work completed within standards given exalibility of parts Actual Service Completed construction projects which meet took construction projects which meet took construction projects with dearenance work completed construction projects which meet took construction projects which meet took construction projects dearenance with completed construction projects and quality defended within share project charters Actual 70% 94% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89% 89		- to Level 1 (Emergency) requests within 2 Hours	Actual	N/A	N/A	N/A		
** Demonst maintenance such completed within standards grown well-ability of parts ** Completed construction projects which meet total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their project characters ** Completed construction projects which meet total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their project characters ** Completed construction projects which meet total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their project characters ** Completed construction projects which meet total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their project characters ** Completed construction projects which meet total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their project characters ** Completed construction projects meeting three criteria ** Complete constructi		- to Level 2 (Urgent Service) requests within 48 Hours	Actual	62%	59%	61%		
Approved NNA Decorptions		- to Level 3 (Necessary Service) requests within 5 Days	Actual	68%	71%	76%		
No. Completed construction projects which meet total cost, shedule, and quality defined within their project charters		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approved	N/A	N/A		with demand maintenance work	
No. Of Completed construction projects which meet to construction projects meeting three criteria project interest project			Actual					
v. of Presentative maintenance work orders completed on schedule in a pilot of three locations Actual NA 89% 89% 100% 100% Actual NA 89% 89% 100% 100% Actual NA 89% 89% 100% 100% V. of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - excluding major projects V. of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - including major A actual 56% 51% 69% 100% V. of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - including major A actual 56% 51% 69% 100% V. of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - including major A actual 56% 51% 69% 100% V. Client delivered SOGR projects Actual NA 89% 69% 100% 100% Actual 51% 48% 66% Actual 51% 48% 66% Actual 51% 48% 66% Actual 51% 48% 66% Actual NA 79% 89% 100% 100% Scheduled Maintenance - On Demand Approved NA 89% 89% 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10		total cost, schedule, and quality defined within their	New				construction projects meeting three	2
Secretable in a pilot of three locations Approved Approved Actual N/A 80% 80% 100% Schedule in a pilot of three locations Actual N/A 80% 80% 100% Schedule Schedule N/A 80% Schedule N/A 80% Schedule N/A Schedule			Actual	76%	94%	89%		
Secretarial Spending Rate SOGR - excluding major projects Actual 55% 51% 63% 63% 7 target: 80% Compliant	1						maintenance work orders completed on	3
Actual 56% 51% 63% 1								
% of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - including major & atrategic projects Approved N/A 80% 80% Target: 80% Compliant			Approved	N/A	80%	80%	Target: 80% Compliant	
Actual 51% 48% 65% Target: 80% Compliant **Client delivered SOGR projects** **Approved** **Custodial Care** **Corporate Security** **Security system equipment downtime in hours per year** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Approved** **Compliant** **Compliant** **Compliant** **Target: 2.0%* **Target: 2.0%		projects	Actual	56%	51%	63%		
## Client delivered SOGR projects Approved NNA 89% 89% Target: 89% Compliant Actual NNA 79% 85% Bended Capital Projects Approved NNA 80% 89% Target: 89% Compliant Actual NNA 56% 66% Scheduled Maintenance - Preventative Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Scheduled Maintenance - On Demand Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Environmental Assessments Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Environmental Assessments Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Approved Compliance With client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Part of the Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued Discont		% of Capital Spending Rate SOGR - including major &	Approved	N/A	80%	80%	Target: 80% Compliant	
Scient delivered SOGR projects		strategic projects	Actual	51%	48%	65%		
Actual N/A 79% 85% Target: 80% Compliant Approved N/A 80% 80% Target: 80% Compliant Actual N/A 56% 66% Scheduled Maintenance - Preventative Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Scheduled Maintenance - On Demand Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Target: -2.0% Actual - 4.0%3.0%2.0% Actual - 4.0%3.0%2.0% Actual - 4.0%3.0%2.0% Actual - 4.0%3.0%2.0% Actual4.0%3.0%2.0% Actua			Approved	N/A	80%	80%	Target: 80% Compliant	
Approved N/A 80% 80% Target: 80% Compliant		% Client delivered SOGR projects	Actual	N/A	79%	85%	-	
Selended Capital Projects Actual N/A 56% 66%							Target: 80% Compliant	
Scheduled Maintenance - Preventative Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued in 2016 Scheduled Maintenance - On Demand Approved 90% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Asset Facility Management / Presentation Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Environmental Assessments Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Cleaning Services for City Buildings Actual Compliant Discontinued in 2016 Actual Compliant Compliant Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Actual Compliant Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued In 2016 Actual Compliant Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued In 2016 Actual Compliant Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued Discontinued In 2016 Actual Faproved Target: <2.0% Target: <2.0% Discontinued In 2016 Actual Faproved Discontinued In 2016 Actual N/A 100.0% Discontinued Target: <=1.0% Compliance Target: Under Development (90.0%) Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% Discontinued In 2016 Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% Discontinued In 2016 Approved Discontinued Discontinued In 2016 Actual N/A 80.0% Discontinued In 2016 Approved Discontinued In 2016 Actual N/A 80.0% Discontinued In 2016 Actual N/A 80.0% Discontinued In 2016		% Blended Capital Projects					raiget. 50% Compilant	
Scheduled Maintenance - On Demand Approved 90% Compliance Discontinued in 2016 Asset Facility Management / Presentation Approved 90% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Environmental Assessments Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Approved 100% Compliance With Client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Services for City Buildings Approved Compliance with Client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Compliance with Client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Compliance with Client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Compliance with Client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued Target: -2.0% Actual -6.0% -3.0% -2.0% Approved Target: -2.0% Discontinued Actual 99.9% Approved N/A 100.0% Discontinued Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Approved Target: Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Actual N/A 1.0.0% Discontinued Actual							- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asset Facility Management / Preservation Approved 90% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Environmental Assessments Approved 95% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Regulatory Compliance Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Approved 100% Compliance Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Approved Soft Compliance Discontinued Disc					•			
Environmental Assessments Regulatory Compliance Approved Approved Approved Approved Approved Approved Cleaning Services for City Buildings Cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Compliant Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Approved Approved Actual Approved Approved					-			
Regulatory Compliance		Asset Facility Management / Preservation	Approved	90% Co	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Cleaning Services for City Buildings Cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued Discontinued in 2016 Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Average security system equipment downtime in hours per year Average security system downtime per year Corporate Security Approved Actual Approved Actual Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Target: <= 1.0% Compliance Target: <= 1.0% Compliance Target: <= 1.0% Compliance Target: <= 1.0% Compliance Target: Under Development (90.0%) Target: Under Development (90.0%) Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Target: Under Development (90.0%) Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Target: Under Development (90.0%) Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Actual Approved Approved Actual Approved Actual Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approved Actual Approv		Environmental Assessments	Approved	95% Cd	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Custodial Care Cleaning Services for City Buildings Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Compliance with client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued in 2016 Actual Compliant Actual Compliant Actual Compliant Compliant Compliant Discontinued in 2016 Target: -2.0% Target: -2.0% Actual -6.0% -3.0% -2.0% Average security system equipment downtime in hours per year Actual -6.0% -3.0% -2.0% Actual -99.9% Actual -99.9% Target: -2.0% Discontinued ** Dis		Regulatory Compliance	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Custodial Care Compliant Discontinued in 2016		Cleaning Services for City Buildings	Approved	80% of Comp		SLAs for daily	-	
Cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs Approved Compliance with client SLAs for daily routine cleaning Discontinued Discontinue			Actual	Compliant	Compliant	Compliant		
Approved Target: -2.0%	Custodial Care	Cleaning Services for Non City Run Programs	Approved			Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
% increase / decrease in "non-routine" security occurrences Year Over Year (YOY) Actual -6.0% -3.0% -2.0% Average security system equipment downtime in hours per year Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual 99.9% Corporate Security % of Security system downtime per year Approved Target: <= 1.0% Compliance Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% % of Security system corrective maintenance completed on time # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0% Approved N/A 100.0% Approved N/A 100.0% Approved N/A 100.0% Discontinued ** Discontinued ** Discontinued in 2016			Actual	Con	npliant			
Actual -6.0% -3.0% -2.0% Average security system equipment downtime in hours per year Actual 99.9% Actual 99.9% Actual 99.9% Actual 99.9% Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual N/A 100.0% 100.0% Discontinued ** Discontinued ** Discontinued in 2016			Approved		Target: -2.0%		Target: -2.0%	
Advarage security system equipment downtime in nours per year Actual 99.9% Actual 99.9% Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% For Security system corrective maintenance completed on time # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Actual N/A 80.0% Approved N/A 80.0% Approved N/A 80.0% Discontinued **		occurrences Year Over Year (YOY)	Actual	-6.0%	-3.0%	-2.0%		
Corporate Security **Of Security system downtime per year** **Of Security system corrective maintenance completed on time** **Indicate the standard period** **Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% 1.0% 0.9% 1.0% 1.0% 0.9% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0% 1.0			Approved	N/A	100.0%	100.0%	Discontinued **	
Corporate Security % of Security system downtime per year Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% % of Security system corrective maintenance completed on time # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period # of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Projects Completed on Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Approved Target: Under Development (90.0%) Approved N/A 100.0% Approved N/A 100.0% Approved N/A 80.0% Discontinued Discontinued in 2016		per year	Actual		99.9%			
Corporate Security # of Security system corrective maintenance completed on time # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period # of Corporate Security Projects Completed on # of Corporate Security Projects Completed on # of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Actual N/A 1.0% 0.9% Target: Under Development (90.0%) Target: Under Development (90.0%) Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0% Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0% Approved N/A 80.0% 100.0% Discontinued **		% of Security system downtime per year	Approved	Targe	et: <= 1.0% Comp	liance	Target: <= 1.0% Compliance	
completed on time Actual N/A 85.0% 90.0% # of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0% Actual Approved N/A 80.0% So of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Discontinued	Corporate Security	, -,	Actual	N/A	1.0%	0.9%		
# of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period # of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Projects Completed on Approved N/A 100.0% 100.0			Approved	Target: U	Inder Developme	ent (90.0%)	Target: Under Development (90.0%)	
# of security issues / defects resolved within the standard period Actual Actual Approved N/A 80.0% Discontinued ** Discontinued in 2016		completed on time	Actual	N/A	85.0%	90.0%		
period Actual Discontinued % of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Discontinued Discontin		# of security issues / defects resolved within the standard	Approved	N/A	100.0%	100.0%		
% of Corporate Security Projects Completed on Discontinued Discontinue			Actual				Discontinued **	
Discontinued Discontinued in 2016		OV. of Community Constitution Desired Constitution	Approved	N/A	80.0%			
			Actual			Discontinued	inued Discontinued in 2016	

- Footnotes:

 1 Language change in 2017 / 2016 no targets were provided
 2 New Service Level for 2017
 3 Language change in 2017
 4 Service Activity name change in 2017

Facilities Management is continuing a review of its service levels, service standards and performance measures. As a result, there have been some discontinuation of service level and measures considered no longer relevant or optimal, all in an effort to ensure service levels reported reflect the services being performed by the Program. In addition, a new service level measure has been added to the Facilities Maintenance activity regarding the rate of completion of construction projects under the criteria of cost, quality and scheduling to better represent its service level commitments.

Table 6
2017 Service Budget by Activity

	2016			2017 O	perating Budg		,			In	crementa	I Change		
	Budget	Base Budget	Service Changes		Base Budget vs. 2016 Budget	%	New/ Enhanced	Budget	2017 Budge Budg		201 Pla	8	201 Pla	
(\$000s)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
GROSS EXP.														
Facilities Maintenance	69,143.1	71,151.9	(1,376.4)	69,775.5	632.4	0.9%		69,775.5	632.4	0.9%	800.7	1.1%	1,331.8	1.9%
Custodial Care	32,340.8	31,328.2	(996.0)	30,332.1	(2,008.7)	(6.2%)		30,332.1	(2,008.7)	(6.2%)	(856.9)	-2.8%	465.2	1.6%
Corporate Security	20,044.4	20,366.1	(477.2)	19,888.9	(155.5)	(0.8%)		19,888.9	(155.5)	(0.8%)	516.8	2.6%	650.9	3.2%
Total Gross Exp.	121,528.3	122,846.2	(2,849.7)	119,996.5	(1,531.8)	(1.3%)		119,996.5	(1,531.8)	(1.3%)	460.6	0.4%	2,447.9	2.0%
REVENUE														
Facilities Maintenance	28,716.1	29,044.0	(92.8)	28,951.2	235.1	0.8%		28,951.2	235.1	0.8%	1.2	0.0%	0.6	0.0%
Custodial Care	12,648.9	12,675.1	(876.5)	11,798.6	(850.3)	(6.7%)		11,798.6	(850.3)	(6.7%)	(889.5)	-7.5%	0.5	0.0%
Corporate Security	6,827.7	7,107.4		7,107.4	279.7	4.1%		7,107.4	279.7	4.1%	0.9	0.0%	0.9	
Total Revenues	48,192.7	48,826.4	(969.2)	47,857.2	(335.5)	(0.7%)		47,857.2	(335.5)	(0.7%)	(887.4)		2.0	0.0%
NET EXP.														
Facilities Maintenance	40,427.0	42,108.0	(1,283.7)	40,824.3	397.3	1.0%		40,824.3	397.3	1.0%	799.5	2.0%	1,331.1	3.2%
Custodial Care	19,691.9	18,653.1	(119.6)	18,533.5	(1,158.4)	(5.9%)		18,533.5	(1,158.4)	(5.9%)	32.6	0.2%	464.7	2.5%
Corporate Security	13,216.7	13,258.7	(477.2)	12,781.5	(435.2)	(3.3%)		12,781.5	(435.2)	(3.3%)	515.9	4.0%	650.0	4.9%
Total Net Exp.	73,335.6	74,019.7	(1,880.5)	72,139.3	(1,196.3)	(1.6%)		72,139.3	(1,196.3)	(1.6%	1,348.0	1.9%	2,445.8	3.2%
Approved Positions	864.2	858.2	(16.5)	841.7	(22.5)	(2.6%)		841.7	(22.5)	(2.6%)		0.0%		

The *Facilities Management Service* provides routine maintenance, custodial care, security, and construction activities for City Programs and Agencies in accordance with service level agreements.

The Facilities Management Service's 2017 Operating Budget of \$119.997 million gross and \$72.139 million net is \$1.196 million or 1.6% under the 2016 Approved Net Budget.

- Base budget pressures in the Facilities Management Service are primarily due to increases in progression
 pay for union and management staff, and associated fringe benefit adjustments common among all activities
 resulting in an increase of \$0.353 million in salaries and benefits.
- Inflationary increases of \$0.177 million reflect the multi-year contractual agreements with vendors for the procurement of goods and services for Custodial Care and Facilities Maintenance.
- Increases in contributions to the vehicle reserve fund of \$0.109 million are based on anticipated future vehicle replacements.
- Increases of \$0.058 million for specific maintenance and security guard contracts for the new concourse and retail areas in Union Station as part of the full revitalization initiative to be completed in 2018.
- In addition, there is a total reduction of 6.0 positions within the Service related to the elimination of 1.0 Project Manager position in the Business Performance Management (BPM) group supporting the Facilities Transformation Project (FTP); and 5.0 temporary capital funded positions in BPM (3.0) and the Project Management Office (PMO) (2.0).
- In order to offset these pressures, the 2017 Operating Budget includes service efficiency savings of \$1.881 million net for fleet rationalization, preventative maintenance work efficiencies, changes in the enhanced security model at City Hall, and reductions in vacant positions resulting in the elimination of 12.5 positions within the Service.
- In addition, service adjustments result in savings of \$0.850 million gross and \$0 net from changes to custodial service levels at Toronto Police locations with a reduction of 4.0 custodial positions.

Real Estate




2017 Service Budget by Activity (\$Ms)




What We Do

- Provides property appraisal, negotiation, acquisition and disposal services for City Programs and Agencies to ensure the City's Real Estate portfolio represents a strategic asset mix that reflects program and stakeholders needs.
- Provide routine lease administration and manage leases of City properties with third party tenants.
- Maximize lease revenues by regularly reviewing the City's building portfolio and current market rates, negotiating optimal leasing arrangements and identifying target properties for acquisition or disposal to ensure the City's building portfolio is optimal.

Service by Funding Source (\$Ms)



Lease Revenue Maximization (\$M)



- Ongoing construction as part of the Union Station Revitalization project impacted revenues in 2015 and projected revenues for 2016, as space that was previously leased out is being vacated and renovated.
- Leasing revenues are expected to trend upwards in 2018 and beyond as new retail space at Union Station is development and becomes operational.

2017 Service Levels **Real Estate**

Service/Activity		Status	2014	2015	2016	2017	Footnote
Dana anti i Annonio al	% of Appraisals completed within 6 weeks after client	Approved	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	90.0%	3
Property Appraisal	requests	Actual	86.5%	85.6%	86.0%		
	% Compliance to acquire properties within client	Approved		80% Complianc	е	Discontinued	
Properties	timeframes	Actual	78.0%	80.0%	N.A	Discontinued	
Acquisitions & Expropriation	0/ -f Ai-idii	New		100% Complian	ce	100% Compliance	2
	% of Acquisition price to appraised value	Actual	103.3%	103.0%	101.6%		
Real Estate	% of Compliance with disposing of properties at 100% or	Approved	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4
Disposal at Market Rates	better of appraised value	Actual	90.9%	76.2%	100.0%		
	Property Leasing	Approved	95% Co	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Negotiate New Leases	Approved	95% Cd	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Renew Leases	Approved	95% Cd	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Terminate Leases	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Lease Management	Lease Payments	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Receive Lease Revenue	Approved	95% Co	mpliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Property Assessment Review	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
	Property Assessment Savings & Revenues	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Development and Portfolio Planning	Review Property Portfolio	Approved	100% C	ompliance	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	

- 1 Language change in 2017 / 2016 no targets were provided
- 2 New Service Level for 2017
- 3 Language change in 2017 4 Service Activity name change in 2017

The Real Estate Service is continuing a review of its service levels, service standards and performance measures. As a result, there have been a few adjustments to service level and measures, all in an effort to ensure service levels reported reflect the services being performed by the Program. A new service level measure has been added to the Properties Acquisitions & Expropriation activity regarding the percent of acquisition price to appraised value to measure Real Estate Services' ability to negotiate acquisition agreements in line with the appraised value.

Table 7
2017 Service Budget by Activity


	2016			2017 Op	perating Budg				In	crementa	I Change			
	Budget	Base Budget	Service Changes	Base	Base Budget vs. 2016 Budget	% Change	New/ Enhanced	Budget	2017 Budge Budg		20° Pla	-	201 Pla	
(\$000s)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
GROSS EXP.														
Development & Portfolio Planning	1,938.6	2,724.2		2,724.2	785.6	40.5%		2,724.2	785.6	40.5%	(1,105.2)	-40.6%	62.8	3.9%
Lease Management	20,605.5	20,834.0	112.4	20,946.4	340.9	1.7%		20,946.4	340.9	1.7%	126.0	0.6%	118.7	0.6%
Property Acquisition	2,404.8	1,958.0	(80.3)	1,877.8	(527.0)	(21.9%)		1,877.8	(527.0)	(21.9%)	59.7	3.2%	60.4	3.1%
Property Appraisal	1,974.1	2,179.1	(62.5)	2,116.6	142.4	7.2%		2,116.6	142.4	7.2%	60.0	2.8%	58.5	2.7%
Property Disposal	1,715.9	892.4		892.4	(823.5)	(48.0%)		892.4	(823.5)	(48.0%)	(11.5)	-1.3%	20.8	2.4%
Total Gross Exp.	28,638.9	28,587.7	(30.4)	28,557.3	(81.6)	(0.3%)		28,557.3	(81.6)	(0.3%)	(871.0)	-3.1%	321.2	1.1%
REVENUE														
Development & Portfolio Planning	936.8	914.6		914.6	(22.2)	(2.4%)		914.6	(22.2)	(2.4%)	(914.6)	-100.0%		
Lease Management	55,054.1	57,200.6	441.5	57,642.1	2,588.0	4.7%		57,642.1	2,588.0	4.7%				
Property Acquisition	928.2	928.2		928.2				928.2						
Property Appraisal	660.5	672.7		672.7	12.2	1.8%		672.7	12.2	1.8%	12.5	1.9%	12.7	1.9%
Property Disposal	769.1	545.0		545.0	(224.1)	(29.1%)		545.0	(224.1)	(29.1%)				
Total Revenues	58,348.7	60,261.2	441.5	60,702.6	2,353.9	4.0%		60,702.6	2,353.9	4.0%	(902.1)		12.7	0.0%
NET EXP.														
Development & Portfolio Planning	1,001.8	1,809.6		1,809.6	807.8	80.6%		1,809.6	807.8	80.6%	(190.6)	-10.5%	62.8	3.9%
Lease Management	(34,448.6)	(36, 366.7)	(329.0)	(36,695.7)	(2,247.1)	6.5%		(36,695.7)	(2,247.1)	6.5%	126.0	-0.3%	118.7	(0.3%)
Property Acquisition	1,476.5	1,029.8	(80.3)	949.6	(527.0)	(35.7%)		949.6	(527.0)	(35.7%)	59.7	6.3%	60.4	6.0%
Property Appraisal	1,313.6	1,506.4	(62.5)	1,443.9	130.2	9.9%		1,443.9	130.2	9.9%	47.5	3.3%	45.8	3.1%
Property Disposal	946.8	347.4		347.4	(599.4)	(63.3%)		347.4	(599.4)	(63.3%)	(11.5)	-3.3%	20.8	6.2%
Total Net Exp.	(29,709.8)	(31,673.5)	(471.9)	(32,145.3)	(2,435.5)	8.2%		(32,145.3)	(2,435.5)	8.2%	31.1	-0.1%	308.5	(1.0%)
Approved Positions	95.0	95.0	(1.0)	94.0	(1.0)	(1.1%)		94.0	(1.0)	(1.1%)		0.0%		

The *Real Estate Service* focuses on the provision of routine lease administration, acquisitions, disposals, property negotiations and appraisals to ensure the City's real estate assets represent a strategic portfolio mix reflecting City's Programs and Agencies and stakeholder needs.

The Real Estate Service's 2017 Operating Budget of \$28.557 million gross and \$32.145 million net revenues is \$2.436 million or 8.2% under the 2016 Approved Net Budget.

- The year-over-year net decrease is mainly driven by leasing revenues of \$1.891 million, inflationary increases to user fees of \$0.012 million, and service efficiency savings of \$0.472 million from addressing lease overholds and the reduction of 1.0 vacant support position within the Service.
- These reductions are partially offset by incremental increases to COLA, step, progression pay and associated fringe benefits for staff.

Environment & Energy



2017 Service Budget by Activity (\$Ms)

\$46.4

Million



136.1

141.6

145.1

148.6

Environment &

Energy Outreach,

\$1.6, 3%

Renewable Energy,

\$1.7, 4%

Research & Policy


Development,

\$2.2,5%

What We Do

- Provide cross-corporate leadership and coordination of activities to achieve sustainable environment and energy outcomes.
- Lead the development and implementation of innovative environmental and energy policies and programs to help reduce greenhouse gas emissions, facilitate energy conservation and demand management and ensure security of the energy supply.
- Inspire citizens, businesses, and other stakeholders to make Toronto North America's most environmentally sustainable city.
- Build a Resilient City by delivering tools and resources to engage residents and businesses in adopting sustainable lifestyles and practices.

Service by Funding Source (\$Ms)



Electricity Demand Reduction (Megawatt)

- This graph represents cumulative amounts. Since 2011, there has been a reduction in electricity demand every year vs the baseline.
- The goal of Environment and Energy is to reduce electricity demand in Toronto by 133 megawatts by 2016, focusing on energy efficient buildings and infrastructure, and encouraging stakeholders to reduce energy consumption through planned efficiencies and effective communication strategies.
- Every 5MW reduction in demand is equivalent to the demand of a new 40-story condominium tower.

120.3

130.6

Series1

2017 Service Levels **Environment & Energy**

Service/Activity		Status	2014	2015	2016	2017	Footnote
	Normalized energy consumption (eKWH) per sq.ft. for	Approved	30.00	30.00	29.00	28.19	
Energy Management &	corporate buildings per year	Actual	29.92	28.63	28.60		
Programs	Achieve or surpass 90% waste diversion per year on a		Beyond 70%	Beyond 80%	Beyond 90%	Beyond 90%	
	corporate level	Actual	88%	89%	90%		
			Meet	or exceed target	revenue	Meet or exceed target revenue	
Renewable Energy Projects	Revenue (\$) generated from renewable energy projects per year	Approved	\$493.9K	\$599.4K	\$875.0K	\$1,043.0K	1
,		Actual	\$500.0K	\$599.4K	\$913.0K		
Research & Policy	Energy Supply Agreements	Approved	Uninterrupted utility service as a result of contracting 100% of the time			Discontinued in 2016	
Development	Energy Retrofits	Approved	Savings of up to	25% of building gy use	Discontinued	Discontinued in 2016	
Environment &	Administer Loan Programs	Approved		100% loan repayments are received on time with no defaults		Discontinued in 2016	
Energy Outreach	Funding Agreements	Approved	Average 22 Megawatt per year		Discontinued	Discontinued in 2010	
Daduaina	% of Reduction in eCO2 emissions to environment relative	Status	2012 2013		2014 Forecast	2015 Forecast	*Years reported
	to 1990 level*	New	30% Red	uction by 2020 vs	. 1990 level	30% Reduction by 2020 vs. 1990 level	because of a two year lag in
		Actual	25.07%	23.89%	24.96%		data collection

Footnotes:

- 1 Language change in 2017 / 2016 no targets were provided
- 2 New Service Level for 2017
- 3 Language change in 2017
- 4 Service Activity name change in 2017

The Environment & Energy Service is continuing a review of its service levels, service standards and performance measures. As a result, there have been a few adjustments to service level standards and measures including a new measure for the rate of reduction of carbon dioxide equivalents (eCO2) emissions, all in an effort to ensure service levels reported reflect the services being performed by the Program.

Table 8 2017 Service Budget by Activity

	2016	2017 Operating Budget							Incremental Change					
	Budget	Base Budget Base Service vs. 2016 % Budget Changes Base Budget Change B		New/ Enhanced	Budget	2017 Budget vs. 2016 Budget		2018 Plan		2019 Plan				
(\$000s)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
GROSS EXP.														
Energy Management & Programs	38,309.0	40,760.6	(22.4)	40,738.2	2,429.3	6.3%	233.3	40,971.5	2,662.6	7.0%	331.1	0.8%	112.5	0.3%
Environment & Energy Outreach	1,771.9	1,582.2		1,582.2	(189.6)	(10.7%)	40.0	1,622.2	(149.6)	(8.4%)	85.6	5.3%	35.8	2.1%
Renewable Energy	1,779.1	1,664.5		1,664.5	(114.6)	(6.4%)		1,664.5	(114.6)	(6.4%)	(177.6)	-10.7%	30.4	2.0%
Research & Policy Development	2,237.3	2,107.4		2,107.4	(130.0)	(5.8%)	60.0	2,167.4	(70.0)	(3.1%)	112.9	5.2%	57.0	2.5%
Total Gross Exp.	44,097.3	46,114.7	(22.4)	46,092.4	1,995.1	4.5%	333.3	46,425.7	2,328.4	5.3%	352.0	0.8%	235.6	0.5%
REVENUE														
Energy Management & Programs	15,566.9	16,260.6		16,260.6	693.7	4.5%		16,260.6	693.7	4.5%				
Environment & Energy Outreach	882.1	528.3		528.3	(353.8)	(40.1%)		528.3	(353.8)	(40.1%)	4.5	0.8%	4.6	0.9%
Renewable Energy	1,488.7	1,281.5		1,281.5	(207.2)	(13.9%)		1,281.5	(207.2)	(13.9%)	(217.6)	-17.0%		
Research & Policy Development	459.6	459.6		459.6				459.6						
Total Revenues	18,397.3	18,530.0		18,530.0	132.6	0.7%		18,530.0	132.6	0.7%	(213.1)		4.6	0.0%
NET EXP.														
Energy Management & Programs	22,742.0	24,500.0	(22.4)	24,477.6	1,735.6	7.6%	233.3	24,711.0	1,968.9	8.7%	331.1	1.3%	112.5	0.4%
Environment & Energy Outreach	889.8	1,054.0		1,054.0	164.2	18.5%	40.0	1,094.0	204.2	23.0%	81.1	7.4%	31.2	2.7%
Renewable Energy	290.4	383.0		383.0	92.6	31.9%		383.0	92.6	31.9%	40.0	10.4%	30.4	7.2%
Research & Policy Development	1,777.7	1,647.7		1,647.7	(130.0)	(7.3%)	60.0	1,707.7	(70.0)	(3.9%)	112.9	6.6%	57.0	3.1%
Total Net Exp.	25,699.9	27,584.7	(22.4)	27,562.4	1,862.4	7.2%	333.3	27,895.7	2,195.8	8.5%	565.1	2.0%	231.1	0.8%
Approved Positions	64.3	64.3		64.3	(0.0)	(0.0%)	6.0	70.3	6.0	9.3%	(2.0)	-2.8%		

The Environment & Energy Service works with residents, businesses and City Programs and Agencies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, build a resilient city, facilitate energy conservation and demand management and ensure energy security and supply.

The Environment & Energy Service's 2017 Operating Budget of \$46.426 million gross and \$27.896 million net is \$2.196 million or 8.5% over the 2016 Approved Net Budget.

- Base budget pressures in Environment & Energy Service are primarily due to inflationary increases of \$1.009 million due to rising utility rates, specifically electricity, natural gas and water rates, under Energy Management & Programs.
- Additional costs related to Union Station of \$0.155 million due to an expected increase in utilities usage as well as a reduction in lease and retail revenue forecasts for the new concourse and retail areas in Union Station that are expected to be fully operational by 2018.
- Utility base increases of \$0.642 million are included in the Service for costs over and above inflation to align budgets with expected usage and costs at various corporately funded locations.
- Operating impacts of \$0.058 million for the Solar Photovoltaic (PV) capital initiative relate to a reduction in capital repayments of \$0.138 million funded from the Sustainable Energy Fund (SEF) and an associated reduction in revenues of \$0.196 million to align with revised forecasts of Solar PV revenues.
- New & Enhanced services of \$0.333 million related to the TransformTO initiative to deliver key priorities of the climate action plan in 2017 to accelerate and enhance the City of Toronto's efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. An increase of 6.0 positions is required to execute these key priorities.
- In order to offset these pressures, the 2017 Operating Budget includes service efficiency savings of \$0.022 million related to the reduction of the casual and overtime budget.



Part 3:

Issues for Discussion

Issues Impacting the 2017 Budget

Budget Reduction Target

- At its meeting on July 12, 2016, the "2017 Budget Process: Budget Directions and Schedule" staff report (EX16.37) was submitted for consideration and adopted by City Council regarding the establishment of the 2017 Budget Process and the scheduling of the review and approval of the Tax and Rate Supported 2017 Operating Budget and 2017-2026 Capital Budget and Plan for the City of Toronto. (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-94519.pdf)
- City Council adopted an across the board budget reduction target of -2.6% net below the 2016 Approved Net
 Operating Budgets for all City Programs and Agencies utilizing strategies including but not limited to
 controlling expenditures through cost saving measures, and exploring all services for efficiency savings
 including opportunities from business process reengineering, streamlining, transformation and innovation to
 service delivery.
- Facilities Management, Real Estate, Environment & Energy conducted a thorough review of all base expenditures and revenues to identify areas for cost and efficiency savings with minimal to no impact on service levels. As a result of these efforts, the Program's 2017 Operating Budget of \$194.980 million gross and \$67.890 million net, represents a 2.1% reduction from the 2016 Net Budget including the new/enhanced TransformTO key prioities for 2017 approved by Council.
- The Real Estate Service underwent a review of all leases coming due in 2016 and is expected to realize a 10% increase in revenues on the City's lease portfolio, resulting in additional base revenues of \$0.138 million. To address the issue of unlocking the value of the City's real estate portfolio and maximizing the use of Cityowned space while meeting client program requirements, it is important that the Program continue to review and assess the value of its lease agreements including the terms and conditions stipulated, to maximize revenue potential for the City.
- Service efficiency savings of \$2.053 million gross and \$2.375 million net were also identified through addressing lease overholds; streamlining maintenance functions; reducing overtime and casual budget; rationalizing of the fleet portfolio; restructuring aspects of its organization to eliminate vacant positions; and revising the enhanced security model at City Hall, with an associated complement reduction of 13.5 positions. In addition, service adjustments of \$0.850 million gross and \$0 net, with an associated complement reduction of 4.0 positions was achieved through the standardization of custodial services provided to several Toronto Police facilities based on a reassessment of service needs.
- With increasing budget pressures from salary and benefit and utility costs, it will be difficult for FREEE to identify ongoing sustainable savings in future years' Operating Budget submissions. The Program is mindful of the notable expense challenges and resource constraints in the future for the City of Toronto.
- FREEE will continue working to make meaningful changes to ensure the City's future financial stability and to support Council's policy direction to invest in and support the growth of our community.

Utilities Energy Efficiency, Consumption Benchmarking and Forecasted Pressures

Energy Efficiency

- Over the last 5 years, savings (including costs avoided) in utilities have been realized due to ongoing energy
 efficiency improvements in City facilities, strategic utility utilization and purchases, and building automation
 upgrades allowing for better control and scheduling of energy consumption and equipment.
- The savings from 2012 to 2016 which has totaled \$3.4 million, allowed the Program to mitigate budget pressures and/or meet the budget targets each year since 2012. The table below summarizes the savings from 2012 to 2016.

Year	Net Savings (\$ millions)
2016	0.290
2015	0.501
2014	0.655
2013	0.701
2012	1.240

- Annual avoided costs from LED lighting retrofits in various City facilities (Corporate buildings and Long Term Care Homes) in 2017 are estimated at \$0.700 million. Avoided costs for 2018 will be a function of the resources available to the Division through the capital and operating budgets. Recommendations in the forthcoming Transform TO report in Q2 2017, will help to shape plans for energy retrofits of City facilities.
- This initiative is a community-wide, cross-corporate initiative designed to engage residents, experts, stakeholders and all City Divisions, Agencies and Corporations on how Toronto can achieve a low-carbon future that meets the needs of all Torontonians.
- At its meeting on November 17, 2016, the "TransformTO: Climate Action for a Healthy Equitable, and Prosperous Toronto Report #1" staff report (PE15.1) was submitted for consideration and adopted by the Parks and Environment Committee regarding the short-term strategies needed to expand and accelerate existing innovative programs and policies to maximize emission reduction potential to 2020 and bring the city's trajectory in line with Council's 2050 low-carbon target. These plans will also be impacted by the availability of funding from the federal and provincial governments. http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/pe/bgrd/backgroundfile-98039.pdf
- The report to City Council on January 9, 2017 entitled "TransformTO Short-term Strategies Financial Estimates" (EX22.2v) outlined short-term strategies and business cases to accelerate and enhance the City of Toronto's efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Strategies 1.2, 4.5 and 1.1 were selected as feasible new service priorities that could be accelerated and initiated in 2017. As a result, the 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE includes \$0.333 million gross and net and 6.0 positions to specifically advance these key 2017 priorities.

Consumption Benchmarking

When comparing the City of Toronto to other municipalities, it is important to note some operational differences. Larger municipalities have unique operational needs when compared to smaller municipalities. Other factors, such as climatic zone and availability of natural gas, also have an impact. Accordingly, we have chosen other municipalities in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) for benchmarking purposes.

The comparative benchmarking table below compares the City of Toronto to the GTHA. The data is sourced from the Ontario Ministry of Energy's open data portal, which has been populated from data submitted by municipalities in regards to the Green Energy Act, O.Reg 397/11.

	City of Toronto	GTHA
Operating Type	2013 EUI	2013 EUI
Operating Type	eWh/HDD/sqft	eWh/HDD/sqft
Administrative offices and related facilities including municipal council chambers	8.7	9.2
Community centres	11.0	9.0
Cultural facilities	15.0	7.9
Fire stations and associated offices and facilities	9.6	10.0
Indoor recreational facilities	17.4	13.0
Indoor sports arenas	10.6	11.1
Other	9.4	13.1
Police station and associated offices and facilities	8.4	9.0
Storage facilities where equipment or vehicles are maintained, repaired or stored	9.0	10.2

^{1.} The normalization of data dependent on weather and floor area uses the unit of measurement ekWh/ Heating Degree Day / square foot

Environment & Energy's primary operation types are Administrative Offices, Police Stations, and Storage
Facilities. When comparing these operation types to other GTHA municipalities, the City of Toronto's facilities
are more energy efficient.

Utilities Cost Pressure

 The table below illustrates how the Environment & Energy Service has been successful in shift energy demand and consumption from Electricity and Water to more cost effective utilities, which has contributed to managing utilities costs despite the escalating electricity rates in Ontario.

Utility	Consumption - Changes from 2011 to 2016Proj	Unit Rates (\$/unit) - Change 2011 to 2016Proj		
Electricity	-2.3%	47.3%		
Natural Gas	-8.2%	-14.6%		
Water	-22.8%	51.5%		
Steam	8.4%	9.6%		
Chilled Water	21.8%	11.1%		

- In spite of these efforts, utility costs continue to be a pressure on the budget for the FREEE program. 2016 costs are currently forecasted to increase over 2015 costs by \$1.7 million or 6% for a total of \$29.8 million in 2016 versus a 2016 budget of \$28.1 million.
- The Province of Ontario's Cap-and-Trade program, a central part of the Province's solution to fight climate change, begins on January 1, 2017. This program will increase the cost of natural gas by an estimated 14% over 2016. As a result of the City's hedging activities, the net impact to the City will be a 10% increase in the cost of natural gas in 2017 for a total estimated impact of \$0.262 million.
- The 2017 Operating Budget includes \$30.7 million for utility costs, reflecting a \$2.6 million increase over the 2016 budgeted amount. The Environment & Energy Services have implemented various initiatives and programs to manage these cost increases, both for the FREEE program and the corporation as a whole, including:

^{2. 2013} EUI (Energy Use Intensity) is presented as this is the latest data available with respect to the Green Energy Act, O.Reg 397/11.

- > Through the Sustainable Energy Plan capital program, the Conservation and Demand Management Program outlines capital and operational improvements to City facilities to be fully repaid through energy savings and incentives from the Operating Budgets of City Programs and Agencies as a result of lower spending in utilities costs.
- Building Automation System (BAS) installations to centralize and integrate various building controls, including environmental controls, scheduled activities during off peak hours and limiting certain activities during peak hours where possible in order to reduce energy and maintenance costs and optimize building operating efficiencies.
- Renewable energy installation for all City-owned buildings as part of Toronto Green Standard update. This is one component of an overall plan to increase renewable energy usage in City's facilities through new buildings or retrofitting and renovating existing facilities.
- > Strategic energy purchasing and hedging to mitigate the impact of energy price fluctuations on the utilities budget and spending.
- The Environment & Energy Services will continue to deliver on these programs in an effort to manage utility usage and costs in an environment of rising energy prices.

City Wide Real Estate Review

- At its meeting on July 12, 2016, the "City-Wide Real Estate Review" staff report (EX16.4) was submitted for consideration and adopted by City Council regarding the direction to move to a centralized real estate operating model, and the development of a transition strategy and implementation plan for a new real estate entity. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2016.EX16.4
- The City of Toronto, including all its divisions, corporations and agencies, has one of the most significant real estate portfolios in the country, with holdings conservatively valued at \$27 billion including: 6,976 buildings; 106.3 million square feet (9.87 million square metres); and 28,882 acres of leased and owned land.
- The City Manager had retained third-party expertise from Deloitte LLP (Deloitte) to conduct a review of city-wide real estate management and operations. Key findings by Deloitte were:
 - There is an opportunity for the City to align its real estate operations by creating a new leading edge centralized real estate entity that consolidates all core real estate and facilities management functions.
 - A comprehensive plan is required to co-ordinate all City-owned real estate assets and ensure value for money.
 - > The status quo will not provide the appropriate framework to unlock land value potential and ensure the strategic use of land and building assets.
- The City Manager appointed a Transition team to develop a strategy and a detailed implementation plan, including new policies and practices, for Council consideration in Q2 2017.
- This process includes critical engagement with senior executives and key stakeholders in order to develop a mandate that considers public policy objectives such as affordable housing, public realm, public transit and economic development.

Facilities Management Transformation

 The Chief Corporate Officer Organization has developed a business model that will enable the City to pursue new service delivery opportunities. Facilities Management's reorganization is a part of this new business model. The previous organizational design was based on a historical district model, and was segregated by functional roles and responsibilities, including facilities maintenance/operations, custodial services and capital project management and delivery. Over time this led to inefficiencies and inconsistencies in service delivery, as well as redundancy of resources.

- The new model that has been established is more client-focused and follows industry best practices. Facilities Management has been structured in a way that will improve coordination of resources, consistency in service delivery, and customer service and accountability in delivering maintenance and custodial services.
- The creation of the Project Management Office (PMO) will enable the City to provide project management oversight and expertise across the City. This transformation will also allow for greater ownership and accountability in achieving service standards by improving reporting and performance measurement.
- One of the key challenges faced by Facilities Management while implementing this new business model is ensuring that service delivery and client satisfaction are not impacted.
- Over the last few months, this initiative has been gradually implemented with the client-focused structure in place. New roles have been defined and created and the leadership team is in place. Facilities Management is still in the process of transitioning the team in the new client-focused organizational structure and expects this transformation, and the full benefits of these changes, to be realized by the end of Q1 2018.

Attracting and Retaining Talent

- Attracting, developing and retaining staff in a competitive market for talent and managing the loss of institutional knowledge continues to be a challenge for the FREEE program. The program has put an emphasis on filing vacancies with a focus on developing internal talent. In an effort to further address this issue, FREEE, as part of the CCOO, will be investing in developing tools and resources related to employee recognition and motivation, talent management, career development, succession planning and mentorship programs.
- The FREEE program continues to work with the corporate HR team on innovative and streamlined processes to attract talent and fill vacancies in the most efficient and effective ways possible. This will be a continued focus for all units within the FREEE program in 2017.

Issues Impacting Future Years

Resilience to High Impact Extreme Weather Events

- Climate change is already impacting built and natural systems across the planet. It is anticipated to impact key economic sectors and services, water resources, food security and food production systems, human health, human security, and livelihoods. The broad ranging and often unpredictable impacts of climate change will have global, regional and ultimately, local consequences.
- The Future Weather and Climate Drivers Study, commissioned by the City in 2011, predicts that Toronto will experience an increase in extreme weather events ranging from more heat waves, more intense rain events and higher average annual and maximum temperatures.
- Toronto has, and is seeing these impacts. For example, the estimated direct cost to the City from the July 8, 2013 rainstorm was just over \$70 million, while the Insurance Bureau of Canada reported about \$1 billion in insurance claims.

- It is imperative that the City, it's Agencies and Corporations, private and broader public sector service providers, businesses and residents continue to work together to address climate change risk and to minimize the costs and impacts associated with extreme weather events.
- At its meeting on November 17, 2016, the "Resilient City Preparing for a Changing Climate Status Update and Next Steps" staff report (PE15.2) was submitted for consideration and adopted by the Parks and Environment Committee regarding an update on the adoption of a Climate Change Risk Management Policy by key City Agencies and Corporations, as directed by Council in 2014, to improve Toronto's resilience to extreme weather for the purposes of reducing or mitigating the risk of damage, injury and emergency situations. Funding requirements for additional resources will be included in future Operating Budget submissions of FREEE for Council consideration to deliver on activities associated with the implementation of the Resilient City initiative, as outlined in the report. These plans will also be impacted by the availability of funding from the federal and provincial governments.

 http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/pe/bgrd/backgroundfile-98049.pdf
- E&E is leading the production of the TransformTO and Resilient City initiatives. Both reports put forth for consideration are the result of significant cross-divisional input, community contribution, and expert advice.

District Energy – Development of Low-carbon Thermal Energy Networks

- The Province's cap-and-trade legislation will put a price on carbon emissions while generating revenues for redistribution for investment in projects that reduce carbon emission. The use of low-carbon or renewable thermal energy networks is a transformational strategy that the City can deploy to help attain its climate change targets. The City must move forward with a strategy to develop these networks as the province formulates the rules regarding the dissemination of funds created by the cap-and-trade rules.
- The City needs to identify appropriate partners having relevant district energy, renewable energy and Ontario energy market experience to assist in the advancement of this opportunity. The City intends to identify how to maximize the value of the opportunity to the City and to describe the structure of any business arrangements that may emerge from this evaluation



Appendices

2016 Service Performance

Key Service Accomplishments

In 2016, FREEE accomplished the following:

- ✓ Project Tracking Portal Launched portal that provides up-to-date project information on scope, schedule, budget, expenditures and milestones, as well operational and executive reports to manage the FREEE capital program.
- ✓ FM Transformation Implemented new business model, including Project Management Office, which will enable the City to pursue new service delivery opportunities and provide oversight, management and expertise for the City in regards to Project Management.
- ✓ St. Lawrence Market Continued operations of the farmers market at temporary location while the north market building is redeveloped
- Continued development of the future retail plans at Union Station, with plans to make the Station a destination for commuters and the local community, post-revitalization. A Summer Market was successfully launched – creating a cultural hub on Sir John A. Macdonald Square.
- ✓ Climate change leadership Working through Council and with the help and cooperation of the Mayor's office and the C40 organization, facilitated the Mayor's participation in the C40/COP21 (Climate Change Summit) in Paris
- ✓ Rockefeller Foundation's 100 Resilient Cities Up to \$1 million awarded for the creation of a Chief Resilience Officer and access to tools and other partners to develop a strategy and action plans to increase the resilience of the City to physical, social and economic challenges.
- ✓ Clean Toronto Together 195,000 community participants in Clean Toronto Together, the City's annual spring clean-up.
- ✓ Customer Centre of Excellence Developed channel and counter strategy, critical for service modernization and operational effectiveness.
- ✓ Security Magazine's Top 500 Security Ranking City of Toronto recognized for the 6th year as one of the top 500 security enterprises; not only rated as the highest Canadian City, but also the highest government entity in Canada.
- ✓ City Wide Real Estate Review Working through the City Manager, developed the report to Council which outlines the opportunity for the City to align its real estate operations by creating a new leading edge centralized real estate entity in an effort to consolidate and optimize all core real estate and facilities management operations and functions over the next two to four years.

2017 Operating Budget by Expenditure Category

Program Summary by Expenditure Category

	2014	2015	2016	2016 Projected	2017	2017 Change from 2016 Budget		Pla	an
Category of Expense	Actual	Actual	Budget	Actual *	Budget			2018	2019
(\$000's)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	\$
Salaries and Benefits	83,140.2	88,127.6	96,293.8	91,042.8	95,313.5	(980.4)	(1.0%)	97,199.2	99,995.9
Materials and Supplies	28,359.7	31,106.6	32,218.3	33,707.0	34,742.3	2,524.1	7.8%	34,729.8	34,729.8
Equipment	531.0	417.7	633.1	601.8	635.6	2.6	0.4%	635.6	635.6
Services & Rents	65,559.3	56,540.1	54,846.2	58,925.8	54,042.6	(803.6)	(1.5%)	52,285.0	52,285.0
Contributions to Capital		·					-		
Contributions to Reserve/Res Funds	1,827.6	2,096.5	2,384.5	2,371.3	2,247.2	(137.3)	(5.8%)	2,309.1	2,555.6
Other Expenditures	7,594.0	3,353.2	6,082.2	3,383.6	6,150.5	68.3	1.1%	6,140.2	6,140.4
Interdivisional Charges	1,735.2	1,932.7	1,806.3	2,089.8	1.847.7	41.4	2.3%	1,622.2	1,583.4
Total Gross Expenditures	188,746.9	183,574.4	194,264.4	192,122.1	194,979.5	715.1	0.4%	194,921.0	197,925.7
Interdivisional Recoveries	49,244.0	52,985.7	48,899.8	51,042.8	49,672.4	772.5	1.6%	48,782.4	48,782.4
Provincial Subsidies	180.0	257.9	250.0	257.9	250.0			250.0	250.0
Federal Subsidies	220.5		206.0		206.0			206.0	206.0
Other Subsidies							-		
User Fees & Donations	43,113.4	56,258.2	43,804.0	44,608.1	44,360.0	556.0	1.3%	44,387.2	44,415.0
Transfers from Capital Fund	9,171.0	10,114.5	9,800.3	9,998.1	9,657.1	(143.1)	(1.5%)	9,431.8	9,423.4
Contribution from Reserve/Reserve Funds	11,865.9	3,788.3	13,303.4	8,143.7	15,589.8	2,286.4	17.2%	14,675.2	14,675.2
Sundry Revenues	9,633.1	8,794.5	8,675.3	8,917.5	7,354.6	(1,320.7)	(15.2%)	7,354.6	7,354.6
Total Revenues	123,427.8	132,199.2	124,938.7	122,968.1	127,089.8	2,151.1	1.7%	125,087.2	125,106.5
Total Net Expenditures	65,319.1	51,375.2	69,325.7	69,154.0	67,889.7	(1,436.0)	(2.1%)	69,833.9	72,819.3
Approved Positions	1,004.5	1,016.3	1,023.5	937.8	1,006.0	(17.5)	(1.7%)	1,004.0	1,004.0

^{*} Based on the 2016 9-month Operating Variance Report

Projections to year-end indicate that the minor net favourable variance is mainly driven by underspending in salaries and benefits from vacant positions across all Services. This under-spending will be partially offset by over expenditures in utilities from usage increases driven by anticipated higher hydro requirements during the winter months, as well as contracted services costs due to demand maintenance requests and the use of external service providers to deliver services in the absence of internal resources.


For additional information regarding the 2016 Q3 operating variances and year-end projections, please refer to the attached link for the report entitled "Operating Variance Report for the Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2016" considered by City Council at its meeting on December 13, 2016.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2016.BU26.4

Impact of 2016 Operating Variance on the 2017 Operating Budget

The overall utilities budget has been increased from \$28.131 million to \$30.653 million, representing an increase of \$2.260 million or approximately 9% in the 2017 Operating Budget. The increase reflects consumption patterns, anticipated rate increases due to inflation, and a provision for climate change resilience.

2017 Organization Chart



2017 Total Complement

Category	Senior Management	Management	Exempt Professional & Clerical	Union	Total
Permanent	4.0	195.0	123.0	613.7	935.7
Temporary		41.7	10.0	18.6	70.3
Total	4.0	236.7	133.0	632.3	1,006.0

Summary of 2017 Service Changes



Form ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments			
Category Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	uncil Approved Base Budget Before Service Change:	197,592.6	127,617.6	69,975.0	1,017.50	1,951.5	2,985.4
4004=	1						

10845 Addressing Lease Overholds
51 0 **Description:**

Address leases that are in an overhold position in order to bring them up-to-date and ensure the City is realizing current market rates for these leases. This includes the conversion of an existing vacant position in order to support business requirements to address the overhold leases.

Service Level Impact:

No change in service level.

29.3	441.5	(412.2)	0.00	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
29.3	441.5	(412.2)	0.00	0.0	0.0
-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.00 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Total Preliminary Service Changes::	29.3	441.5	(412.2)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Approved Service Changes:	29.3	441.5	(412.2)	0.00	0.0	0.0

10849 Real Estate Services - Position Reduction
51 0 **Description:**

The Preliminary 2017 Operating Budget for FREEE includes a reduction of 1 vacant support position in Real Estate Services to achieve fiscal targets, with minimal to no impact on service levels or performance.

Service Level Impact:

No change.



Form ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments		0040 PL	
Category Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
,	Service: CA-Real Estate			,	•		
	Preliminary Service Changes:	(62.5)	0.0	(62.5)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Total Council Recommended	(62.5)	0.0	(62.5)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(62.5)	0.0	(62.5)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(62.5)	0.0	(62.5)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0

10871

Fleet Reduction (Eliminate 2 Vehicles)

51 0 **Description:**

Rationalization of Facilities Management's fleet of City vehicles by eliminating 2 vehicles from its portfolio. Facilities Management reviewed the usage of its fleet vehicles and identified 2 vehicles as having low usage, and is able to meet its service levels and perform its core business without these vehicles. Savings will be achieved by not having to incur the maintenance costs for these vehicles, and the Program will not have to contribute to the future replacement of these vehicles on an annual basis.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(118.5)	0.0	(118.5)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(118.5)	0.0	(118.5)	0.00	0.0	0.0

Category:

Page 2 of 12



Form ID		Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments				
Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change	
		Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(118.5)	0.0	(118.5)	0.00	0.0	0.0	
		Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
		Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
		City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
		Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(118.5)	0.0	(118.5)	0.00	0.0	0.0	

10884 Facilities Management - Elimination of Vacant Positions **Description:** 51

> Elimination of a number of full-time equivalent (FTE) positions within Facilities Management that are currently vacant, and that have been vacant for some time. Facilities Management is currently in the midst of a reorganization. Through this reorganization, the operating model and the use of internal resources has been altered. There are currently a number of vacant positions within the organization that are vacant and are not required in the new organizational structure. These positions can be eliminated from the complement, with minimal to no impact to current service levels.

Service Level Impact:

No change / minimal change. During peak periods, Facilities Management will have to rely on external contracted resources to meet peak demand, as there will be little to no flexibility to meet peaks in demand with internal resources after these reductions.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(831.5)	(119.6)	(711.9)	(8.50)	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(831.5)	(119.6)	(711.9)	(8.50)	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(831.5)	(119.6)	(711.9)	(8.50)	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0



Form ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments			
Category Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(831.5)	(119.6)	(711.9)	(8.50)	0.0	0.0

10885

Business Performance Management (BPM) Position Reductions

51 **Description:**

> Elimination of one vacant Support Assistant position and one vacant Manager position within the Business Performance Management (BPM) unit that is no longer required due to a reclassification of positions and a revision of the manner in which work is carried out. As a result, these two positions have been made redundant. These change have no on impact on performance and service level targets.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(216.0)	0.0	(216.0)	(2.00)	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(216.0)	0.0	(216.0)	(2.00)	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(216.0)	0.0	(216.0)	(2.00)	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
•						

10886

City-Wide Strategic Initiative (CWSI) - Position Reduction

Description: 51

> Elimination of one vacant Supervisor position within the City-Wide Strategic Initiatives team that is no longer required to carry out this initiative, without impacting performance and service level targets.



Form I	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments				
Category	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change	
	Service Level Impact:	•		•	•	•		
	No change.							
	Service: CA-Facilities Management							
	Preliminary Service Changes:	(123.3)	0.0	(123.3)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0	
	BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	Total Council Recommended	(123.3)	0.0	(123.3)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0	
	Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(123.3)	0.0	(123.3)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0	
	Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	
	Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(123.3)	0.0	(123.3)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0	

10889

Change in Security Service Delivery at 18 Dyas

51 0 **Description**:

Elimination of one on-site security guard at 18 Dyas Rd location, to be replaced by mobile patrol with minimal service impact.

Service Level Impact:

Instead of on-site presence, security at the site will be done through mobile patrol.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(60.0)	0.0	(60.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(60.0)	0.0	(60.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0



Form ID		Internal Focused Services		Adjust				
Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
		Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(60.0)	0.0	(60.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(60.0)	0.0	(60.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0

10890 Efficiencies in Security Preventative Maintenance

51 0 Description:

Elimination of contracted preventative maintenance work on security systems, to be covered by further leveraging in-house, existing resources, to perform this work.

Service Level Impact:

Currently there is a mixed model of preventative maintenance on security systems, and there will continue to be a mixed model, with a slightly greater reliance on in-house resources.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(100.1)	0.0	(100.1)	0.00	0.0	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(100.1)	0.0	(100.1)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(100.1)	0.0	(100.1)	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Preliminary Service Changes:	(100.1)	0.0	(100.1)	0.00	0.0	0.0



Form ID		Internal Focused Services		Adjust				
Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	911	Change in Enhanced Security at City Hall						•
51	0	Description:						

This would include the removal of security guards at 3 entrances where access is currently restricted to City Staff, Councillors and others with access cards. Public access to City Hall will continue to be available at the main doors. This will allow FREEE to achieve fiscal targets and still perform the security deemed necessary at City Hall.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(165.0)	0.0	(165.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(165.0)	0.0	(165.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(165.0)	0.0	(165.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(165.0)	0.0	(165.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0

			Corporate Security - Position Reduction
5	1	0	Description:

Elimination of a vacant Corporate Security Supervisor position. The Corporate Security team has been able to operate without this position and with the current management team. Existing supervisors will continue to oversee operations. This will allow for the FREEE program to achieve fiscal targets with no service impact.

Service Level Impact:

No impact.



Form ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments			
Category Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
,	Service: CA-Facilities Management			,	,		
	Preliminary Service Changes:	(151.9)	0.0	(151.9)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Total Council Recommended	(151.9)	0.0	(151.9)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(151.9)	0.0	(151.9)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0
	Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
	Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(151.9)	0.0	(151.9)	(1.00)	0.0	0.0

11015 Move to Standard Custodial Service Delivery-Toronto Police
 59 0 Description:

A reduction of service currently being delivered at Toronto Police locations will bring the service level down to the service standards that have been developed Corporately for all City facilities which will result in efficiency savings. This will allow FREEE and Toronto Police to achieve fiscal targets. In addition, this will align the custodial services being performed at Toronto Police locations with other City facilities, allowing for greater economies of scale on contracted services, and a reduction in the cost to delivery custodial services.

Service Level Impact:

Current service standard at Toronto Police locations were in excess of the City corporate standards. This will be reduced to meet this standard.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Preliminary Service Changes:	(849.6)	(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.00)	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(849.6)	(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.00)	0.0	0.0

Category:Page 8 of 12
Run Date: 03/22/2017 11:38:41



Form	ı ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust	ments			
Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
		Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(849.6)	(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.00)	0.0	0.0
		Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(849.6)	(849.6)	(0.0)	(4.00)	0.0	0.0

11018 Efficiencies in Facilities Preventative Maintenance
51 0 Description:

A reduction of the contracted services budget for maintenance work by Facilities Management and optimization of workloads of existing in-house staff to offset this, and maintain the same level of service. This is possible due to the recent reorganization of Facilities Management which will allow for a greater pooling and use of in-house staff resources to perform maintenance functions. In addition, the use of new technology tools, such as mobile work order management, will allow for this proposal to be successful.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Facilities Management

Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(200.0)	0.0	(200.0)	0.00	(300.0)	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(200.0)	0.0	(200.0)	0.00	(300.0)	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(200.0)	0.0	(200.0)	0.00	(300.0)	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Preliminary Service Changes:	(200.0)	0.0	(200.0)	0.00	(300.0)	0.0

Category:



Form ID		Internal Focused Services		Adjust				
Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	1026	Casual & Overtime Budget Reduction						•
51	0	Description:						

A reduction on the overtime and casual budget within the FREEE program to achieve fiscal targets for the 2017 budget. Overtime and casual budgets have been used to meet demand during peak periods and employ students and offer short term employment opportunities. This is being proposed to be reduced in order to meet fiscal targets.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Environment & Energy						
Preliminary Service Changes:	(23.8)	0.0	(23.8)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(23.8)	0.0	(23.8)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Service: CA-Facilities Management						
Preliminary Service Changes:	(71.6)	0.0	(71.6)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(71.6)	0.0	(71.6)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(95.3)	0.0	(95.3)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(95.3)	0.0	(95.3)	0.00	0.0	0.0



Form ID		ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust				
Category		Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	115	55	Fleet Fuel Savings				•	·	
5	1	0	Description:						

A reduction in fuel price per liter passed on by Fleet Services to Facilities Management as part of their 2.6% budget reduction.

Service Level Impact:

No change.

Service: CA-Environment & Energy Preliminary Service Changes:	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Service: CA-Facilities Management						
Preliminary Service Changes:	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Service: CA-Real Estate						
Preliminary Service Changes:	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Recommended	(0.0)	0.0	(0.0)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Preliminary Service Changes::	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.00	0.0	0.0



Forn	n ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjustr	ments			
Category Priority	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
		Budget Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
		Total Council Approved Service Changes:	(1.9)	0.0	(1.9)	0.00	0.0	0.0
Sum	mary	y:						
Prel	imina	ary Service Changes:	(2,946.4)	(527.7)	(2,418.7)	(17.50)	(300.0)	0.0
Bud	get C	Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Exe	cutiv	e Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
City	Cou	ncil Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Cou	ncil A	Approved Service Changes:	(2,946.4)	(527.7)	(2,418.7)	(17.50)	(300.0)	0.0
Tota	al Co	ouncil Approved Base Budget:	194,646.2	127,089.8	67,556.3	1,000.00	1,651.5	2,985.4

Appendix 5

Summary of 2017 New / Enhanced Service Priorities



2017 Operating Budget - Council Approved New and Enhanced Services Summary by Service (\$000's)

F	orn	ı ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjust				
	Category	Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	2019 Plan Net Change
	119	26	Implementation of 2017 TransformTO Key Priorities			,	,		
	72	Λ	Description:						

0 Description:

The Environment & Energy Division has included funding of \$0.333 million gross and net and 6.0 positions in the 2017 Council Approved Operating Budget for FREEE to accelerate the initiation of climate action plan strategies in 2017 and deliver key priorities that will enhance the City of Toronto's efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These priorities include innovative financing, promoting smart commuting, and enhancing better building partnerships as outlined in the report to City Council on January 9, 2017 entitled TransformTO Short-term Strategies Financial Estimates (EX22.2v).

Service Level Impact:

No change to Service Levels.

Service: CA-Environment & Energy						
Preliminary:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
BC Recommended Change:	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	(626.0)
EC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	626.0
CC Recommended Change:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Approved:	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	0.0
Total Preliminary New / Enhanced Services:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	(626.0)
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	626.0
City Council Approved:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Total Council Approved New / Enhanced Service	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	0.0
Summary:						
Preliminary New / Enhanced Services:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
Budget Committee Recommended:	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	(626.0)
Executive Committee Recommended:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	626.0

Category:

City Council Approved:

Page 1 of 2

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.00

0.0

0.0

^{71 -} Operating Impact of New Capital Projects

^{72 -} Enhanced Services-Service Expansion

^{74 -} New Services

^{75 -} New Revenues



2017 Operating Budget - Council Approved New and Enhanced Services Summary by Service (\$000's)

Form ID	Internal Focused Services		Adjustn	nents			2019 Plan Net Change
Category Priority	Program - Facilities, Real Estate, Environment & Energy	Gross Expenditure	Revenue	Net	Approved Positions	2018 Plan Net Change	
Council A	Approved New/Enhanced Services:	333.3	0.0	333.3	6.00	292.6	0.0

Appendix 6

Inflows/Outflows to/from Reserves & Reserve Funds

Program Specific Reserve / Reserve Funds

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawa	tions (+)	
	Reserve	Balance as of			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Fund	Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019
(In \$000s)	Number	\$	\$	\$	\$
Projected Beginning Balance		2,785.8	2,785.8	2,328.7	1,871.6
Energy Conservation Reserve Fund	XR1715				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(457.1)	(457.1)	(457.1)
Contributions (+)					
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	utions	2,785.8	2,328.7	1,871.6	1,414.4
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Co					
Balance at Year-End		2,785.8	2,328.7	1,871.6	1,414.4

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	ls (-) / Contribut	utions (+)
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name (In \$000s)	Reserve Fund Number	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018 \$	2019 \$
Projected Beginning Balance		95,108.8	95,108.8	95,645.7	96,182.6
Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund	XR1714				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)					
Contributions (+)			414.5	414.5	414.5
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	utions	95,108.8	95,523.3	96,060.2	96,597.2
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions			122.4	122.4	122.4
Balance at Year-End		95,108.8	95,645.7	96,182.6	96,719.6

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	tions (+)	
	Reserve	Balance as of			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Fund	Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019
(In \$000s)	Number	\$	\$	\$	\$
Projected Beginning Balance		2,070.1	2,070.1	2,737.7	3,405.3
Union Station Reserve Fund	XR2501				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(7,293.2)	(7,293.2)	(7,293.2)
Contributions (+)			7,960.8	7,960.8	7,960.8
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	utions	2,070.1	2,737.7	3,405.3	4,072.9
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Co					
Balance at Year-End		2,070.1	2,737.7	3,405.3	4,072.9

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	tions (+)	
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name (In \$000s)	Reserve Fund Number	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018 \$	2019 \$
Projected Beginning Balance		209.6	209.6	(2,402.1)	(5,013.8)
Conservation Management Reserve Fund	XR3029				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(2,611.7)	(2,611.7)	(2,611.7)
Contributions (+)					
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	utions	209.6	(2,402.1)	(5,013.8)	(7,625.4)
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & C					
Balance at Year-End		209.6	(2,402.1)	(5,013.8)	(7,625.4)

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	ions (+)	
	Reserve	Balance as of			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Fund	Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019
(In \$000s)	Number	\$	\$	\$	\$
Projected Beginning Balance		1,459.8	1,459.8	550.1	(359.6)
Eco-Roof Financial Assistance Reserve Fund	XR1723				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(909.7)	(909.7)	(909.7)
Contributions (+)					
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	outions	1,459.8	550.1	(359.6)	(1,269.3)
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & C					
Balance at Year-End	1,459.8	550.1	(359.6)	(1,269.3)	

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	s (-) / Contribut	utions (+)	
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name (In \$000s)	Reserve Fund Number	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017 \$	2018 \$	2019 \$	
Projected Beginning Balance		8,033.2	8,033.2	1,086.2	(1,338.8)	
Local Improvement Charge Energy Works Reserve	XR1724					
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(233.2)	(233.2)	(233.2)	
Contributions (+)						
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contribution	utions	8,033.2	7,800.0	853.0	(1,572.0)	
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions			(6,713.8)	(2,191.8)	-	
Balance at Year-End		8,033.2	1,086.2	(1,338.8)	(1,572.0)	

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	tions (+)	
	Reserve	Balance as of			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Fund	Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019
(In \$000s)	Number	\$	\$	\$	\$
Projected Beginning Balance		1.8	1.8	(366.6)	(735.1)
Home Energy Assistance Reserve Fund	XR1719				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(368.4)	(368.4)	(368.4)
Contributions (+)					
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contributions			(735.1)	(1,103.5)
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Co					
Balance at Year-End		1.8	(366.6)	(735.1)	(1,103.5)

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawal	tions (+)	
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name (In \$000s)	Reserve Fund Number	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017 \$	2018 \$	2019 \$
Projected Beginning Balance		7,458.7	7,458.7	5,251.9	3,045.2
Better Building Partnership	XR1052				
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(2,206.8)	(2,206.8)	(2,206.8)
Contributions (+)					
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contrib	utions	7,458.7	5,251.9	3,045.2	838.4
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions					
Balance at Year-End		7,458.7	5,251.9	3,045.2	838.4

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

	Reserve /	Projected	Withdrawals (-) / Contributions (+)			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Reserve Fund Number	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019	
(In \$000s)	Number	Þ	Ą	Ф	Ą	
Projected Beginning Balance		7,980.8	7,980.8	5,696.9	5,577.6	
Innovation Reserve Fund	XR1713					
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(914.6)			
Contributions (+)						
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contributions		7,980.8	7,066.2	5,696.9	5,577.6	
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions			(1,369.3)	(119.3)	(119.3)	
Balance at Year-End		7,980.8	5,696.9	5,577.6	5,458.3	

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

Corporate Reserve / Reserve Funds

		Projected	Withdrawals (-) / Contributions (+)				
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Reserve / Reserve	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019		
(In \$000s)	Fund Number	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Projected Beginning Balance		2,485.6	2,485.6	2,789.4	3,155.1		
Vehicle and Equipment Reserve	XQ1502						
Proposed Withdrawls (-)							
Contributions (+)			303.9	365.7	612.3		
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contributions		2,485.6	2,789.4	3,155.1	3,767.4		
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions							
Balance at Year-End		2,485.6	2,789.4	3,155.1	3,767.4		

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

		Projected	Withdrawals (-) / Contributions (+)			
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Reserve / Reserve	Balance as of Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019	
(In \$000s)	Fund Number	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Projected Beginning Balance		72,486.2	72,486.2	72,157.7	71,829.3	
Land Acquisition Reserve Fund	XR1012					
Proposed Withdrawls (-)			(595.0)	(595.0)	(595.0)	
Contributions (+)			266.6	266.6	266.6	
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contributions		72,486.2	72,157.7	71,829.3	71,500.8	
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions						
Balance at Year-End		72,486.2	72,157.7	71,829.3	71,500.8	

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

		Projected	Withdrawals (-) / Contributions (+)				
	Reserve /	Balance as of					
Reserve / Reserve Fund Name	Reserve	Dec. 31, 2016 *	2017	2018	2019		
(In \$000s)	Fund Number	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Projected Beginning Balance		19,645.5	19,645.5	8,142.1	2,318.9		
Insurance Reserve Fund	XR1010						
Proposed Withdrawls (-)							
Contributions (+)			1,262.3	1,262.3	1,262.3		
Total Reserve / Reserve Fund Draws / Contributions		19,645.5	20,907.8	9,404.3	3,581.2		
Other Program / Agency Net Withdrawals & Contributions			(12,765.7)	(7,085.4)	(7,355.1)		
Balance at Year-End		19,645.5	8,142.1	2,318.9	(3,773.8)		

^{*} Based on 9-month 2016 Reserve Fund Variance Report

Appendix 7a

User Fees Adjusted for Inflation and Other

				2016		2018	2019		
				2010	Inflationary	2017		2010	2019
				Approved	Adjusted	Other	Budget	Plan	Plan
Rate Description	Service	Fee Category	Fee Basis	Rate	Rate	Adjustment	Rate	Rate	Rate
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Burgundy	0011100	. oo oatogory	1 00 Buoio	rtuto	raio	, anjuotinioni	rtuto	rtuto	rtuto
Room A and B (social rate)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$132.90	\$2.66	\$0.00	\$135.56	\$138.27	\$141.04
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Burgundy	Ĭ								
Room A and B (meeting rate)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$92.40	\$1.85	\$0.00	\$94.25	\$96.14	\$98.06
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Burgundy									
Room A or B (meeting rate)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$46.10	\$0.92	\$0.00	\$47.02	\$47.96	\$48.92
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Gold Room A									
and B (social rate)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$106.31	\$2.13	\$0.00	\$108.44	\$110.61	\$112.82
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Gold Room A									
and B (meeting rate 1)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$66.80	\$1.34	\$0.00	\$68.14	\$69.50	\$70.89
Rental rate for Memorial Hall Gold Room A	F::::: M	Madest Dassel	Dan Harri	# 00.40	€0.07	\$0.00	CO 4 00	CO 4 77	ФОГ 4 7
and B (meeting rate 2)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Per Hour	\$33.42	\$0.67	\$0.00	\$34.09	\$34.77	\$35.47
Approisal Foo for Barks Law Calculation		Full Cost							
Appraisal Fee for Parks Lew Calculation - Base Fee	Real Estate	Recovery	Property	\$250.00	\$5.00	\$0.00	\$255.00	\$260.10	\$265.30
Dase i ee	INEAI LSIAIC	recovery	riopeity	\$250.00	ψ3.00	φ0.00	Ψ233.00	ψ200.10	Ψ200.00
Appraisal Fee for Parks Lew Calculation -									
Variable; Note - 1.25 times original appraisal		Full Cost							
fee when 6 months period expires.	Real Estate	Recovery	Property	\$1.00	\$0.02	\$0.00	\$1.02	\$1.04	\$1.06
Metro Hall Farmers Market - Weekly Rental		,	.,.,			,	•		•
Rate for booth May 27 - Oct 14 (except June									
24 andJuly 1)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Weekly	\$187.43	\$3.75	\$0.00	\$191.18	\$195.00	\$198.90
Metro Hall Farmers Market - Weekly Rental									
Rate for booth June 15 to Sept. 28	Facilities Management	Market Based	Weekly	\$137.81	\$2.76	\$0.00	\$140.57	\$143.38	\$146.25
Scarborough Civic Centre Farmers Market -									
Rental Rate for booth June 15 to Oct 19	Facilities Management	Market Based	Season	\$450.00	\$9.00	\$0.00	\$459.00	\$468.18	\$477.54
Scarborough Civic Centre Farmers Market -									
Weekly rate for booth May 27 to Oct 14									
(except June 24 and July 1)	Facilities Management	Market Based	Weekly	\$52.50	\$1.05	\$0.00	\$53.55	\$54.62	\$55.71
Co-ordination Services for film shoots/events	Encilities Management	City Policy	Per Hour	\$62.00	\$1.24	\$0.00	\$63.24	\$64.50	\$65.79
Security for Film Shoots/events	Facilities Management		Per Hour	\$65.00	\$1.24	\$0.00	\$66.30	\$67.63	\$68.98
Building Operator costs for Film	raciilles Management	City Folicy	rei noui	\$65.00	\$1.30	\$0.00	φ00.30	φ07.03	φ00.90
Shoots/Events	Facilities Management	City Policy	Per Hour	\$50.00	\$1.00	\$0.00	\$51.00	\$52.02	\$53.06
Custodial Services for film shoots/events	Facilities Management	City Policy	Per Hour	\$45.00	\$0.90	\$0.00	\$45.90	\$46.82	\$47.76
Foreperson for film shoots/events.	Facilities Management		Per Hour	\$75.00	\$1.50	\$0.00	\$76.50	\$78.03	\$79.59
Electrician for Film Shoots/events	Facilities Management		Per Hour	\$150.00	\$3.00	\$0.00	\$153.00	\$156.06	\$159.18
Audio Visual Services for film shoots/events	Facilities Management	City Policy	Per Hour	\$85.00	\$1.70	\$0.00	\$86.70	\$88.43	\$90.20
Live Green Toronto Program - Vendors at		Full Cost	10 X 10 Booth						
the festival pay a fee to participate.	Environment & Energy	Recovery	for One Day	\$175.00	\$3.50	\$0.00	\$178.50	\$182.07	\$185.71
Environmental Initiative - Assistance in the									
design & implementation of programs that									
encourage the clients' workers to utilize									
alternative low polluting modes of	F	Market B	D O-	#0 F00 C0	*	00.00	#0 550 00	#0.001.00	#0.050.00
transportation.	Environment & Energy	Market Based	Per Case	\$2,500.00	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$2,550.00	\$2,601.00	\$2,653.02
Transportation Demand Management (cost									
centre WE0048) - One time charge for									
conducting a survey of the work site employees about their current modes of			Cost of						
commuting and willingness to consider			Conducting						
alternative low polluting modes.	Environment & Energy	Market Based	the Survey.	\$2,500.00	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$2,550,00	\$2,601.00	\$2,653.02
anomanio ion politing motion.		a.not Daood	and during.	Ψ2,000.00	ψ00.00	ψ0.00	ΨΞ,000.00	ψ=,001.00	ψ <u>-</u> ,000.02