

Taylor Massey Creek Sub-Watershed Master Plan Update

Online Survey Summary Report

Prepared by Lura Consulting for:
The City of Toronto
November 2017



This report was prepared by Lura Consulting. Lura is providing independent community consultation services as part of the Taylor Massey Sub-Watershed Master Plan Update. The report presents the key outcomes from the Fall 2017 online survey. If you have any questions or comments regarding the report, please contact either:

Julia Murnaghan
City of Toronto
Environmental Specialist
Parks Forestry and Recreation
416-392-0440
jmurnag@toronto.ca

Or

Alex Lavasidis
Lura Consulting
416-536-0184
alavasidis@lura.ca

Table of Contents

1. Project Background	1
2. About the Online Survey.....	1
3. Summary of Survey Responses.....	2
Overall Survey Results	2
Theme One: Natural Systems.....	2
Theme Two: Creek Health and Stormwater Management	3
Theme Three: Trails, Recreation and Cultural Heritage.....	4
Additional Comments	5
Study Area 1: Taylor Creek Park West	6
Study Area 2: Taylor Creek Park Environmentally Significant Area (ESA)	8
Study Area 3: Taylor Creek Park East	10
Study Area 4: Warden Woods Environmentally Significant Area (ESA)	11
Study Area 5: St Clair Ravine Park	12
Study Area 6: Pine Hills Cemetery	14
Study Area 7: Lawrence Ave to Farlinger Ravine	15
Study Area 8: Manhattan Park to Terraview Park.....	16
Profile of Respondents	17
4. Next Steps.....	19

Appendix A - Postal Codes of Survey Respondents

1. Project Background

Building on the existing [Taylor/Massey Creek Geomorphic Systems Master Plan](#), the updated Taylor Massey Creek Sub-Watershed Master Plan Update will be a sub-watershed scale plan that addresses the preservation, improvement, management, maintenance, and public use of the parkland and open space within the Taylor Massey Creek sub-watershed. The Master Plan is being developed in consultation with the community and stakeholders. The Master Plan will consider the study area's diversity of features and functions and their interconnectedness, including natural areas, park infrastructure, recreational amenities, trails, stormwater facilities, and other utilities.

The Master Plan is the second of three steps in the Taylor Massey Creek subwatershed planning process, as approved by [Parks & Environment Committee](#) on June 22, 2015 and City Council on July 7, 2015. Based on preliminary discussions with stakeholders, the Taylor Massey Creek planning process has been divided into three phases: Status Report, Taylor Massey Sub-Watershed Master Plan Update and Taylor Creek Park Management Plan. This online survey is part of Phase 2 –Taylor Massey Sub-Watershed Master Plan Update (TMSMPU).

2. About the Online Survey

The City's overall goal for the Master Plan Update is to achieve a balance between the enhancement and management of ecological, recreational, cultural, functional, and social uses and needs. The community was invited to review the recommendations emerging from the Master Plan Update and provide feedback via an online survey.

The survey was set up in three parts and took approximately 30 minutes for participants to complete. Part 1 requested feedback on proposed overarching changes that impact multiple Areas of the sub-watershed. Part 2 outlined proposed more specific recommendations for 8 geographic Areas of the sub-watershed and requested feedback on the proposed changes. Part 3 requested personal information to understand respondents' connection to the sub-watershed. All information provided in this survey was provided anonymously.

The survey was available online from November 10-27, 2017. The survey was advertised through email distribution of a notice to the project mailing list, promotion on the City's website, social media, and promotion through City Councilors' offices. In total, 150 respondents completed the online survey (note that each respondent did not answer every question).

3. Summary of Survey Responses

The following provides an overview of the survey results. The survey asked participants a total of 14 multiple choice and open-ended questions. The survey also asked for postal codes of respondents, which are summarized in Appendix A.

Overall Survey Results

Survey results indicate that over 75% of respondents strongly agree, or somewhat agree with all the recommendations proposed within the TMSMPU, with many recommendations receiving over 90% agreement.

Recurring themes from the feedback include:

- General support for increasing trail connectivity.
- General support for improving the environmental conditions in the subwatershed, including invasive species management and erosion control.
- Mixed support for the expansion of cycling trails in the sub-watershed, as some respondents are concerned about the impacts this will have on the environment, and other users (e.g., pedestrian safety concerns).
- Mixed responses regarding the provision of off-leash dog areas.
- Concern over finding the correct balance between recreation and environmental protection.
- Suggestions to create partnerships and undertake more public education, in order to increase local environmental stewardship.
- Suggestions to increase safety within the sub-watershed.
- Suggestions to recognise First Nations' use of the sub-watershed.
- Suggestions to reduce technical terms in future surveys.

A more detailed summary of each survey question is provided below.


Part 1 - Recurring Recommendations

The Taylor Massey Creek Master Plan identifies twenty (20) recommendations under three main themes: Natural Systems; Creek Health and Stormwater Management; and Trails, Recreation and Cultural Heritage. Part One of the survey presented these three key Master Plan themes with proposed recommendations, and asked for feedback on these recommendations.

Theme One: Natural Systems

Eight recommendations were set out related to the 'Natural Systems' theme in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan which apply to multiple areas of the sub-watershed. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|--|
| 1) Protect good quality habitat and important ecological features within the Natural Heritage System. |
| 2) Seek to reduce fragmentation of the Natural Heritage System by restoring the most degraded portions of the riparian corridor and seeking to control pockets of invasive species where good quality habitat is threatened. |
| 3) Increase environmental buffers at the interface with surrounding land uses to protect the creek corridor as it is enhanced over time; focus programming and recreational uses away from the creek banks. |
| 4) Enhance connectivity of the creek corridor by investigating opportunities to improve linkages through habitat enhancement and improvement in safety of road crossings for wildlife. |
| 5) Enhance stopover habitat for migrating birds. |
| 6) Identify and mitigate barriers to fish migration. |
| 7) Engage the community to implement restoration and enhancement initiatives. |
| 8) Promote the acquisition of lands through the development approvals process to expand the land base associated with the creek corridor, improve linkages and make the system more resilient. |




Number of respondents: 147 to 150

Theme Two: Creek Health and Stormwater Management

Six recommendations were set out in relation to the 'Creek Health and Stormwater Management' theme in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan and apply to multiple areas of the Subwatershed. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|---|
| 1) Implement monitoring to identify water quality issues and track success of SWM initiatives. |
| 2) Address water quality issues at a sub-watershed scale. |
| 3) Evaluate potential sites for flood water capacity storage, attenuation and water quality enhancements. |
| 4) Assess bank stability especially within the lower creek system/ "flashy" parts of the system. |
| 5) Replace/repair degraded bank stabilization works applying natural channel systems principles where feasible, recognizing that a larger footprint is required to implement this type of system. |
| 6) Combine work to restore vegetation and improve trails in conjunction with sewer/infrastructure improvements where possible. |




Number of respondents: 138 to 142

Theme Three: Trails, Recreation and Cultural Heritage

Six recommendations were set out in relation to the 'Trails, Recreation and Cultural Heritage' theme in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan which apply to multiple areas of the Subwatershed. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|---|
| 1) Establish a continuous trail network through the sub-watershed utilizing the ravine system where possible to implement the Cycling Plan and the Natural Environment Trails Strategy and make connections to neighbourhoods and the City. |
| 2) Provide trails of different types that offer a variety of experiences to fill key gaps in the trail network. |
| 3) Address impediments to linkages at roads and rail crossings. |
| 4) Enhance cross-corridor connections to improve access to the ravine system from surrounding neighbourhoods. |
| 5) Continue to support passive recreational uses that compliment natural heritage protection and enhancement objectives. |
| 6) Celebrate the history and cultural heritage of the sub-watershed through education and interpretation. |



Number of respondents: 141 to 142

Additional Comments

Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about the themes and recommendations. Key themes emerging from the additional comments (43 responses) included:

- Environmental rehabilitation and protection:** Respondents emphasized the importance of protecting the ecological well-being of the Creek (e.g., flora and fauna biodiversity) over the need to increase recreational opportunities in the sub-watershed. For example, some respondents suggested that trail development should be minimized and that streets should be used as connecting routes rather than creating new trails. Specific suggestions for environmental protection and rehabilitation included: focusing on migratory birds and pollinators; re-naturalizing concrete-lined channels (e.g., Eglinton to Lawrence);

- rehabilitating degraded slopes; and, addressing and improving water quality and quantity issues by working with existing organisations and local communities.
- **Trails:** Some respondents suggested implementing crushed limestone paths instead of paved trails, while others encouraged paving trails to improve accessibility. Respondents also supported better maintenance of trails, including improved drainage (e.g., West Taylor Cree and Taylor Creek ESA trail flooding). Some respondents suggested improving and expanding the trail network (e.g., links to Warden Hydro Corridor and a path through Dentonia Golf Course).
 - **Uses:**
 - **Recreation:** Respondents emphasised the importance of creating a park for people, encouraging the creation and extension of trails (e.g., Warden Hydro Corridor).
 - **Dogs on Trails:** Some respondents requested more dog-friendly trails, while others requested that dogs be prevented from disturbing ecologically sensitive areas, and that dog leash laws be more strictly enforced.
 - **Cycling:** Respondents provided mixed feedback regarding cycling, with some respondents encouraging more cycling trails, and others expressing concern that the activity would take precedence over protecting the natural environment and impede pedestrians from enjoying the valley corridors safely.
 - **Seasonality:** A respondent encouraged enabling all-season use of the sub-watershed.
 - **Safety:** Respondents suggested that safety on the trails should be improved by installing lighting and providing security personnel.
 - **Education:** Respondents suggested that increasing environmental stewardship and environmental knowledge by including educational elements throughout the sub-watershed, and by including local high-schools in Creek rehabilitation.
 - **First Nations:** Respondents suggested incorporating the history of Mississaugas of New Credit First Nation, and ensuring subwatershed revitalization efforts align with the Truth and Reconciliation report.
 - **Art:** A respondent suggested including art in the underpasses, specifically under the Don Valley Parkway.
 - **Cost:** A respondent was concerned about the cost related to implementing Recommendations 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, and 3.6.
 - **Survey:** Some respondents appreciated the opportunity to provide feedback through the survey while others noted the survey contained too much jargon, and was unclear and/or leading in how the questions were posed.


Part 2 – Recommendations for Areas

Part Two of the survey presented recommendations for the 8 Areas in the Taylor Massey Creek Master Plan and asked for feedback on these recommendations.

Study Area 1: Taylor Creek Park West

Ten recommendations were set out in relation to 'Area 1: Taylor Creek Park West' in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

1) Focus new planting to restore vegetation in degraded areas along the creek corridor.
2) Identify ways to improve the stability of creek banks and valley land slopes and reduce erosion.
3) Remove invasive species with repeated follow up removal work.
4) Rationalize the number of trails and trail types in the area.
5) Address safety concerns where trails are located near creek banks.
6) Identify new trail connections away from flood prone areas near the creek.
7) Prioritize points of access to the ravine park system.
8) Support the proposal for a new washroom location.
9) Be aware of former dump sites.
10) Implement invasive species management where good quality habitat is threatened.



Number of respondents: 114 to 116

Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about the Area 1 recommendations. Of the 25 comments received, responses included:


- **Uses:**
 - **Dogs on trails:** Respondents provided mixed opinions on whether the off-leash dog area should remain or be removed.
 - **Cycling:** A respondent noted the importance of this trail as a commuter cycling alternative to road networks. Another respondent noted the need for greater separation between pedestrians and cyclists to improve safety.

- **Community:** A respondent suggested building partnerships with local communities to encourage environmental stewardship and environmental education.
- **Washroom:** A respondent suggested locating the washroom in an area that is already paved, while others expressed disapproval of building a washroom in the Area.
- **Trails:** Respondents provided various suggestions for trail improvements, including reducing erosion of natural trails, and installing lighting along trails. Some respondents welcomed greater trail connectivity (through flood plains and dumping areas if necessary), while others do not prioritize improving trail connectivity.
- **Environmental rehabilitation and protection:** Respondents provided various suggestions regarding the natural environment, including the need to identify priority areas for invasive species removal and more aggressive invasive species removal (e.g., Dog Strangling Vine and Giant Hogweed), preventing and reversing erosion, improving drainage, creating grassy areas for songbirds, reducing woodchips on trails, and limiting trails for the benefit of flora and fauna in the subwatershed.
- **Survey:** Some respondents stated that they would like stronger prioritization for the recommended actions.

Study Area 2: Taylor Creek Park Environmentally Significant Area (ESA)

Nine recommendations were set out for 'Area 2: Taylor Creek Park ESA' recognizing that it is a special area of natural heritage conservation and regeneration in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

1) Ensure that high quality natural heritage features (e.g., vegetation, trees, water features) are protected from harm.
2) Implement pro-active invasive species management prioritizing sites nearest the ESA.
3) Identify opportunities to plant native plants, prioritizing degraded areas for this work.
4) Examine and rationalize the number of trails and types in the area.
5) Determine appropriateness of the trail type relative to the ESA.
6) Identify ways to improve the stability of valley land slopes in the area and reduce erosion.
7) Review the implications of activities/uses that are resulting in harm to high quality natural heritage features in the area and identify options for the relocation of these activities.
8) Promote low impact and appropriate recreational uses (such as hiking and bird watching) within the ESA.
9) Investigate opportunities for active uses in areas outside of the ESA on lands free from easements and within City ownership.



Number of respondents: 109 to 111


Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about Area 2 recommendations. Of the 24 comments received, responses included:

- Uses:** Some respondents disapprove of limiting activities in Area 2 and encouraged low impact camping and cycling. Conversely, some respondents suggested limiting the recreational use in ESAs, or allowing only low impact activities (e.g., bird watching and hiking instead of cycling). Others suggested completely removing trails from ESAs. Respondents provided mixed opinions on whether off-leash dog areas should be provided or restricted in Area 2.
- Cycling:** Some respondents stated that a second cycling path is unnecessary in Area 2, while others emphasised the importance of establishing a continuous cycling path through this corridor. A respondent encouraged year-round maintenance and trail lighting for greater cycling accessibility.
- Benches:** Some respondents suggested the addition of benches close to existing trails.
- Environmental Rehabilitation and Protection:** Respondents provided various suggestions for improving the ecology in ESAs, including reducing erosion, working with community members to improve local environmental management, removing invasive species, identifying appropriate areas for native plantings, and improving drainage.
- Survey:** A respondent stated that they would like more information regarding trail changes and uses.

Study Area 3: Taylor Creek Park East

Four recommendations were set out for 'Area 3: East Taylor Creek Park' in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|--|
| 1) Explore opportunities for interdepartmental coordination to run stewardship programs out of the Goulding Estate. |
| 2) Investigate the potential for public access between Warden Woods and Taylor Creek Park through the City-owned Dentonia Golf Course. |
| 3) Examine the feasibility of acquiring parkland within the Crescent Town Community as a future natural, cultural or recreational asset. |
| 4) Identify potential locations for new planting to restore and enhance natural vegetation. |



Number of respondents: 91 to 92

Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about Area 3 recommendations. Of the 17 comments received, responses included:


- Connectivity:** Respondents generally support the acquisition of properties to connect trails in Area 3. Respondents provided mixed responses regarding connecting the trail network through Dentonia Golf course, as some respondents were concerned a trail connection would impede use of the golf course.
- Environmental Rehabilitation and Protection:** Respondents suggested improving water quality and increasing native plant counts (e.g., trilliums and native fiddlehead ferns). A respondent suggested using volunteers as planters and for invasive species control.

- Recommendation 3.1:** Respondents suggested partnering with private organisations (e.g., the P.I.N.E project) to accomplish recommendation 3.1, and to expand public use of Goulding House and/or convert it into a rental property to bring in revenue for the City.

Study Area 4: Warden Woods Environmentally Significant Area (ESA)

Nine recommendations were set out for 'Area 4: Warden Woods ESA' recognizing that this area is a special area of natural heritage conservation and regeneration in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Mater Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

1) Continue to promote Warden Woods as a special area of natural heritage conservation and regeneration.
2) Examine and rationalize the number and type of trails in the area, as well as linkages to other trails.
3) Investigate the feasibility of a new trail connection to Fir Valley Woods Park.
4) Consider trail improvements to accommodate different user groups.
5) Investigate the potential for one cross-creek connection in an optimal location.
6) Identify opportunities to improve access at the north end of Warden Woods.
7) Investigate the potential for stormwater management as part of park redevelopment at Byng Park to implement concepts developed by TRCA in the Don Watershed Strategy.
8) Seek to develop a public education and interpretation program about the Lake Iroquois shoreline.
9) Identify and prevent undesirable activities such as fire pits, encroachments, dumping and large parties in the area.



Number of respondents: 75 to 76


Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about [the](#) Area 4 recommendations. Of the 19 comments received, responses included:

- **Trails:** Respondents generally encouraged trail connectivity, but did not want an excessive number of trails to negatively impact the natural environment. Respondents did not encourage paving trails.
- **Access points:** Respondents provided mixed opinions about the need to increase access points.
- **Safety:** A respondent was concerned about the safety of this area and suggested installing lighting and increasing police presence.
- **Dumping:** Respondents identified dumping and litter as a problem in this area.
- **Fire pits:** Respondents are generally concerned about the fire pits and parties in this area, however one respondent stated the occurrences are harmless and a part of life in the community.
- **Costs:** A respondent indicated concern over the cost of implementing the recommendations for Area 4.
- **Survey:** A respondent raised more questions about safety.

Study Area 5: St Clair Ravine Park

Six recommendations were set out for 'Area 5: St Clair Ravine Park' in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|---|
| 1) Examine the potential for a trail link northward along the rail and hydro corridor to provide improved access to transit. |
| 2) Work with Metrolinx to address potential safety issues related to ad-hoc trails within railway right of way. |
| 3) Ensure integration with the City Cycling Plan. |
| 4) Identify and mitigate barriers to fish migration. |
| 5) Review the condition of stormwater and drainage infrastructure in this area, address safety issues and maintenance requirements and combine work to restore vegetation and improve trails if work is required. |
| 6) Examine opportunities for re-vegetation efforts to restore an important green linkage between Warden Woods and Pine Hills Cemetery. |



Number of respondents: 61 to 62


Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about the Area 5 recommendations. Of the 11 comments received, responses included:

- **Trails:** Respondents encouraged increasing trail connectivity, connecting to local community centres, and reducing flooding on trails. Respondents provided mixed opinions regarding who trails should be built for (e.g., cyclists or pedestrians).
- **Uses:** A respondent suggested reducing use of the toboggan hill.
- **Lighting:** A respondent recommended reducing lighting.

Study Area 6: Pine Hills Cemetery

Three recommendations were set out for 'Area 6: Pine Hills Cemetery' in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- 1) Explore the potential for a cooperative arrangement for public daytime access through Pine Hills Cemetery and investigate the potential for a peripheral trail connection utilizing boulevards outside of the Cemetery.
- 2) Seek cooperative management of woodlot within the Cemetery through education and cooperation between the City and Pine Hills Cemetery.
- 3) Consider the need for a pedestrian crossing and wayfinding signs at Foxridge Drive for a potential trail connection northward.



Number of respondents: 56 to 57


Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about Area 6 recommendations. Of the 9 comments received, responses included:

- **Trails:** Respondents supported creating more trail connectivity and continuity. They also supported the installation of wayfinding signage across the sub-watershed (not just in one area of the Creek).
- **Partnerships:** Respondents suggested building partnerships with the Cemetery and local community groups to ensure better management and expansion of the trail system.
- **Water Policy:** Respondents suggested addressing water quantity issues by placing a large storage tank in Farlinger Park.

Study Area 7: Lawrence Ave to Farlinger Ravine

Seven recommendations were set out for 'Area 7: Lawrence Ave to Farlinger Ravine in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- 1) Investigate the feasibility of linking and improving fragments of paved trail into connected trail recognizing the barrier at the railway corridor.
- 2) Explore the potential for a trail along the railway linking to Kennedy Station as proposed in the Cycling Plan.
- 3) Consider the rail trail through the Farlinger Ravine between Birchmount Rd and Lawrence Avenue as a viable natural surface trail.
- 4) Consider the potential for recognizing the rail trail north of Wexford Park proposed in the Cycling Plan as a viable alternate route in this area to provide improved access to transit.
- 5) Work with Metrolinx to evaluate the need to fence the rail line through this section to prevent unsafe crossing.
- 6) Consider new plantings to enhance the habitat node where the ravine meets the Gatineau hydro corridor.
- 7) Investigate the potential for acquisition of lands on the east side of Taylor-Massey Creek to enable measures to address the susceptibility of existing flood prone developments and enable potential trail linkages.



Number of respondents: 54 to 55


Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about the Area 7 recommendations. Of the 7 comments received, responses included:

- **Metrolinx Corridor:** A respondent stated that fences would not provide a solution to unofficial rail crossings until alternative routes are made available.
- **Environmental Rehabilitation and Protection:** Respondents provided various suggestions to improve the ecology in the area including revitalising fruit trees, erosion control, water quality improvements, establishing ESAs west of Centennial, creating more allotment gardens, and naturalising waterways.
- **Trails:** Respondents provided mixed opinions regarding who trails should be built for (e.g., cyclists or pedestrians). One respondent suggested building bridges to connect trails at major crossings.
- **Uses:** Suggestions from respondents included creating a dog park, and installing ski trails and rinks for winter uses.

Study Area 8: Manhattan Park to Terraview Park

Three recommendations were set out for 'Area 8: Manhattan Park to Terraview Park' in the Taylor Massey Creek Subwatershed Master Plan. Survey respondents were asked to rate their level of agreement with these recommendations by using a rating scale from 'Strongly Agree' to 'Strongly Disagree'. The recommendations provided were:

- | |
|---|
| 1) Combine future maintenance work on storm ponds with improvements to facilities and trails. |
| 2) Consider a trail link within the hydro corridor linking to Terraview Park. |
| 3) Evaluate the success of the previous restoration project implemented in 2000 and identify any additional work that would be beneficial to enhance the ecological health of the area. |



Number of respondents: 56 respondents

Respondents were asked to provide any additional comments about the Area 8 recommendations. Of the 8 comments received, responses included:


- **Trails:** Respondents provided mixed opinions on who trails should be built for (e.g., cyclists or pedestrians), but many encouraged expanding the existing network.
- **Environmental Rehabilitation and Protection:** A participant suggest re-naturalizing portions of the Creek and its tributaries.

Part 3 – Profile of Respondents

Question A

Are you a member of a group or league that regularly permits or relies on City of Toronto parks and recreation facilities?


Most (74%) of the 129 respondents who answered this question were not a member of a group or league that regularly permits or relies on City of Toronto parks and recreation facilities.



Question B

How far do you live from Taylor Massey Creek?


More than half of 129 respondents to this question live less than a 30-minute walk away from Taylor Massey Creek.



Question C

Which choice best describes your household?

Approximately one third of the 129 respondents live with a partner and no children, one third live with a partner and child(ren), and one third live in various other household types.



4. Next Steps

The project team will consider all feedback from the survey in further refining and finalizing the Master Plan.

Please visit the City of Toronto's Parks Forestry and Recreation webpage for more information about the Master Plan Update.

Appendix A

Postal Codes of Survey Respondents

Postal Code Provided*	Number of Respondents
M4C	33
M4B	20
M4J	11
M4K	10
M4L	8
M1L	5
M4E	4
M1R	3
M6J	2
M3A	2
M1K	2
M1P	1
M8V	1
M1W	1
M4R	1
M3C	1
M5R	1
M4A	1
M6G	1
M5V	1
3K9	1
M6H	1
M1C	1
M6K	1
M4G	1
M1M	1
M1N	1

Note: Not all survey respondents provided postal codes.