

Toronto Local Appeal Body

40 Orchard View Blvd, Suite 253 Toronto, Ontario M4R 1B9 Telephone: 416-392-4697 Fax: 416-696-4307 Email: <u>tlab@toronto.ca</u> Website: <u>www.toronto.ca/tlab</u>

DECISION AND ORDER

Decision Issue Date Tuesday, September 17, 2019

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER section 45(12), subsection 45(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended (the "Act")

Appellant(s): Nadya Burton

Applicant: Tomasz Goral

Property Address/Description: 72 Coolmine Rd

Committee of Adjustment Case File Number: 18 254060 STE 18 MV (A1046/18TEY)

TLAB Case File Number: 19 113447 S45 09 TLAB

Hearing Date: Wednesday, June 26, 2019

DECISION DELIVERED BY J. Tassiopoulos

APPEARANCES

NAME

ROLE

REPRESENTATIVE

NADYA BURTON OWNER/APPELLANT TOMASZ GORAL

INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

This is an appeal to the Toronto Local Appeal Body (TLAB) from a decision of the Committee of Adjustment (COA) for 72 Coolmine Road, dated January 23, 2019. The COA refused the variances requested for the construction of a rear detached garage on the property. Tomasz Goral, the representative for the Appellant, Nadya Burton, attended the hearing on the Appellant's behalf. Mr. Goral explained that there was a miscommunication between himself and the Appellant with respect to attending the COA hearing on January 23, 2019 and neither attended. In their absence the COA considered the application and refused the minor variances. Mr. Goral explained that the two options provided to them by COA staff was to either appeal the decision to TLAB or to submit a new COA application. The Appellant chose to file an appeal of the decision to the TLAB in order to have a chance to explain the variances requested.

The site is located on the southwest corner of Coolmine Road and St. Annes Road. The minor variance application to the COA requested a total of 3 variances to By-law 569-2013, to permit the construction of a detached garage.

The Appellant's representative, Mr. Goral, was the only registered Party at the TLAB hearing and was there to provide a description of the proposed garage and the reason for the variances.

I disclosed to Mr. Goral that I had visited the site prior to and in preparation of the hearing at the beginning of the hearing.

MATTERS IN ISSUE

I must be satisfied that the three variances sought, to construct the rear detached garage at 72 Coolmine Road, meet the four tests under s. 45(1) of the *Planning Act.*

JURISDICTION

Provincial Policy – S. 3

A decision of the Toronto Local Appeal Body ('TLAB') must be consistent with the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement ('PPS') and conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe for the subject area ('Growth Plan').

Minor Variance – S. 45(1)

In considering the applications for variances from the Zoning By-laws, the TLAB Panel must be satisfied that the applications meet all of the four tests under s. 45(1) of the Act. The tests are whether the variances:

- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan;
- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-laws;
- are desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land; and
- are minor.

EVIDENCE

Mr. Goral, being the only Party present at the Hearing, presented on behalf of the Appellant, the background with respect to the variances being sought for the construction of the proposed rear detached garage.

Decision of Toronto Local Appeal Body Panel Member: J. Tassiopoulos TLAB Case File Number: 19 113447 S45 09 TLAB

Mr. Goral explained that currently there is a shed in the location of the proposed garage that has been used for storage. He explained that Ms. Burton, the Appellant, had been parking her automobile in front of the shed but that City staff had indicated to her that she could not park in the location because it was within the public boulevard. City staff indicated that Ms. Burton could not park in the location even if she was trying to pay for a permit and suggested to her that she either apply for street permit parking or that she may want to consider replacing the existing shed with a detached garage that could accommodate the parking of her vehicle.

Mr. Goral mentioned that Ms. Burton did not want to rely on street parking because it was difficult to find street parking in her neighbourhood and that she wanted to build a garage instead. He went on to indicate on the site plan, submitted to COA and attached as Attachment 2, the location of where Ms. Burton had been parking which was in the boulevard between the north property line of 72 Coolmine Road and the street sidewalk.

Mr. Goral went on to explain variances 1 and 3 which sought a north side yard setback of 0.15m whereas 1.0m is required, and for a reduced parking space size of 2.72m in width and 4.83m in length whereas the required minimum parking space must have a width of 3.2m and length of 5.6m. He explained that Ms. Burton has a small car and that they had measured the car and that it would fit proposed garage.

He also mentioned that the second variance was to permit an existing condition of a hardscaped patio at the rear between the house's rear wall and the proposed detached garage. He directed me to the 5 pictures that were submitted into the Hearing file, for reference of the existing condition of the rear yard and that they would like to retain the patio as it was a space used by Ms. Burton's mother. He explained that this has been an existing condition for over 10 years.

During Mr. Goral's presentation, I pointed out to him that the drawings appeared to show the incorrect directions on the elevations (e.g. north elevation was indicated as south). In reviewing the drawings at the Hearing, Mr. Goral concurred that it was an oversight on his part. I indicated that should the appeal be allowed he would need to provide updated drawings indicating the correct elevation directions.

ANALYSIS, FINDINGS, REASONS

Given that there were no other Parties present at the hearing and that the only evidence to rely upon was Mr. Goral's presentation, I must also rely on the files and documentation within the Hearing file in order to determine whether the proposed variances for a detached garage meet the four tests under s. 45(1) of the *Planning Act.*

Furthermore, during the Hearing, I indicated to Mr. Goral that both Transportation Services and Urban Forestry had provided conditions that would need to be included should the variances be approved. He advised that both conditions were satisfactory to

Decision of Toronto Local Appeal Body Panel Member: J. Tassiopoulos TLAB Case File Number: 19 113447 S45 09 TLAB

the Applicant. In particular, Transportation Services requires the Appellant to restore the City boulevard area adjacent to the proposed garage *"to soft landscaping and, and the curb cut restored to the width of the proposed garage."* (January 17, 2019, Transportation Services Staff Report). This condition must be met, if the appeal is to be allowed because it is essential to meeting the test of whether the proposed garage is desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land.

With respect to Variance 1, a north side yard setback for 0.15m whereas 1.0m is required, I referred to the survey within the hearing file and my documentation of the site visit. The survey indicates that a garage was previously located on the property with a north side yard setback of 9 ½ inch (0.24m): however, the proposed new garage has a south side yard setback of 1'-0" (0.3m) while the survey indicates 6 inches (0.15m). In addition, during my site visit I identified that the abutting neighbour to the west had a modest garage similar to the garage proposed and in a similar location. Given that the proposed garage is modest in nature and that the setbacks are in keeping with those on the adjacent property and that the boulevard adjacent to the north property line is generous, the reduced setback will appear imperceptible and will not have a qualitative impact on the boulevard relationship.

With respect to Variance 2, the existing condition of a hardscaped rear yard that, from the photos presented at the Hearing, has been in the same state for a number of years and does not appear recent. To induce hardship to the Appellant to restore the rear yard at this point appears to me to be unreasonable especially since this would not have been a variance required if the Appellant were not trying to remedy the issue of an unauthorized parking pad. Looking at the proposed variances cumulatively, this variance in this particular instance and context appears to be negligible.

Variance 3 which seeks a reduced parking space size of 2.72m in width and 4.83m in length, whereas the required minimum parking space must have a width of 3.2m and length of 5.6m, is indeed minor. Given that the survey for the property indicates a previous garage exterior length of 18-0" (5.49m), the existing property width would never have been able to meet the current zoning requirement for length either. It simply does not appear to be possible, even when modest setbacks are applied to this particular property and its context.

The proposal to construct the proposed detached garage resulting in the removal of the present paved parking pad and restoring the boulevard to soft landscaping would be appropriate and more desirable for the use of the property.

For these reasons, I believe that the appeal should be allowed and that the variances sough be approved with the conditions set out by both Transportation Services and Urban Forestry. The removal of the parking pad and restoration of the City boulevard with soft landscaping along with the construction of the modest detached garage and the minor variances sought cumulatively, and in combination, meet all four tests under s. 45(1) of the *Planning Act.*

Decision of Toronto Local Appeal Body Panel Member: J. Tassiopoulos TLAB Case File Number: 19 113447 S45 09 TLAB

DECISION AND ORDER

The TLAB allows the appeal of the COA decision. The following variances and conditions in Attachment 1 are allowed, and the proposed dwelling is to be constructed substantially in accordance with the plans dated September 2018 and provided in Attachment 2 (Sheets A-1.1, A-2.1, A-2.2, and A-3.1 to 3.4, inclusive). Any additional variances not identified in this decision that are required and as may appear on the plans referenced, are expressly not authorized.

John Tassiopoulos Panel Chair, Toronto Local Appeal Body

ATTACHMENT 1 – Approved Variances & Conditions for 72 Coolmine Road

Variances to the Zoning By-law

1. Chapter 10.10.60.20.(1)(A), By-law 569-2013

The minimum required north side yard setback for an ancillary building or structure is 1.0 m.

The rear detached garage will be located 0.15 m from the north side lot line.

2. Chapter 10.5.50.10.(3)(B), By-law 569-2013

A minimum of 25% (12.22 m2) of the rear yard must be maintained as soft landscaping.

In this case, 0.0% (0.0 m2) of the rear yard will be maintained as soft landscaping.

3. Chapter 200.5.1.10.(2)(A)(i) & (ii), By-law 569-2013

The required parking space must have a minimum width of 3.2m and length of 5.6m.

The parking space will measure 2.72 m in width and 4.83 m in length.

Conditions

Transportation Services

- 1. The current paved boulevard of the unauthorized parking pad is to be restored to soft landscaping and the curb cut restored to the width of the proposed garage.
- 2. The Owner/Appellant contact Right of Way Management, Construction Activities at 416-392-7877, located at 55 John Street (Metro Hall), 17th Floor to obtain a permit for any landscaping and/or paving within the City boulevard and to arrange for curb cut and soft landscaping of the boulevard at no cost to the City.

Urban Forestry

- 3. Submission of a complete application for a permit to injure or remove a privately owned tree(s), as per City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees Article III Private Tree Protection.
- 4. Applicants must provide Urban Forestry with a copy of the TLAB decision. A clearance letter will be issued once the required conditions are fulfilled.



CITY OF TORONTO BY: A. E. REUBEN - 19 JUNE 1966

> LOT AREA: 1,717.9 HOUSE AREA: 1,416.5 PROPOSED GARAGE: 170.83

AREA:

	THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED. REFER TO APPROPRIATE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, LANGCARE, ELC. CONSULTATING TO ANNIHOS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. CONSTRUCTION MUST CONSTRUCT TO ANNIHOL SET OF SECOND STRUCTION MUST CONSTRUCT TO AN CONSTRUCTION MUST CONSTRUCT AND ANNIHOL STRUCTURAL AND REQUIREMENTS OF CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BEAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BEAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BEAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BEAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND REAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND REAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND REAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND REAC COSTS FOR ANY CONSTRUCTION MUST AND AND AND ANY ANY ANY WARATONIC REACH AND ADD ANY ANY ANY ANY WARATONIC REACH HER SUPPLIED INFORMATION. THE ASSUME TO CONSTRUCTION END AND ADD ANY ANY WARATONIC REACH HER SUPPLIED INFORMATION FOR ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY
(Lul 5: 5) [0" [0.30m]	2. 02.NOV.2018 ISSUED FOR CovA TG
	I. IO.SEPT.2018 ISSUED FOR PERMIT TG No. DATE REVISION/SUBMISSION ISSUED BY
.92 ft² (159.60 m²) .54 ft² (131.60 m²) i3 ft² (15.87 m²)	72 COOLMINE ROAD. TORONTO, ON, MGJ 3E9 SITE PLAN SITE PLAN SCALE DRAWN BY CHECKED BY NT.S. TG FS DATE DRAWING NUMBER SEPT 2018 PROJECT NUMBER 1847



COF	RECTIONS OR DAI	TO BE SALED. TO BE SALED. TO BUNCH, SALED THAN IN COMMING ALL ELECTRI BULTATI DRAWINGS BETORE PROCEEDING WIT AUXIMUM TO ANY LOSS OF THE PROCEEDING AND REVISE FROM DRAWINGS NOT SPECIFICALLY MARE AUXIMUM TO SERVICE BROWING AND COST AUXIMUM TO SERVICE AND COST AUXIMUM TO SERVICE AND COST AUXIMUM TO SERVICE AND COST AUXIMUM TO SERVICE AND COST AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO SERVICE AND AND TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY TO AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMUM TO AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUXIMITY AUX	PORADI
L	1		-
\vdash			
ŀ			
2.	02.NOV.2018	ISSUED FOR CofA	TG
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG
No.			

72 COOLMINE ROAD. TORONTO, ON, M6J 3E9

PLAN SCALE DRAWN BY CHECKED BY 1/4"-1'-0" TG FS DATE AWING NUMBEI SEPT 2018 A-2.1 PROJECT NUMBER 1847



THIS REFE	DRAWING IS NOT	TO BE SCALED. TE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRIC	SAL,	
CON AUTI	STRUCTION MUST HORITIES HAVING	ISULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND REQU JURISDICTION.	THE WORK. REMENTS OF	
CON	THE DRIVING IS NOT TO BE SOLUCE: REGRET CO.4PMORTHET.SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, LANDSCAPE, ETC., COMBULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK, CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE COORDED AND RESULTERVISITIS OF AUTHORITIES HANRIG, JARISOLTONI CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE COORDED AND RESULTERVISITIS OF AUTHORITIES HANRIG, JARISOLTONI CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BERK ODDER FOR MAY CORRECTORIES ON DAMAGES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. THES DRAWINGS, AN INSTITUMENT OF SCHWEIDE SPROVED BY AND IS THE THE SCHWINGS, AN INSTITUMENT ON DISTINGT SPROVED BY AND IS THE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERITY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNTY THE APPROVED BY AND IS THE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERITY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNTY THE APPROVED BY AND IS THE PUPPOSES ONLY, ALL DIMENSIONS ACCELLATE DE ROMANICA HOWED WAY THE AND REPRESENTING E AND THE CALLULATED FOR MUST CONSTRUCTIONS REPRESENTING E AND THE CALLULATED FOR AND STRONG BY AND IS THE SPROVED IN THE CONTRACTOR BY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNDER CONSTRUCTIONS REPRESENTING E AND THE CALL DIVENTION BY THE APPROVED DIVENSIONS ADD CONTROLOGY AND LIST AND SHALL AND THE CONSTRUCTIONS AND AND THE ODD SHALL DIVENTIAL DIVENSIONS ADD CONTROLOGY AND LIST AND SHALL AND THE OWNED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			
PRO	PERTY OF THE DE CONTRACTOR SH	SIGNER. ALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL VERIFY AND SWALL MOTIEY THE ADDRODORATE CONSU	DIMENSIONS	
VARI THE	ATIONS FROM TH	STE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULT SUPPLIED INFORMATION. ATION PROVIDED IN THESE DRAWINGS IS FOR INFO	RMATION	
CON	FIGHER ON CITALL RESENTING EXIST FIRMED PRIOR TO	ING CONDITIONS. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED MU CONSTRUCTION, ENLARGEMENT OR ALTERATION SYSTING BUILDING, THE OWNER OF THE DROPER	IST BE OF ANY PART, TY MUL NOT	
HOLI	D THE DESIGNER I	IABLE FOR THE WORK DONE BY OTHERS.	IT VALUENOT	
1				
2.	02.NOV.2018	ISSUED FOR CofA	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG ISSUED BY	
	DATE			
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BT	
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BY	
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BI	
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BI	
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BI	

72 COOLMINE ROAD. TORONTO, ON, M6J 3E9

 PLAN

 SCALE
 DRAWN BY
 CHECKED BY

 1/4*-1*-0*
 TG
 FS

 DATE
 DRAWING NUMBER

 SEPT 2018
 PROJECT NUMBER

 PROJECT NUMBER
 1847





THIS REFI	DRAWING IS NOT ER TO APPROPRIA DSCAPE, ETC. CON	ITE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, SULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK	c	
AUT THE	CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND REQUREMENTS OF AUTHORITES HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR WORKING FROM DRAWINGS NOT SPECIFICALLY MARKED FOR CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL DESCRIVER UT VAND REAC CORTE FOR ANY			
THE DOWNHIELS NOT TO ESCUED: REFER TO APPROVED TO SUBJECT SUBJECT UNAL, NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, LANDSCAPE, ETC., CONBULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH EWORK, CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE COORDED AND RESURVENISTS OF AUTHORITIES HAVING JERSDETTAN DRAWING JERSDETT				
PROPERTY OF THE DESIGNER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIEY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SITE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULTANT OF ANY VARIATIONS FROM THE SUPPLIED INFORMATION				
THE PUR REP	VARIATIONS FROM THE SUPPLED INFORMATION. THE AS-BUILT INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THESE DRAWINGS IS FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM ON SITE OBSERVATIONS REPRESENTING EXISTING CONDITIONS. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED MUST BE			
CON OR I HOL	FIRMED PRIOR TO N WHOLE, OF THE D THE DESIGNER I) CONSTRUCTION, ENLARGEMENT OR ALTERATION OF ANY PA EXISTING BUILDING. THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY WILL NO LIABLE FOR THE WORK DONE BY OTHERS.	NRT, DT	
2.	02.NOV.2018	ISUED FOR Cold TG		
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT TG		
_			BY	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT TG	BY	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT TG	BY	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT TG	BY	

ELEVATION		
SCALE	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
1/4"-1'-0"	TG	FS
DATE	DRAWING NUM	
date SEPT 2018		/IBER



THR	5 DRAWING IS NOT ER TO APPROPRIA	TO BE SCALED. TE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRIC	AL,	
CON AUT	DSCAPE, ETC. CON ISTRUCTION MUST HORITIES HAVING	SULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND REQU JURISDICTION.	REMENTS OF	
CON	THE DRIVING IS NOT TO BE SOLUCE: REGRET CO.4PMORTHET.SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, LANDSCAPE, ETC., COMBULTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK, CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE COORDED AND RESULTERVISITIS OF AUTHORITIES HANRIG, JARISOLTONI CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE COORDED AND RESULTERVISITIS OF AUTHORITIES HANRIG, JARISOLTONI CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BERK ODDER FOR MAY CORRECTORIES ON DAMAGES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. THES DRAWINGS, AN INSTITUMENT OF SCHWEIDE SPROVED BY AND IS THE THE SCHWINGS, AN INSTITUMENT ON DISTINGT SPROVED BY AND IS THE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERITY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNTY THE APPROVED BY AND IS THE THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERITY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNTY THE APPROVED BY AND IS THE PUPPOSES ONLY, ALL DIMENSIONS ACCELLATE DE ROMANICA HOWED WAY THE AND REPRESENTING E AND THE CALLULATED FOR MUST CONSTRUCTIONS REPRESENTING E AND THE CALLULATED FOR AND STRONG BY AND IS THE SPROVED IN THE CONTRACTOR BY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIVENSIONS ADD CONDITIONS ON BITE AND SHALL UNDER CONSTRUCTIONS REPRESENTING E AND THE CALL DIVENTION BY THE APPROVED DIVENSIONS ADD CONTROLOGY AND LIST AND SHALL AND THE CONSTRUCTIONS AND AND THE ODD SHALL DIVENTIAL DIVENSIONS ADD CONTROLOGY AND LIST AND SHALL AND THE OWNED AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN			
PRO	THIS DRAWINGS, AS AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE IS PROVIDED BY AND IS THE PROPERTY OF THE DESIGNER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL WORTEY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULTANCE AND AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SHEAD SHALL AND ACCEPT ACCEPT AND ACCEPT AND ACCEPT AND ACCEPT ACCEPT AND ACCEPT AND ACCEPT AND ACCEPT AND ACCEPT ACCEPT AND ACCEPT ACCEPT AND ACCEPT ACC			
VAR	AND CONDITIONS ON SITE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULTANT OF ANY VARIATIONS FROM THE SUPPLIED INFORMATION. THE AS-BUILT INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THESE DRAWINGS IS FOR INFORMATION (
REP	RESENTING EXIST FIRMED PRIOR TO N WHOLE OF THE	ING CONDITIONS. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED MU CONSTRUCTION, ENLARGEMENT OR ALTERATION EXISTING BUILDING. THE OWNER OF THE PROPERT	ST BE OF ANY PART, DY MUL NOT	
HOL	D THE DESIGNER	IABLE FOR THE WORK DONE BY OTHERS.	T VILL NOT	
2.	02.NOV.2018	ISSUED FOR CofA	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG	
No.	DATE	REVISION/SUBMISSION	ISSUED BY	
1				

ELEVATION		
SCALE	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
4 (47 42 07		
1/4"-1'-0"	TG	FS
DATE	DRAWING NUM	IBER
SEPT 2018		2 0
PROJECT NUMBER] A-	3.2



SOUTH ELEVATION

THIS	DRAWING IS NOT	TO BE SCALED. TE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRIC AN UTANT DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH	CAL, THE WORK	
CON AUT THE	LANDSCAPE, ETC. CONSULTANTI DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND REQURREMENTS OF AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR WORKING FROM DRAWINGS NOT SPECIFICALLY MARKED FOR			
CON COP THIS	HED DWINNER BINDT TO BE SCHED: RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERVE AUXILIARY DATABASE AND SERVEY AND AND AND AND AND AND AUTHORITIES HANNE ALBRIGHTEN. WILL STRUCTURAL DATABASE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. HIS DRAWNOS, AN INSTITUMENT OF SERVICE BROWDED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT AND THE DEVELOPMENT AND ACCEPT TO A DAVEN AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT AND			
PRC THE ANE	THIS DRAWINGS, MARKING ROMENT OF SERVICE IS FROMED BY AND AT THE PROPERTY OF THE DESIGNER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SITE AND SHALL NOTFY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULTANT OF ANY			
VAR THE PUR	AS-BUILT INFORM POSES ONLY, ALL	E SUPPLIED INFORMATION. ATION PROVIDED IN THESE DRAWINGS IS FOR INFO DIMENSIONS ARE CALCULATED FROM ON SITE OB INFO CONDITIONS THE INFORMATION PROVIDED MI	RMATION SERVATIONS	
CON OR	RESENTING EXIST IFIRMED PRIOR TO IN WHOLE, OF THE D THE DESIGNER I	ING CONDITIONS. THE INFORMATION PROVIDED MU CONSTRUCTION, ENLARGEMENT OR ALTERATION EXISTING BUILDING. THE OWNER OF THE PROPER' LABLE FOR THE WORK DONE BY OTHERS.	OF ANY PART, TY WILL NOT	
HOL	D THE DESIGNER (INGLE FOR THE WORKDONE BTOTHERS.		
2.	02.NOV.2018	ISSUED FOR CodA	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG	
Ē				
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	Issued for permit	TG	

COOLMINE RESIDENCE

ELEVATION		
SCALE	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
1/4"-1'-0"	TG	FS
DATE	DRAWING NUM	IBER
SEPT 2018		2 2
PROJECT NUMBER] A-	3.3
1847		



THIS REFI	ER TO APPROPRIA	TE SURVEY, STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTR	ICAL, I THE WORK	
CON AUT THE	LANDSCAPE, ETC. CONSULTANTI DRAWINGS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. CONSTRUCTION MUST CONFORM TO ALL APPLICABLE CODES AND REQURREMENTS OF AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR WORKING FROM DRAWINGS NOT SPECIFICALLY MARKED FOR			
CON COR THIS	CONSTRUCTION MUST ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND BEAR COSTS FOR ANY CORRECTIONS OR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. THIS DRAWINGS, AS AN INSTRUMENT OF SERVICE IS PROVIDED BY AND IS THE			
PRO THE AND	PROPERTY OF THE DESIGNER. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSELITY FOR ALL DIMENSIONS AND CONDITIONS ON SITE AND SHALL NOTIFY THE APPROPRIATE CONSULTANT OF ANY			
THE PUR REPI	HED DWINNER BINDT TO BE SCHED: RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERT OLSPREATE SERVEY. STRUCTURAL NECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, RESERVE AUXILIARY DATABASE AND SERVEY AND AND AND AND AND AND AUTHORITIES HANNE ALBRIGHTEN. WILL STRUCTURAL DATABASE AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. CORRECTONS ON BALANCES RESULTING FROM HIS WORK. HIS DRAWNOS, AN INSTITUMENT OF SERVICE BROWDED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT-E CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT AND THE DEVELOPMENT AND ACCEPT TO A DAVEN AND ACCEPT RESPONSED BY AND BIT AND			
CON OR I HOL	FIRMED PRIOR TO N WHOLE, OF THE D THE DESIGNER L	CONSTRUCTION, ENLARGEMENT OR ALTERATION EXISTING BUILDING. THE OWNER OF THE PROPER IABLE FOR THE WORK DONE BY OTHERS.	N OF ANY PART, RTY WILL NOT	
L				
1				
			1	
2.	02.MOV.2018	ISSUED FOR CorA	TG	
2.	02.NOV.2018 10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR Cold ISSUED FOR Cold ISSUED FOR PERMIT	76 76	
Ē				
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	TG	
1.	10.SEPT.2018	ISSUED FOR PERMIT	TG	

ELEVATION		
SCALE	DRAWN BY	CHECKED BY
1/4"-1'-0"	TG	FS
DATE	DRAWING NUM	IBER
SEPT 2018		24
PROJECT NUMBER] A-	3.4
1847		