

Toronto Local Appeal Body

40 Orchard View Blvd, Suite 211 Toronto, Ontario M4R 1B9 Telephone: 416-392-4697 Fax: 416-696-4307 Email: <u>tlab@toronto.ca</u> Website: <u>www.toronto.ca/tlab</u>

DECISION AND ORDER

Decision Issue Date Wednesday, October 30, 2019

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER Section 45(12), subsection 45(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended (the "Act")

Appellant(s): BELINDA JOAN JAMES, ANITA BOUDREAU

Applicant: ANDREW DALES

Property Address/Description: 1079 BATHURST ST

Committee of Adjustment Case File: 18 149278 STE 20 MV

TLAB Case File Number: 19 173727 S45 11 TLAB October

Hearing date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019

DECISION DELIVERED BY STANLEY MAKUCH

APPEARANCES

Name	Role	Representative
Andrew Dales	Applicant	
Old Orchard Properties Inc.	Party/Owner	Max Laskin
Belinda Joan James	Appellant	Alan Heisey
Anita Boudreau	Appellant	Alan Heisey
Andrew Dales	Expert Witness	
Peter Kuntz	Expert Witness	
Peter Simon	Participant	
Terri Chu	Participant	
Brenda Simon	Participant	

INTRODUCTION

This is an appeal, by a neighbouring property owner, of a decision approving minor variances to permit the construction of a mixed use building containing seven dwelling units with basement and ground floor retail on a site at 1079 Bathurst Street (subject property) on the east side of Bathurst St., south of Dupont St.

BACKGROUND

The parties settled the appeal before the Hearing. The minutes of settlement provide for the conveyance of disputed land to the appellant and include conditions to be imposed upon the granting of the variances. As a result of the settlement the site becomes approximately one half to one metre shorter in length and slightly smaller in area. However, conditions in the minutes relate to the building, and to tree protection provisions in an arborist report. Certain variances need to be revised as a result of the alteration to the boundary although there are no changes to the proposed building itself. The revisions to the variances are attached as Appendix 1. The conditions agreed to are attached as Appendix 2; the plans are attached as Appendix 3; and the arborist's report as Appendix 4.

MATTERS IN ISSUE

As a result of the detailed minutes of settlement submitted by legal counsel, Mr. Heisey and Mr. Laskin dealing with all matters in dispute, there are no issues outstanding between the parties.

JURISDICTION

A decision of the Toronto Local Appeal Body ('TLAB') must be consistent with the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement ('PPS') and conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe for the subject area ('Growth Plan').

In considering the applications for variances from the Zoning By-laws, the TLAB Panel must be satisfied that the applications meet all of the four tests under s. 45(1) of the Act. The tests are whether the variances:

- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan;
- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-laws;
- are desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land; and
- are minor.

Decision of Toronto Local Appeal Body Panel Member: S. MAKUCH TLAB Case File Number: 19 173727 S45 11 TLAB

EVIDENCE

The evidence was presented orally and in writing by Mr. Dales, a qualified land use planner. His excellent evidence left no doubt whatsoever that the revised variances should be approved and that no new notice is require as a result of the revisions as set out in subsection 45(18.1.1) of the *Planning Act*.

It is clear that the building itself was not changing as a result of the revised variances and that the revisions were only the result of the minor change, of approximately one half to one metre, in the location of the rear property line. No one was impacted by that change other than the two parties in the Hearing.

Mr. Dales' thorough examination of the relevant provincial policies and plans clearly demonstrated that the proposal met all provincial requirements. His examination of the City's Official Plan and OPA 246 (Bathurst /Dupont Character Area) further demonstrated in detail that the variances individually and cumulatively met the general intent of the Official Plan. Moreover, his evidence was very clear that, similarly, the variances met the general intent of the zoning bylaw, were desirable for the appropriate development of the property, and were minor. His evidence that the proposed five storey mixed use building, with front and rear step backs, was well designed to fit in Bathurst St./ Dupont St. Area and provided needed housing, could not be challenged.

ANALYSIS, FINDINGS, REASONS

Given the high quality of the evidence and legal presentation there is no doubt that the variances as revised should be approved subject to the conditions agreed to by the parties. They individually and cumulatively meet all provincial requirements and the four tests of s. 45 of the Planning Act.

DECISION AND ORDER

The appeal is dismissed, and the revised variances set out in Appendix 1 are approved subject to the conditions set out in Appendix 2. This order does not come into force and effect until notice has been filed with TLAB, by the Appellants and Applicant, that the conveyance of the boundary lands has been registered in accordance with the minutes of settlement. I may be spoken to if there is any concern regarding this order.

X Jahr M. Maland

S. Makuch Panel Chair, Toronto Local Appeal

APPENDIX 1

October 15, 2019 Variance Approved by Committee of Adjustment	Required Revisions Based on Settlement
 Combined Non-Residential and Residential Floor Space Index/Gross Floor Area 569-2013: Maximum permitted is 2x (550m₂) Proposed is 3.19x (876.53m₂) 438-86: Maximum permitted is 2x (550m₂) Proposed is 3.75x (1,031.83m₂) 	569-2013: Maximum permitted is 2x (537.6m ₂) Proposed is 3.26x (876.53m ₂) 438-86: Maximum permitted is 2x (537.6m ₂) Proposed is 3.84x (1,031.83m ₂)
 2. Residential Floor Space Index/Gross Floor Area 569-2013: Maximum permitted is 1.5x (412.5m₂) Proposed is 2.21x (607.6 m2) 438-86: Maximum permitted is 1.5x (412.5m₂) Proposed is 2.59x (711.9m2) 	569-2013: Maximum permitted is 1.5x (403.2m ₂) Proposed is 2.26x (607.6m ₂) 438-86: Maximum permitted is 1.5x (403.2m ₂) Proposed is 2.65x (711.9m ₂)
 3. Non-Residential Floor Space 438-86: Maximum permitted 1.0x (275m₂) Proposed is 1.16x (319.93m₂) – typo in No. 3 of PHN (139.93) 	438-86: Maximum permitted 1.0x (268.8m ₂) Proposed is 1.19x (319.93m ₂)
 4. Parking 569-2013: Minimum required is three (3) spaces Proposed is zero (0) spaces 438-83: Minimum required is six (6) spaces Proposed is zero (0) spaces 	569-2013: No revision required 438-86: No revision required
 5. Building Height 569-2013: Maximum permitted is 12 meters Proposed is 18.245 meters 438-83: Maximum permitted is 12 meters Proposed is 18.245 meters 	569-2013: No revision required 438-86 No revision required
 6. Distance of Platform above Grade 569-2013: At front wall, no platforms below forth storey permitted At front wall, platforms below forth storey proposed 	569-2013: No revision required

7. Angular Plane	569-2013: No revision required 438-86: No revision required
569-2013: Building may not penetrate angular	
plane	
Building will penetrate angular plane	
438-83: Building may not penetrate angular	
plane	
Building will penetrate angular plane	
8. Rear Yard Setback	569-2013: Minimum required is 7.5m
	Proposed is 6.47m (rear wall to rear lot line)
438-86: Minimum required is 7.5m	438-86: Minimum required is 7.5m
Proposed is 6.8m (bay window to rear lot line)	Proposed is 5.77m (bay window to rear lot line

APPENDIX 2

1) Any exterior lighting located on the subject property shall be positioned so that they are not directed to and do not cast direct light into adjacent properties on Albany Avenue.

2) Any security cameras located on the subject property shall be positioned so that they are not directed to and cannot view into adjacent properties on Albany Avenue.

3) There shall be no above grade platforms, sundecks, porches, deck, or balconies other than those shown on the architectural plans dated October 17, 2019 and attached as Appendix 3.

4)All above grade platforms, sundecks, porches, decks or balconies shall be constructed and maintained with a privacy screen of at least 42 inches in height, with semi-opaque glass as shown on page ZC-19 of the architectural plans dated October 17, 2019 and attached as Appendix 3.

5) The building shall be constructed substantially in accordance with the site plan and elevations included in the architectural plans dated October 17, 2019 and attached as Appendix 3.

6) The applicant will construct and maintain a wood fence of approximately 2.0 metres in height on the west side of the revised rear lot line that complies with the construction and height standards as set forth in the Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 447 - Fences.

7) The applicant shall follow the recommendations in the Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report dated September 17, 2019 and attached as Appendix 4 with respect to Tree 4 (as identified in that report).

Decision of Toronto Local Appeal Body Panel Member: S. MAKUCH TLAB Case File Number: 19 173727 S45 11 TLAB

8) There shall be a maximum of 2 unit air conditioners/condensers located in the rear yard of the property. There shall be no window air conditioning or fan units on the rear wall of the building.

APPENDIX 3

Plans and elevations filed by M. Laskin October 18, 2019

APPENDIX 4

Tree Inventory and Prevention plan report filed October 18, 2019



Application PPR Zoning (Applica

> 1079 Bathurs Plan 324, BLK A

> > January 2 Revision



Street View Rendering

on for Certificate	ISSUED FOR:	PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	Package Revision	Package Revision			
ation	NO. DATE:	2019.01.30	2019.08.28	2019.10.17			
rst Street A PT LOT 17	2				velopment		
2019 ons: 2019 2019	PROJECTIVANE	10/9 Bathurst Street	PROJECT AUDITESS: 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto		5 Storev Builiding Development		
Dupont SL N			rchif		2938A Bloor Street West Toronto Ontario M8X 1B6		
1079 Bihuri 3	STAMP	RI		7. N CEN 3847	_		
g / Perspective	PROJI # DRAW #	ING	2	тs 160	_	1	
		4	<u> </u>	-ر	-0		



		2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision			
ALBANY AVENUE	auerinwe 1070 Bathuret Stroot		Mounts Numess 1079 Buthvurst Street, Toronto	orated assumente	Tomme Ottanie Mest 15 Storey Builiding Development		S
	STALE RROJEL	CT Ng	N 2	OF TT 384J		S LO UNIT	NON



Toronto Green Standard Statistics Template For Low-rise Residential Development

	Proposed
	732.0 m ²
	7
Required	Proposed
	11 m ²
	11 m ²
	100%
	100%
	0.00%
	90%

NO. DATE: ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019:10.17 Package Revision			
PROJECT NAME	10/9 Bathurst Street	Product Auntees 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	DRAVING TITLE:	5 Storev Builiding Development		
	Architects Incorporated Net West Net West Incorporated Net West Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Net Ne					
BRUNOF MELILLO						

SITE STATISTICS MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: ZONING:	1079 BATHURST STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO CR 2.0 (c1.0; r1.5) SS2 (X1922)	ISSUED FOR: PPR Zoning Cert. Submission Package Revision Package Revision
O.B.C. OCCUPANCY CLASS: LOT AREA:	SECTION 3.2.2.43 Group C, UP TO 6 STOREY, SPRINKLERED, NONCOMBUSTIBLE CONSTRUCTION 2,893 $f^2 - 268.8 m^2$	DATE: 2019.01.30 2019.08.28 2019.10.17
BUILDING AREA:	BASEMENT:78.53 m² (COMMERCIAL / RETAIL) + 25.0 m² (BASEMENT CORRIDOR) + 12.34 (RES./COMM. WASTE AREAS)GROUND FLOOR:136.21 m² (COMMERCIAL / RETAIL) + 19.23 m² (RESIDENTIAL ACCESS / CORRIDOR)2ND FLOOR:153.0 m² (RESIDENTIAL) + 10.15 m² (CORRIDOR) + 6.71 m² (BALCONIES)3RD FLOOR:153.0 m² (RESIDENTIAL) + 10.15 m² (CORRIDOR) + 6.71 m² (BALCONIES)4TH FLOOR:112.8 m² (RESIDENTIAL) + 10.15 m² (CORRIDOR) + 33.35 m² (BALCONIES)5TH FLOOR:79.26 m² (RESIDENTIAL) + 9.0 m² (CORRIDOR) + 21.01 m² (BALCONIES)	eet <u>vo. Da</u> 201 Development <u>201</u>
G.F.A.: DENSITY: (FLOOR SPACE INDEX)	TOTAL PROPOSED: 876.53 m² 2 2 <th>PROECTIME 1079 Bathurst Street 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto DRWINS TITE 5 Storey Builiding Dev Site Statistics</th>	PROECTIME 1079 Bathurst Street 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto DRWINS TITE 5 Storey Builiding Dev Site Statistics
HEIGHT:	PERMITTED: 12m PROPOSED: 18.245m (to top of the building) 17.3m (to top of parapet wall)	Cated Cated 2938A Bloor Street West Toronto Ortario MBX 186 tel: 416-368-4225 info@meilloarchitects.ca www.meilloarchitects.ca
SETBACKS:	REAR: SIDES: FRONT: REQUIRED: 7.5m TO RES. ZONE REQUIRED: 0.0m NO OPENINGS REQUIRED: 0.0m PROPOSED: 5.77m PROPOSED: 0.0m NO OPENINGS PROPOSED: 0.0m 45° ANGULAR PLANE (REAR YARD SETBACK): REQUIRED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 10.5m TO RES. ZONE PROPOSED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 10.5m 45° ANGULAR PLANE (FRONT SETBACK): REQUIRED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 13.0m PROPOSED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 13.0m PROPOSED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 13.0m PROPOSED: STARTING AT THE HEIGHT OF 13.0m	ASSOCIATION ASSOCIATION OF OF OR ARCHITECTS Z.
PARKING:	REQUIRED: 3 PROPOSED: NONE	SCALE NTS
Site Statistics NUMBER OF UNITS: Sc. no scale	PROPOSED COMMERCIAL / RETAIL UNITS : 1 PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL UNITS : 7	PROJECT 21608

EXTERIOR MATERIAL LEGEND:

M01:

DARK GREY FIBER REINFORCED CEMENTITIOUS PANELS / FIBER CEMENT PANELS FROM SWISSPEARL OR SIMILAR

M02:

LIGHT GREY FIBER REINFORCED CEMENTITIOUS PANELS / FIBER CEMENT PANELS FROM SWISSPEARL OR SIMILAR

M03:

WOOD TEXTURED / COLOURED STRIP FIBER REINFORCED CEMENTITIOUS PANELS / FIBER CEMENT PANELS FROM SWISSPEARL OR SIMILAR

M04:

RESERVED

M05 & M05P (PATTERNED): 80mm thk. BLACK COLOUR BRICK VENEER

M06 & M06P (PATTERNED): 80mm thk, LIGHT GREY COLOUR BRICK VENEER

M07:

STEEL CLADDING SLOPED ROOF, DARK GREY COLOUR TO MATCH WALL PANELS

M08:

METAL FLASHING, DARK GREY COLOUR TO MATCH WALL PANELS

M09:

LIGHT GREY ANODIZED ALUMINUM FRAME WINDOWS W. TRIPLE LAYER DARK TINTED GLAZING

M10:

10mm DARK GREY TINTED GLASS GURD/RAILINGS W. STEEL FRAMING / SUPPORT

M11: POURED - IN - PLACE CONC. LANDING

M12: ROOF DECK & TERRACE PAVERS 24"X12"X2" LIGHT GREY COLOUR, CONCRETE PAVER TILE ON 1" BEDDING SAND & 0.25" PROTECTION BOARD ON RAISED INSULATION & WATERPROOF MEMBRANE ON ROOF CONC. SLAB.

M13: BALCONIES MATERIAL TEXTURED / NON SLIP SURFACE, DARK BROWN COLOUR, WPC / WOOD-POLYMER COMPOSITE DECKING BOARDS ON 4" SUB-STRUCTURE OF SIMILAR MATERIAL OR PRESSURE-TREATED LUMBER.

M14[.] **GREEN AREAS: LANDSCAPE / GREEN ROOF**

M15: WOODEN FENCE

M16: PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY POURED - IN - PLACE CONC.

Exterior Material Legend

NO. DATE: ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision			
NO. DATE:	2019.01.30	2019.08.2	2019.10.1			
	10/9 Bainursi Sireet	Prouter Authoress 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	DRAWING TITLE	5 Storey Builiding Development		
		Architects	Incorporated	2938A Bloor Street West Toronto Ontario M8X 1B6	tel: 416-368-4225 info@melilloarchitects ca	www.melilloarchitects.ca
STAP A SSOC A P OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF OF						
NTS PROJECT 21608 DRAWING # 7C-05						







2nd Floor Plan

Sc.









Sc.









ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision			
NO. DATE:	2019.01.30	2019.08.28	2019.10.17			
PROJECT VAME	10/9 Bathurst Street	Prouect Authensis 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	DRAWING TITLE:	Construct West 5 Storey Builiding Development	410-306-4223 Interstation of Contractions of the second state of	elilioarchitects.ca
		Architects	11	2938A Bloor S Toronto Ontari	ter: 4.10 info@melilloar	www.melilloar
STAMP	R RI BRI		OF TT TP. N CEN 384		S O.	TION
1:100 PROJECT 21608 DRAWING # ZC-13						



E: ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision				
ROJECTIVINE NO. DATE:	athurst Street	PROJECT MUNCHARS 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto		an Bioor Street West 5 Storey Builiding Development	Rear Elevation		
STAM	Archittects Archittects Incorporated 2338 Bion Street West Toronto Ontario Max 186 tel: 416-388-4255 info@melilloarchitects.ca www.melilloarchitects.ca						
PROJ #	DRAWING						





		ISSUED FOR: PPR Zoning Cert. Submission Package Revision Package Revision
ROPERTY L	T/O Elevator Room /+18245	NO. DATE: 2019.01.30 2019.08.28 2019.10.17
SOUTH SIDE P NORTH SIDE P	UNDERSIDE OF SLAB +16500 5th F.F. (135.20) +13700	
	4th F.F. (132.20) (+10700) ANGULAR PLANE START HEIGHT (+10500)	PRAETINK 1079 Bathurst Street PRAETADORESS 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto Deminis TILE 5 Storey Builiding Development Side Elevation - South/East
	3rd F.F. (129.20) +7700	Definition SCTS hcoroted roomonano MBX 196 tel tel www.mellloarchitects ca
	= = = 2nd F.F. (126.20) +4700 Under Side Slab +4500 M01	
	Ground F.F. (121.50) Established Grade <u>±0.00</u>	STMP ASSOCIATION OF
		1:125 PROJECT 21608 DRRWING
		[*] ZC-16





ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision			
NO. DATE:	2019.01.3	2019.08.2	2019.10.1		·	
		Architects 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	Incorporated Drawing TITLE	2038A Bloor Street West Toronto Ontario M8X 186 5 Storey Builliding Development	tel: 416.368-4225 Configuration of the configuratio	
STANF	ECT		OF TT TT TT TT TT TT TT TT TT T	CE 7 	LO	TION
#	2	Ζ(<u>)</u> .	-1	8	, ,





NO. DATE: ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision		
PROJECT NAME	10/9 Bathurst Street	PROJECT ADDRESS 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	DRAWING TITLE:	5 Storev Builiding Development	AXUITUTIEUTIC SUIGEL VIEW
STAMP STAMP PROJ DRAV #:			OF T S 160		www.melliloarchitects.ca



ISSUED FOR: PPR Zoning Cert. Submission		Package Revision				
NO. DATE: 2019.01.30	2019.08.28	2019.10.17				
		OF TP: M CEN 384: TS 160	AELIL CE 7	tet: 416-368-4225 info@melilloarchitects.ca	NOV www.melilloarchitects.ca	



Street View



Rear View Perspective







Renderings Sc.

	NO. DATE: ISSUED FOR:	2019.01.30 PPR Zoning Cert. Submission	2019.08.28 Package Revision	2019.10.17 Package Revision			
	DATE:	2019.01.30	2019.08.28	2019.10.17			
1	ġ						
PROJECT NAME		10/9 Bathurst Street	PROJECT JUDINESS 1079 Buthurst Street, Toronto	DRAWING TITLE:	^{BE} 5 Storev Builiding Development		
s			Architects	Incorporated	2938A Blo Toronto O	tel: 416-368-4225 info@melilloarchitects ca	www.melilloarchitects.ca
Ċ	SCAL			OF AT TP. M CEN 384: TS 160			TION .
3	#	2	<u>Z</u> (<u>)</u> .	-2	2	

RECEIVED

October 18, 2019

By Toronto Local Appeal Body

Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report 1079 Bathurst Street Toronto, Ontario

prepared for

Dales Consulting 147 Liberty St., Suite 216 | Toronto | Ontario | M6K 3G3 | Canada

prepared by



146 Lakeshore Road West PO Box 1267 Lakeshore W PO Oakville ON L6K 0B3 t: 289.837.1871 f: 866.693.6390 e: consult@kuntzforestry.ca

9 September 2019, revised 17 September 2019 KUNTZ FORESTRY CONSULTING Inc. Project P2167

Introduction

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Andrew Dales of Dales Consulting, to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan with respect to a development application for a property located at 1079 Bathurst Street in Toronto, Ontario. The subject property is located on the east side of Bathurst Street, south of Dupont St., within a mixed commercial / residential area.

The work plan for this tree preservation study included the following:

- Prepare inventory of the tree resources greater than 15cm DBH on private lands and trees of all sizes on road right-of-way on and within six metres of the subject property;
- Evaluate potential tree saving opportunities based on proposed work; and,
- Document the findings in a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan Report.

Tree resources were assessed utilizing the following parameters:

Tree # - number assigned to tree that corresponds to Figure 1.

Species - common and botanical names provided in the inventory table.

DBH - diameter (centimeters) at breast height, measured at 1.4 m above the ground.

Crown Spread – diameter of live crown in meters.

Estimated Tree Height – height of tree in meters.

Condition - condition of tree considering trunk integrity, crown structure, crown vigor and root zone environment. Condition ratings include poor (P), fair (F) and good (G).

Crown Dieback – percentage of crown that has died.

Comments - additional relevant detail.

The results of the evaluation are provided below.

City of Toronto Private Tree By-Law

Tree resources located on the subject property and on neighboring properties are regulated by the City of Toronto Tree Protection By-law (Chapter 813, Article 3 of the Municipal Code). The Private Tree-By-law regulates tree injury and destruction of individual trees. Preliminary information is acquired on individual trees which are then categorized in compliance with the by-law in support of development applications (refer to Table 1). Tree categories range from one through five and are as follows:

Categories

1. Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more, situated on private property on the subject site.

2. Trees with diameters of 30 cm or more, situated on private property, within 6 m of the subject site.

3. Trees of all diameters situated on City owned parkland within 6 m of the subject site.

4. On lands designated under City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 658, Ravine and Natural Features Protection, trees of all diameters situated within 10 meters of any construction activity.

5. Trees of all diameters situated within the City road allowance adjacent to the subject site

(City of Toronto, 2008)

Methodology

Trees greater than 15cm DBH on private lands and trees of all sizes on the road right-ofway on and within 6m of the subject property were included in the tree inventory. Trees were located using topographic survey provided for the subject property. Trees included in the inventory were numbered 1-7 (9 trees including Trees 3a & 3b). See Table 1 for the results of the inventory.

Existing Site Conditions

The subject property is currently vacant. Tree resources exist in the form of landscape trees and natural generation.

Individual Tree Resources

The tree inventory was conducted on 14 August 2019. The inventory documented 7 trees on and within 6m of the subject property. Refer to Table 1 for the full tree inventory and Figure 1 for the location of trees reported in the tree inventory.

Tree resources were comprised of Tree-of-Heaven (*Ailanthus altissima*), Silver Maple (*Acer saccharinum*), Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*) and Canada Yew (*Taxus sp.*).

Proposed Work

Construction of a mixed-use building is proposed for the site. Refer to Figure 1 for the proposed site plan.

Discussion

The following sections provide a discussion and analysis of tree impacts and tree preservation relative to the proposed development and existing conditions.

Development Impacts/Tree Removal

The removal of Tree 1 is recommended regardless of the site plan due to its poor condition. Crown-die-back of Tree 1 is 95%. The tree is near dead. This tree is a hazard tree and must be removed and as such, a permit is not required.

The Tree Preservation Zone (TPZ) of Tree 4 extends onto the subject property at the rear. This tree is owned by the neighbor to the rear at 222^{1/2} Albany Avenue. Mitigation measures as described herein and on Figure 1 would allow for preservation of this tree.

Very minor encroachment into the TPZ of Tree 7 is required. However, given the presence of the patio of the existing building at 1077 Bathurst Street and other uses in the TPZ, the impacts to this tree of grade changes to the property line on the subject property will be minor and adverse effects to this tree are not expected.

Refer to Figure 1 for the location of recommended tree removals and Appendix A for the photographs of the trees recommended for removal and Tree 4. *Tree Preservation*

Preservation of remaining 8 trees will be possible with the use of appropriate tree protection measures as indicated on Figure 1. Tree protection measures will have to be implemented prior to the proposed work to ensure tree resources designated for retention are not impacted by the proposed development. Refer to Figure 1 for the location of required tree preservation fencing, general Tree Protection Plan Notes, tree preservation fence details and special mitigation measures prescribed for Tree 4.

Tree 4

Encroachment into the TPZ of Tree 4, a large specimen Silver Maple with a calculated diameter of 121cm DBH, is required to accommodate the proposed plan. If the following mitigation measures are employed before, during and after construction, long-term adverse effects are not anticipated for the tree and its health is expected to be preserved.

- 1. All activity within the TPZ of Tree 4 to be conducted by hand/manually using manual tools and in such a manner as to prevent damage to any tree roots over 5 cm in diameter.
- 2. The soil (fill) placed within the agreed-upon TPZ will be removed by hand and a protective mulch layer of 75mm of course clean wood chips over the surface to mitigate any impacts of project-related work (compaction).
- 3. Air-Spade technology will be used to excavate a 1m deep trench along the east face of soil disturbance associated with the unit pre-cast wall as proposed, and running the total length of the wall, as shown on the current Tree Preservation Plan.
- 4. Roots are to be pruned inside the trench (east side of trench). All soils used to backfill the trench or plant within the TPZ will be of loamy sand to sandy loam texture.
- 5. TPZ fencing to be erected on the side of trench where roots were pruned (east side of trench).
- 6. All works to be conducted by a Certified Arborist and in accordance of Good Arboricultural Standards.
- 7. Construction of the retaining to be completed with dry laid stone.
- 8. A plan for the required pruning/removal of branches in the crown of the tree will be completed and details outlined in the tree preservation prescription submitted to Urban Forestry as associated with the application for a permit to injure Tree 4.
- Following completion of construction, the soil within the TPZ will be drill hole aerated in a grid pattern of 1m, holes to a depth of 45cm using a 5cm diameter soil auger.

Summary and Recommendations

Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc. was retained by Dales Consulting to complete a Tree Inventory and Preservation Plan in support of a development application for the property at 1079 Bathurst Street in Toronto. A tree inventory was conducted and reviewed in the context of the proposed site plan.

The findings of the study indicate a total of 9 trees on and within 6m of the subject properties. The removal of 1 tree is recommended due to its poor condition. The remaining 8 trees can be saved provided appropriate tree protection measures are installed prior to development.

The following recommendations are suggested to minimize impacts to trees identified for preservation. Refer to Figure 1 for special mitigation measures for Tree 4, general Tree Protection Plan Notes and tree preservation detail.

- Tree protection barriers and fencing shall be erected at locations as prescribed on Figure 1. All tree protection measures shall follow the guidelines as set out in the tree preservation plan notes and the tree preservation fencing detail.
- No construction activity including surface treatments, excavations of any kind, storage
 of materials or vehicles, and / or disposal of any substances toxic to tree roots such
 as petroleum products or solvents, is permitted within the area identified on Figure 1
 as a tree protection zone (TPZ) at any time during or after construction.
- Branches and roots that extend beyond prescribed tree protection zones that require pruning must be pruned by a qualified Arborist or other tree professional. All pruning of tree roots and branches must be in accordance with Good Arboricultural Standards.
- Site visits, based upon reasonable milestones of key phases of the project pre-, during and post construction by either a certified consulting arborist (I.S.A.) or registered professional forester (R.P.F.) will be conducted to ensure that the methods prescribed for the preservation of trees identified for preservation as set out in this report, or otherwise prescribed by the City of Toronto Urban Forestry document entitled "Tree Protection Policy and Specifications for Construction Near Trees – July 2016", are followed.

Respectfully Submitted, Kuntz Forestry Consulting Inc.



Peter Kuntz, BScF, RPF Principal, Consulting Professional Forester Office: 289-837-1871, Cell: 298-259-5958

References

City of Toronto, 2008. Private Tree Protection. Chapter 813, Article III. Adopted September 30, 2004 by By-law No. 780-2004; last amended February 21, 2013 by By-law No. 248-2013.

Table 1. Tree Inventory

Tree #	Common Name	Scientific Name	DBH	Crown Spread (m.)	Est. Height (m.)	т	cs	cv	RZE	СDВ	mTPZ	cat.	Comments	Action
1	Tree-of-Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	45	12	19	G	F	VP	Р	95	3	1	Co-dominant stems at 6 meters, poor form (H), fill in root zone (50%) with 10- 15 cm of sandy loam => Hazard	REMOVE
2	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	26	7.5	15	G	F	F-G	P-F	10	1.8	n/a	Co-dominant stems at 5 meters, minor deadwood, hangers, debris at base, crowded, pruning wounds (M), poor form (L)	retain
3	Tree-of-Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	46.5	10	17	G	F	F-G	F		3	2	Three stems, co-dominant at 0.5 and 3 meters, cabled, pruning wounds (H), poor form (H), asymmetrical crown (M)	retain
3A	Tree-of-Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	36.5	12	19	G	201	F-G	G		2.4	2	Pruning wounds (M)	retain
3B	Manitoba Maple	Acer negundo	24	12	11	P-F	P-F	F	E		1.8	n/a	Lean (H), poor form (H)	retain
4	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	79, 65, 65 [121]	25	23	G	G	F	F		7.8	2	Three stems, co-dominant at 0.5 and 3 meters, cabled (rigid / drilled) with eye bolt, chlorosis (L), sparse crown (L), 35% of root zone covered with fresh fill (sandy loam) to back property line	retain
5	Tree-of-Heaven	Ailanthus altissima	53.5	15	21	G	F	P-F	P-F	20	3.6	2	Co-dominant stems at 5 meters, clothesline, utility line adjacent, 40% of root zone covered with fresh fill (sandy loam)	retain
6	Yew	Taxus sp.	8, 8, 7, 6 [15]	5.5	4	G	G	G	G		1.8	n/a	Pruned (utility cable), multi-stem, 40% of root zone covered with fresh fill (sandy loam)	retain
7	Silver Maple	Acer saccharinum	~60	14	23	E	F	F	E	30	3.6	2	Braches lean toward subject site, poor form (L), sweep (H), cavity at base (M)	retain

DBH	Diameter at Breast Height	(cm)
TI	Trunk Integrity	(G, F, P)
CS	Crown Structure	(G, F, P)
CV	Crown Vigor	(G, F, P)
CDB	Crown Die Back	(%)
RZE	Root Zone Environ.	(G,F,P)
CDB	Crown Die Back	(%)
mTPZ	minimum Tree Protection Zone based on City of Toronto's standard	(m), radius from outside edge of tree base
cat.	City of Toronto Tree By-law Category	1-5



Appendix A. Photographs of Trees for Removal

Image 1. Tree 1



Image 2. Tree 4, upper section (crown)



Image 3. Tree 4, lower section (multiple trunks)



Date 9 September 2019 Scale 1:150	permit to injurte tree 4. hin the TPZ will be drill hole serated in intig a 5cm diameter soil stuger.
Project P2167 Figure	vation prescription submitted to Urben
Existing Conditions, Proposed Site Plan Tree Inventory & Preservation Plan	h dry laid stone.
Toronka, Ortanio	y sand to sandy loam taxture. Mere roots were pruned (east side of
Dales Consulting 147 Liberty St., Suite 216 Toronto Ontario MBK 303 Canada	1m deep tranch along the east face of t wall as proposed, and running the free Preservation Plan. te of tranch). All soils used to backfill
3	will be removed by hand and a wood chips over the surface to mitigate
	ted by hand/manually using manual to any tree roots over 5 cm in
1 Rupot Nacional 17 Ougl. 19	n and an and an and an and an
Np. Determinen Determinen attentione	
 adjust is two. A summarization of an offsee surger trans by the is a minimum firm of the offset of a submariant thread structures part in appendix the structure may be associated by the CDA by the submarine of the offset of the submariant thread structures are submarined to materials work is correct the constraints. The submarine of the submariant the submariant has been submariant to the submariant the submariant has been submariant to the submariant the submariant has been submariant be the submariant to the submariant has been submariant to the submariant has been submariant to the submariant the submariant has been submariant to the submariant the submariant has been submariant to the submariant the submariant has been submariant as the submariant has been submariant has been submariant to the submariant has been submariant has been submariant to the submariant has been submar	
and and also serving age part is transvering age part of the implement works to the ambidition of them forwards development works to the ambidition of them forwards of the appletizers is the filteet. The By-Leo and Phalas Tr	P
saransi iyo mixonda. Ai yaxing ditau mata nel amaruna mata ia kanoolaan sela pool diakotakan pactar. Asaa faran masakat apagan itanu hata managir ta ba yana farata ita aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga poramati iyo manabat, iyo nel daging biyo umig ke yaman jetanti (mata itang aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga ag panan bir iyotaka namandan mata ita ga yang bir ya anagi ke yang aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga aga	N 2'
 Pre-prime top projection appr (PT2) and its valuation to testima non-index name, the item pro- lemines must be real-state of calls some observe and the support points of TT2 must be produced on indexing our pre-prime top provide of the data framework. Any rank or barratum indexing on the pion which regular partice, as approach by thisse Framework, must be appressing to the supervised on the pion which regular partice, as approach by thisse Framework, must be appressing to the supervised on the pion which regular partice, as approach by thisse Framework, must be appressing to the supervised on the pion which regular partice, as approach by the supervised on the pion which regular partice, and pion of the pion which regular partice, and pion of the pion of the pion which regular partice, pion of the pion of the pion which regular partice, as a pion of the pion of the pion which regular partice, pion of the pion of th	122
 All actions for products or presentation requirements along our beyond by installing of the pro- metry requires the instal-state of presentation of the statistical in the Uniter Foreign sequence strains require the approval true problems plan and to be autoinstant of Uniter Foreign; 	
 no constrainces incoming panel may a present the second of assessment of assessment and present present entities are also been assessed in the second of the second of the second of the second of the sufficient equipment is presented within the TPZ. The second bits for TPZ the second of the relations of the second of the second of the SPZ. The second bits for TPZ the second of the relations of the second of the second of the SPZ. The second bits for TPZ the second of the results and second of all times. 	0143
 The protection tarries must much in place and in good condition during demotion, conduction emdern desclosures, building landscaping, and must raite attained, moved an annowed and exclaminated by Urban Formally. 	11
 Prise to its communicant of any site script such as dia distante, denotibing a contributing, for two protection measure specified on the instant is the subscript of them for any site must be consisted to many of the solution potentian measure have been installed, Littles Formity and must be consisted to many by many hoppedant the safet of approved of the beauting particular scription must be consisted to many by many hoppedant the safet of approved of the beauting particular scription must be consisted to any by many hoppedant the safet of approved of the beauting particular scription must be consisted to any the safet of the safet of approved of the beauting particular scription must be consisted to any statements of the safet of approved of the beauting particular scription must be consisted to any statements of the safet of approved on the safet of the same scription of the safet of the	21
uten Poveiy. a upedind in Declari 4. Two Protection Dignage must be effected to al	228
 This protection turning shall be installed to devide an obtained in this occurrent and to the antidative latter Foundry. This protection turning must be installed using plyceool shall how the global and the strain of VP New Joint 	.011
1 THEE PROVIDENTIAL PLAN INSTEED 9 Share and the application of the provided the part of the provided inter or windown edges of programs or an advantage there will be in registrows. Structure and water her igned to the part of instelling or any her provided the provided interpretation framely defined as the provided interpret instelling or any her provided in the provided interpretation framely defined as the instelling or any her provided interpretation framely defined as the provided in protocol to the total methods of distance framely.	J 0 3
Advances Tray Proceedings Zone	PI
Hanimum Tree Preservedon Zone-	
MANAGEN (A FINE) Mahmum Tines Preservation Zone	
Location of required Tree Proservation Firetos (Thex	
Editable the loadon by measurements made in feed	/
Tree Load (GREEN), then to be preserved and protocolor The Load (CREARE) monoral required inspiritions of eas plan. the to insuratione condition.	
Tree Preservation Preservation of remaining 6 treas will be possible. Trees identified for preserva an indramed with GREEN labels. Tree Preservation Zone (TPZ) circles repre- minimum distances for construction and grading new trees, respecting CRy of Townto Privato Tree By-key. Rofer to Tree Protection (Pian Noise for preserva Townto Privato Tree By-key. Rofer to Tree Protection (Pian Noise for preserva distalls and tree preservation forms detail.	
Tree Removals The removal of 1 true is recommended regardless of the site pion due to their p condition as inclusivel with CRANGE labels.	
ITES INVERTORY Refer to Table 1 of report dated 16 September 2016 for complete tree invertory Internation. These greater than 10cm DBH on private lands and invertory the City road right-of-way within site matrice of the subject properties were included in the Inventory.	