## City of Toronto Cricket Reference Group

Meeting 2 – May 12, 2021





I'd like to begin by honouring the land that I'm on. If you are not currently in Toronto, I encourage you to learn about the lands you're on.

We acknowledge the land we are meeting on is the traditional territory of many nations including the Mississaugas of the Credit, the Anishnabeg, the Chippewa, the Haudenosaunee and the Wendat peoples and is now home to many diverse First Nations, Inuit and Métis peoples. We also acknowledge that Toronto is covered by Treaty 13 with the Mississaugas of the Credit.





# Thank you for being with us!









Presentation



- Discussion
  - New Field Location Opportunities

• Improving Existing Pitches



thank you! Adjourn at 8:30 pm





Parks Forestry & Recreation Staff Alex Lavasidis – Sr. Public Consultation Coordinator Ed Lewis – Parks Supervisor, Toronto East York Kathleen Stevens – Landscape Technologist Kelly Murphy – Manager, Policy & System Planning Matt Bentley - Facilities Master Plan Project Manager Paul Farrish – Manager, Park Planning Susan Fall – Supervisor, System Planning Teresa Liu - Planner



### **CRG Members**

Cricket

Abdool Mudassar Samad - Superstar Colts Coach Abu Rubaid Alam - Bangla Warriors Cricket Club Akshay Pandya - Cricket Canada Masters Council Amir Butt - Toronto Police Cricket Club Azeem Khan - Ontario Twilight Softball Cricket League Dharmwndra Kumar Jaipersaud - Ontario Softball Cricket League Heather Mitchell - Toronto Sports Council Kannan Rajagopal – Cricket Player Keith Deonaraine - Cricket Canada **Organizations** Mohammed Shaikh - Toronto & District Cricket Association Praim Persaud - Brampton-Etobicoke and District Cricket League Qhinathullah Mohammed - Greater Toronto Cricket Club Ranil Mendis - CIMA Shah Saleem Zafar - Cricket Ontario Shiv Persaud - Scarborough Cricket Association Wendy Kane - Global T20 Canada



# Meeting 1 Feedback



## **Cricket Reference Group Meetings Goals & Outcomes**

	Wednesday April 21st	Wednesday May 12th	Wednesday June 1st
Meeting Focus	Field Typologies	New Field Locations & Upgrades	Growing the Sport
Goal	<ul> <li>Draft design standards based on:         <ol> <li>Field sizes/typologies</li> <li>Pitch surface</li> <li>Dual pitches</li> <li>Pitch length</li> <li>Field delineation</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review new field location options based on Field Typology (size)</li> <li>Discuss options for improving existing pitches</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identify opportunities to increase access to cricket programs and facilities</li> </ul>
Outcome	<ul> <li>Priority field size identified for staff to pursue new location options to discuss in May</li> <li>Draft standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PFR staff pursue capital project to develop new field in 2021-2022</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PFR staff to research opportunities and options to discuss in Fall 2021</li> </ul>
A TORONTO		M The state of the	

## **April 21st Meeting Notes & CRG Terms of Reference**

City of Toronto – Parks Development & Capital Projects Cricket Reference Group (CRG) Meeting 1 Summary April 22, 2021 Susan Fall – Supervisor, System Flanning Matt Bentley - Facilities Master Plan Project Manager Matt Bentley - Facilities Master Plan Project Manager Alex Lavasidis, Senior Public Consultation Coordinator A T MARTIN DA TORONTO

Any suggested edits to the April 21 meeting notes or Draft Terms of Reference ?

Suggested edits accepted by email until May 19

unity.

City of Toronto Cricket Reference Group Cricket Reference Group - Terms of Reference DRAFT Terms of Reference The purpose of these Terms of Reference (TOR) is to define the Cricket Reference Group's role and resinan and meeting procedures. 1. Mandate L. Manaale The Cricket Reference Group (CRG) will aim to provide perspective, guidance and expert advice to the City of Toronto Parke Forestry & Recreation (PFR) Division on building organizational The Cricket Reference Group (CRG) will aim to provide perspective, guidance and expert advice to the City of Toronto Parks, Forestry & Recreation (PFR) Division on building organizational canacity and increasing access to community cricket nrograms and facilities access the community cricket nrograms and facilities access the community cricket nrograms and facilities access the city. to the City of Toronto Parks, Forestry & Recreation (PFR) Division on building organizational capacity and increasing access to community cricket programs and facilities? across the city of the non-nolitical advisory hody will inform the development of the city's cricket Grategy. capacity and increasing access to community cricket programs and facilities<sup>4</sup> across the city. This non-political advisory body will inform the development of the City's <u>Cricket Strategy</u>. The CRG is accountable to represent and serve the needs of cricket players and organizations in Reporting Structure e City staff will compile a written summary of each CRG meeting. CRG members will have one ak to provide engageted edits to the draft summary after which the summary will have one e City staft will compile a written summary of each Liko meeting. Liko members will nave ek to provide suggested edits to the draft summary, after which the summary will be ricket Reference Group will report annually, via the City of Toronto Cricket website on ccommlishments of the vear Further undates of their work may be included in the can' ricket Reference Group will report annually, via the City of Toronto Cricket website on ccomplishments of the year. Further updates of their work may be included in the capital bers represent a broad range of community organizations or groups within the inclusion of community and raflact the diversity of the types of cricket alay and alays bers represent a broad range or community organizations or groups within the icket community, and reflect the diversity of the types of cricket play and players ation on the CRG will be represented by one member at meetings. The CRG will be ation on the CKG Will be represented by one memoer at meetings. The CKG Will be 20 members to enable every member to participate during meetings everyone the charm indexe. The City of Toronto may promove average into a f the CBC to include 20 members to enable every member to participate during meetings everyone the share ideas. The City of Toronto may propose expansion of the CRG to include munity members as required to encourage equivitable community representation. share Ideas. The City of Foronto may propose expansion of the City of I oronto may propose expansion of the City o b is voluntary and no compensation is provided. By participating as members b is voluntary and no compensation is provided. By participating as members bers are not expected to waive their rights to participate in the democratic constinues to participate the participate in the democratic noer organisations will be posted to the project webpage and circulated to the



## **Design Standards Discussion**

#### **Typology – Size**

Irregular field

#### **Two Pitches**

#### **Pitch Length**



- 4 typologies are useful •
- **Rename** so it is clear any ٠ user group can use any size field
- Maintenance is a larger ٠ determinant of what type of play will occurs on a given field.
- Indicate appropriate field uses on the City website
- Multiple game types will be competing for use of the same field sizes. For example, a 100 m x 100 m field works for hardball, tennis ball and softball.
- 70m x 70m is the smallest field could be



- Do not install new dual pitches.
- Single, stand alone pitches are preferred to allow multiple games can occur at once. without interference.
- For existing dual pitches, one wicket is usually worn down much more quickly than the other.



- All CRG members agree that pitches should be lengthened.
- Consider increasing bowling run-ups on each end of the pitch, by up to 20 metres at each end to reduce maintenance and safety concerns
- Consider using the City of Brampton's pitch length standard (51.7m)

#### Surface Material



- Natural turf for highest / national / international play
- Artificial turf for all other pitches which is durable, long lasting, and requires less maintenance. No spikes.
- Jute matting not preferred as it does not wear well and requires high maintenance
- Hard surfacing can be dangerous for players and can be a tripping hazard when not in use.
- Rolled, mowed grass for informal cricket in any park.

#### **Field Edge**



- Edge the field to increase park user awareness that the big field is in use where appropriate.
- Delineate with just mow • or cut lines but painted lines would be best or a fine gravel edge would also be acceptable.
- A fence is unneeded and could cause injury
- End screens could be built and help delineate play area
- Delineation would be helpful on any size field.

## **Design Standards Discussion**

#### Typology – Size

#### **Two Pitches**

#### **Pitch Length**

#### Surface Material

• Natural turf for highest

other pitches which is

durable, long lasting,

• Artificial turf for all

and requires less

maintenance. No

Jute matting not

high maintenance

preferred as it does not

wear well and requires

Hard surfacing can be

dangerous for players

and can be a tripping

Rolled, mowed grass

for informal cricket in

any park.

hazard when not in use.

play

spikes.

/ national / international

#### **Field Edge**



- Edge the field to increase park user awareness that the big field is in use where appropriate
- Delineate with just mow • or cut lines but painted lines would be best or a gravel edge would also be acceptable.
- A fence is unneeded and could cause injury
- End screens could be built and help delineate play area
- Delineation would be helpful on any size field.



- 4 typologies are useful •
- **Rename** so it is clear any • user group can use any size field
- Maintenance is a larger ٠ determinant of what type of play will occurs on a given field.
- Indicate appropriate field uses on the City website
- Multiple game types will be competing for use of the same field sizes. For example, a 100 m x 100 m field works for hardball, tennis ball and softball.
- 70m x 70m is the smallest field could be

. ARANTA



- Do not install new dual pitches.
- Single, stand alone pitches are preferred to allow multiple games can occur at once. without interference.
- For existing dual pitches, one wicket is usually worn down much more guickly than the other.

- All CRG members agree that pitches should be lengthened.
- Consider increasing bowling run-ups on each end of the pitch, by up to 20 metres at each end to reduce maintenance and safety concerns
- Consider using the City of Brampton's pitch length standard (51.7m)
- **Additional thoughts?**



11

## **Design Standards Discussion – Field Typology (size)**

#### Typology – Size



- 4 typologies are useful
- Rename so it is clear any user group can use any size field
- Multiple game types will be competing for use of the same field sizes. For example, a 100 m x 100 m field works for hardball, tennis ball and softball.
- **70m x 70m** is the smallest field could be



**ITORONTO** \* NB Local fields are not a priority of the Cricket Strategy and would typically reflect non-permitted opportunities

### **Proposed Typology by Field Size**

140 x 120 minimum	120 x 120 minimum	100 x 100 minimum	70 x 70 minimum	Partial Cricket Field	
<b>Full</b> (Cricket Canada standard)	District League	Community	Neighbourhood	Local	Practice Pitch
Centennial Park (2) Ellesmere Reservoir <u>Flemingdon</u> Park Humber Arboretum Sunnybrook Park	Ashtonbee (2) Caledonia Park Ellesmere Reservoir Fergy Brown Park (2) G. Ross Lord Park (2) Keele Reservoir (2) L'Amoreaux Cricket Centre Sunnybrook Park (2)	Caledonia Park Cedarvale Park Remberto Navia Sports Fields Summerlea Park Terry Fox Park Thackery Park Wexford Hydro		<u>Dentonia</u> Park Riverdale Park	Ashtonbee Reservoir Caledonia Park Centennial Pakr G. Ross Lord Park Gracedale Park L'Amoreaux Hydro McCleary Park Richview Park Sunnybrook Park Thackery Park

Any additional thoughts on the typology sizing and naming?



• All field sizes to be confirmed

• Local Fields are not a priority of the Cricket Strategy

## **Proposed Typology by Field Size**

140 x 120 minimum	120 x 120 minimum	100 x 100 minimum	70 x 70 minimum	Partial Cricket Field	
<b>Full</b> (Cricket Canada standard)	District League	Community	Neighbourhood	Local	Practice Pitch
<ul> <li>Meet Cricket Canada Standard size of 140m x 120m at a minimum</li> <li>Quality of play ranges with the field maintenance and allows for skill development on the largest field</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Field meets a minimum of 120m x 120m</li> <li>Play opportunity for all skill level</li> <li>Field may be shared with other sports fields</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Informal pitch with a partial field for play</li> <li>Partial field is constrained by park size and other uses and users</li> <li>Offer local opportunity for more casual pick up children and youth play and those learning the game.</li> <li>Focus on fun and skill development</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Skill development opportunity</li> <li>May be stand alone or associated with cricket field</li> </ul>
M Toronto	field type	e descriptions	?	<ul> <li>Not a priority of the Cricket Strategy</li> </ul>	

## **New Cricket Field Locations**



## **Council Directions Structures the Cricket Strategy**



Parks and Recreation Facilities Master Plan, adopted in 2019

- New Pitches
- **up to 5 new cricket pitches** within the next 20 years.
- regulation size
- may be shared with other uses (e.g., across two full size soccer fields)
- Park redevelopment and or partnerships with large landholders (e.g., schools, industrial areas, etc.)

#### Improve Existing Pitches

- upgrade 10% of all existing cricket pitches (approximately 3 pitches) within the next 20 years to enhance capacity
- Upgrades include converting fields to higher classes through improvements to field quality and supporting amenities



## **Opportunities for New Cricket Fields**

	New in Existing Park	Shared Use Field in Existing Park	Conversion	Lease or Partnership	New Park Dedication	Purchase
Pros	<ul> <li>City owned</li> <li>Any size</li> <li>Increase use of parkland</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>City owned</li> <li>Any size</li> <li>Increase usability of parkland</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>City owned</li> <li>Any size</li> <li>Increase usability of parkland</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Large site</li> <li>Location</li> <li>Underused land</li> <li>Good interim use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Public engagement in early park design</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>City owned</li> <li>Site selected for ideal design</li> </ul>
Cons	<ul> <li>Size of open field required (1.7+ ha for full field)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High demand</li> <li>Permit conflicts</li> <li>Maintenance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Remaining permit players moved to other parks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cost</li> <li>Lease limits including time of agreement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Size required</li> <li>Typical dedications could not accommodate</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cost</li> <li>Market competition for suitable sites</li> </ul>
Examples	<ul> <li>Park Master Plans</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In outfield of ball diamonds</li> <li>Between soccer fields</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Underused ball diamonds or other large park amenities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>School Board</li> <li>Hydro Corridor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Through development</li> </ul>	None identified at this time



## **Assessment Process for each Facility Type**

1	2	3	4	5	6
Basic Requirements (Long list)	Scoring Criteria (Short list)	Staff Workshops (Candidates)	Detailed Review (Preferred Options)	Public + Councillor Feedback	Prioritized for Implementation
City wide scan Town Hall Social Pinpoint 2020 CWG 2020 Division Staff Options for full sized fields prioritized	Topography Siting within Park Complementary Facility Parking Washroom NIA Parkland Provision Children/Youth	Local knowledge Ground-truthing Facility condition Barriers Permitting Levels Facility Distribution <b>Next Step</b>	Prioritizing Underinvested Parks Technical Feasibility Design Concepts Capital Resources	Councillor Feedback Community Feedback	Funded & scheduled project (Capital Plan)

#### M TORONTO

## **Shortlist Evaluation Criteria**

Category	Criteria
	Siting within Park
	Complementary facility
Site Characteristics	Environmental & archaeological impacts
	Transit accessibility
	Parking
Equitor	Equity Score
Equity	Gap in provision
	Historical & planned investment in park
Other	Other nearby diamonds
	Alignment with other strategies, initiatives or projects

### DA TORONTO

### **Example** (for discussion only) New Field in Existing Park through a Master Plan

## **Centennial Park Master Plan**

256 Centennial Park Road



#### **Pros:**

- Parking, practice wickets, 2 existing fields
- Potential 120 x 116 stand alone field

#### **Further investigation:**

- Centennial Park Master Plan process Public Open House May 25<sup>th</sup>
- Parking relocation



## Jack Goodlad Park

929 Kennedy Road, Scarborough



#### **Pros:**

- Parking, washrooms, indoor event space, park amenities
- Potential 140 x 140 stand alone Full field

#### **Further investigation:**

 Accommodating ball diamond permit demand

### **Example** (for discussion only) Convert Ball Diamond & New Use in Underused Park Space

ihii **Toro** 



#### **Goldhawk Park** 250 Goldhawk Trail, Scarborough

It is surrounded by multicultural society where I have seen people play cricket in the baseball diamonds. I played with my siblings and cousins and the communities members come and join with us. - Social Pinpoint 2020

#### **Pros:**

- Parking, washrooms at library, park amenities
- Potential 120 x 120 stand alone District field
- Potential 100 x 100 stand alone Community field
- Historical cricket play here

#### **Further investigation:**

 Accommodating ball diamond permit demand

22

### **Example** (for discussion only) Shared Use in a Lit Park

#### McCleary Park 755 Lakeshore Blvd East



#### **Pros:**

- Washrooms, on street parking, lights, practice pitches
- Past use as cricket field
- Potential 120 x 120 District shared field

#### **Further investigation:**

• Accommodating ball diamond permit demand



### **Example** (for discussion only) School Board Lease



#### Joyce Trimmer Park 8450 Sheppard Avenue East

#### **Pros:**

- Access to Joyce Trimmer Community Centre
- Potential 120 x120 District field

#### **Further investigation:**

• Lease with school board, terms, etc.



### **Example** (for discussion only) Hydro Corridor Lease

## L'Amoreaux Cricket Centre Expansion

8450 Sheppard Avenue East



#### **Pros:**

- Vacant land, high wires
- Potential 120 x 120 District field
- Potential 140 x 140 Full field

## Further investigation:

• Lease with Hydro, terms, etc.



## **Next Steps**

- Examples were for discussion purposes only a set of priority locations will be identified and investigated over the next 4 months
  - Priority location will be "City" or "District" typology
  - Priority locations will be brought to the CRG for comment prior to the Fall 2021 Staff Report

• Field construction in 2022



# **Improving Cricket Fields**



## **Council Directions Structures the Cricket Strategy**



Parks and Recreation Facilities Master Plan, adopted in 2019

- up to 5 new cricket pitches within the next 20 years.
- regulation size
- may be shared with other uses (e.g., across two full size soccer fields)
- Park redevelopment and or partnerships with large landholders (e.g., schools, industrial areas, etc.)

#### Improve Existing Pitches

New

Pitches

- upgrade 10% of all existing cricket pitches (approximately 3 pitches) within the next 20 years to enhance capacity
- Upgrades include converting fields to higher classes **through improvements to field quality and supporting amenities**



## **Size and Classification Matrix - TODAY**

\* Estimated sizes. Actual to be confirmed

Type of Play Classification	<b>Full</b> 140m x 120m minimum	<b>District</b> 120m x 120m minimum	<b>Community</b> 100 x 100 minimum	<b>Neighbourhood</b> 70 x 70 minimum	<b>Local</b> Partial Field
P	Sunnybrook Park (3) 142 x 135	<u>Fergy</u> Brown Park (1) 128x 135 <u>Fergy</u> Brown Park (2) 128 x 135 G. Ross Lord Park (1) 120 x 130 G. Ross Lord Park (2) 119 x 120 Sunnybrook Park (1) 119 x 120 Sunnybrook Park (2) 121 x 120			
B	Ellesmere Reservoir (2) 149 x 123 Humberline Park 140 x 120	Ellesmere Reservoir (1) 127 x 150 <u>L'Amoreaux Hyrdo</u> 129 x 127			
C	Centennial Park (1) 153 x 135 Centennial Park (2) 172 x 135 <u>Flemingdon</u> Park 150 x 123	Ashtonbee (1) Ashtonbee (2) Caledonia Park (2) 123 x 123 Keele Reservoir (1) Keele Reservoir (2)	Caledonia Park (1) 117 x 109 Cedarvale Park 100 x 107 Remberto Navia 117 x 110 Summerlea Park 113 x 112 Thackeray Park 100 x 100 Wexford Hydro 120 x 110		<u>Dentonia</u> Park Riverdale Park W

#### <u>Íl</u>Torono

## The Stakeholder Working Group told us ...

	Field Classification	<ul> <li>Stand alone field is preferred but shared spaces should be considered (e.g. Soccer &amp; cricket field)</li> </ul>
	Size of Field	<ul> <li>Full sized fields are preferred in all cases however new smaller fields will help absorb some of the demand, especially for youth leagues/development</li> <li>Size of a field can vary but 120 x 140 is generally suggested by Cricket Canada</li> </ul>
Improve Existing	Maintenance of Field and Pitch are KEY improvements (safety of players)	<ul> <li>Maintenance is important to improve the quality of play</li> <li>Competitive play occurs on all classifications of pitches and they need to be safe for players</li> <li>Turf maintenance is crucial for cricket facility quality, not just size of pitch / outfield</li> <li>Pitch design and run-up area are important</li> </ul>
	Supporting Amenities	<ul> <li>Practice pitches with synthetic turf surface and increased lane size can encourage use for community and youth</li> <li>Support amenities would improve the quality of play given length of games 30</li> </ul>

## **Prioritizing Improved Field Quality & Supporting Amenities**



Is this appropriate prioritization?



Other ?

# **Next Steps**



## **Cricket Reference Group Meeting Topics**

	Wednesday April 21st	Wednesday May 12th	Wednesday June 1st		
Meeting Focus	Field Typologies	New Field Locations & Upgrades	Growing the Sport		
Goal	<ul> <li>Draft design standards based on:         <ol> <li>Field sizes/typologies</li> <li>Pitch surface</li> <li>Dual pitches</li> <li>Pitch length</li> <li>Field delineation</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review proposed new field location options based on Field Typology (size)</li> <li>Discuss options for improving existing pitches and field</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identify issues to increase access to cricket programs and facilities</li> </ul>		
Outcome	<ul> <li>Priority field size identified for staff to pursue new location options to discuss in May</li> <li>Draft standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PFR staff pursue capital project to develop new field in 2021-2022</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff to research opportunities and options to discuss in Fall 2021</li> </ul>		



- Email any additional feedback on April meeting notes or Terms of Reference by Wednesday May 19<sup>th</sup> so they can be posted to website.
- Provide a brief **bio of your organization** if not yet submitted.
- Staff will circulate a **meeting summary** after May 19<sup>th</sup>.
  - Please review and provide any edits by the end of the month.
- **Announcements** Please use this forum to share information with each other ... will make time for that each meeting.





