Professional Services Performance Evaluation Criterion

This document contains a sample Professional Services Performance Evaluation (PSPE) with all criterion fields ranked. A <u>long description</u> for this image and the corresponding list of the criterion in this image is found at the end of this document.

Sample of Completed PSPE

Figure 1: A completed Professional Services Performance Evaluation with ranked criterion fields.

Professional Services Performance Evaluation Version 1.2 - November 8, 2024				Interim #			DA	ιΤΕ:	Apr	20/21
				Design						
Consultant Co./ Project Manager:	Consultant ABC - John Doe		_	Contract A			n			
Project Name/ Description:	Made up Project EXAMPLE	T		Other:	Stud	у				
Project Category: Water/Wastewater Plant Project Phase: Contract Tender							Rar	nking		
Purchase Order No.: 123456 START DATE: Jan 01/19						For de	efinitions	refer to F	age 2	
Agreement Value:	Agreement Value: \$1,000,000 COMPLETION DATE: Jun 01/22				U	-1	ME	EE	EX	
A. Health & Safety sub-score					6	0%	_	/eight	12.	.6%
Did the consultant comply wi Environmental Laws, Employ 2. CSA)? 3. Was the consultant effective	th OHSA, Regulations and other legal H&S requiremen th agreement-specific H&S requirements and other leg- ment Standards, AODA, OTM Book 7, By-Laws, Indust at documenting and reporting observed contractor H&S	al requirements such as ry Best Practices, Stand		e.			✓ ✓			
B. Quality of Deliverables			s	ub-score	6	0%		Veight	26.	.9%
Did the consultant provide appropriate QA/QC for their project deliverables?							✓			
Was all documentation clear, concise, technically correct and complete?							✓			
Were designs completed in compliance with all project requirements, standards, specifications & regulations? Was the project constructable as-tendered?							✓			
Were cost estimates within the accuracy range for the prescribed Estimate Class?							✓			
C. Organization sub-score					7	7%		Veight	18.	.0%
	satisfactory baseline schedule of their activities in comp	liance with their agreem	ent?				✓	1		
Did the consultant regularly update their baseline schedule?						1	1	V		-
Did the consultant set up and maintain appropriate issue-decision tracking logs for their assignment? A Did the consultant set up and maintain appropriate issue-decision tracking logs for their assignment?						-		V /	-	-
Did the consultant provide the project team proposed, and was there continuity in staffing during their work? Did the consultant offertively coordinate and manage the work of the ampleyees and sub-consultants?						-		H	√	1
Did the consultant effectively coordinate and manage the work of its employees and sub-consultants? Did the consultant, acting as the City's agent, effectively represent the City's interests?						-	1		•	
D. Execution	the Oity's agent, enectively represent the Oity's interes	to:	S	ub-score	5	8%		Veight	26	.9%
Did the consultant complete their project as per their agreed schedule?						1	1	I		10,70
Did the consultant complete their work for the agreed price?							1			
Did the consultant appropriately address technical comments from City staff & other stakeholders during the project?							✓			
4. Did the consultant's contract administrator and/or site inspector effectively review and report on the construction?							✓			
	deliverables accurate, complete and delivered in a time	y manner:								
	the contractor, City staff and other stakeholders				✓	<u> </u>			_	
5.2 Meeting management and meeting minutes							1			_
	rectives/orders and payment certificates					-	✓ ✓			-
5.4 Commissioning Services - Such as Disinfection, Startup, Work Management System, Training, etc							1			
5.5 Did the consultant conduct timely review of shop drawings during the project? 5.6 Project close out Services - Such as As-builts, manuals, training and other close out documentation						-	· /			-
5.7 Third Party Permits and Approvals							1			_
E. Administration	2.7 WPI OAGIO		0	ub-score	6	0%	<u> </u>	Veight	15	.6%
Did the consultant communicate, cooperate, collaborate with City lead, all stakeholders, and public?							\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Joign	10.	,,,
Did the consultant cooperate in resolving non-technical problems and display initiative to implement solutions?							1			Т
	ate accountability for issues for which they were respon						1			Г
4. Did the consultant submit accurate, complete invoices in a timely manner?						✓				
5. Did the consultant submit their own timely, supportable change order requests?						✓				
Did the consultant accept responsibility for the full scope of the consultant assignment for which they are responsible?						~				
Did the consultant coordinate to minimize disruption to the public and City operations? Including review of and addressing										
issues related to the contractor's Traffic Management Plan / Traffic Control Plan (including protecting pedestrians and							✓			
7. those with disabilities)					_	20/				
						3%	Total	Score (w	eighted)	
	Name (Print or Type)		Signat	ure and	Dat	е				
Project Manager:	Jane Doe						Apr :	20/21		
Manager:	John Smith						Apr :	20/21		
Director: (required for Final only)										

PSPE Criterion List City of Toronto – Sample Only

Long Description and Criterion

The Professional Services Performance Evaluation contains various fields to document the consultant, or the Supplier's name, and key details about the contract the consultant is working on. Beneath this information is a list of criteria that can be ranked with certain letter values. The rankings correspond to percentage scores as described on the City of Toronto's website. The list of criteria, separated into five sections, can be found below in this document.

A. Health and Safety

- 1. Did the consultant comply with OHSA, Regulations and other legal H&S requirements?
- 2. Did the consultant comply with agreement-specific H&S requirements and other legal requirements such as WSIA, Environmental Laws, Employment Standards, AODA, OTM Book 7, By-Laws, Industry Best Practices, Standards (i.e. CSA)?
- 3. Was the consultant effective at documenting and reporting observed contractor H&S issues?

B. Quality of Deliverables

- 1. Did the consultant provide appropriate QA/QC for their project deliverables?
- 2. Was all documentation clear, concise, technically correct and complete?
- 3. Were designs completed in compliance with all project requirements, standards, specifications & regulations?
- 4. Was the project constructable as-tendered?
- 5. Were cost estimates within the accuracy range for the prescribed Estimate Class?

C. Organization

- 1. Did the consultant submit a satisfactory baseline schedule of their activities in compliance with their agreement?
- 2. Did the consultant regularly update their baseline schedule?
- 3. Did the consultant set up and maintain appropriate issue-decision tracking logs for their assignment?
- 4. Did the consultant provide the project team proposed, and was there continuity in staffing during their work?
- 5. Did the consultant effectively coordinate and manage the work of its employees and sub-consultants?

PSPE Criterion List

City of Toronto - Sample Only

6. Did the consultant, acting as the City's agent, effectively represent the City's interests?

D. Execution

- 1. Did the consultant complete their project as per their agreed schedule?
- 2. Did the consultant complete their work for the agreed price?
- 3. Did the consultant appropriately address technical comments from City staff & other stakeholders during the project?
- 4. Did the consultant's contract administrator and/or site inspector effectively review and report on the construction?
- 5. Were the following services/deliverables accurate, complete and delivered in a timely manner:
 - 5.1. Response to RFIs from the contractor, City staff and other stakeholders
 - 5.2. Meeting management and meeting minutes
 - 5.3. Contractor's change directives/orders and payment certificates
 - 5.4. Commissioning Services Such as Disinfection, Startup, Work Management System, Training, etc.
 - 5.5. Did the consultant conduct timely review of shop drawings during the project?
 - 5.6. Project close out Services Such as As-builts, manuals, training and other close out documentation
 - 5.7. Third Party Permits and Approvals

E. Administration

- 1. Did the consultant communicate, cooperate, collaborate with City lead, all stakeholders, and public?
- 2. Did the consultant cooperate in resolving non-technical problems and display initiative to implement solutions?
- 3. Did the consultant demonstrate accountability for issues for which they were responsible?
- 4. Did the consultant submit accurate, complete invoices in a timely manner?
- 5. Did the consultant submit their own timely, supportable change order requests?
- 6. Did the consultant accept responsibility for the full scope of the consultant assignment for which they are responsible?

PSPE Criterion List City of Toronto – Sample Only

7. Did the consultant coordinate to minimize disruption to the public and City operations? Including review of and addressing issues related to the contractor's Traffic Management Plan / Traffic Control Plan (including protecting pedestrians and those with disabilities)