yongeTOmorrow Environmental Study Report March 2021

Appendix F – yongeTOmorrow Arborist Report



City of Toronto





YONGE TOMORROW PROJECT CITY OF TORONTO SCHEDULE 'C' MUNICIPAL CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY

for:



by:



AUGUST 2020

LGL Limited, environmental research associates. Head Office: King City, Ontario, Canada 1-905-833-1244 kingcity@lgl.com

ARBORIST REPORT

YONGE TOMORROW PROJECT CITY OF TORONTO SCHEDULE 'C' MUNICIPAL CLASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY

prepared by:

reviewed by:

Eatchers

Lisa M. Catcher, Hons. B.A. Botanist/ISA Certified Arborist

S. M. Kauffm

Grant N. Kauffman, M.E.S. Vice President, Ontario Region

LGL Limited environmental research associates 445 Thompson Drive, Unit 2 Cambridge, Ontario N1T 2K7 Tel: 519-622-3300 Fax: 519-622-3310 Email: cambridge@lgl.com URL: www.lgl.com

> AUGUST 2020 LGL PROJECT TA8871

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION1
2.0	METHODOLOGY
3.0	RESULTS4
3.1	Species at Risk4
4.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION9
4.1	College Street to Gerrard Street9
4.2	Gerrard Street to Edward Street9
4	2.1 Gerrard Street to Edward Street9
4	2.2 Walton Street to Elm Street9
4	2.3 Elm Street to Edward Street9
4.3	Edward Street to Dundas Square12
4.4	Dundas Square to Shuter Street12
4.5	Shuter Street to Queen Street12
5.0	IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION
5.1	Mitigation for Trees to be Retained/Protected13
5.2	Compensation for Trees to be Removed14
5.3	Commitments to Future Work15

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Study Area.	2
Figure 2a. Tree Resources	5
Figure 2b. Tree Resources	6
Figure 2c. Tree Resources	7
Figure 2d. Tree Resources	8
Figure 3. Yonge Street Design Concept1	0
Figure 4. Yonge Street Public Realm Plan 1	1

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Tree Inventory

Appendix B Disclaimer

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Yonge Street is Toronto's "main street." It is a vibrant area where thousands of people visit, live, work, play and learn. In the heart of Yonge Street, between Queen Street and College/Carlton Street, the sidewalks are filled with the highest pedestrian volumes in Canada, at all hours of the day and throughout all seasons of the year. The Yonge TOmorrow study will develop and review design options intended to improve streetscaping and increase pedestrian space, along with other possibilities to improve the way people move through and enjoy Yonge Street between Queen Street and College/Carlton Street. This study is being carried out as a Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA). A Phase 2 EA study will look at potential changes to Yonge Street north of College/Carlton Street to Davenport Road.

LGL Limited was retained by Steer (formerly Steer Davies Gleave) to conduct an arborist study in support of the Yonge TOmorrow Project. The arborist study was completed in fall 2018 in accordance with the City of Toronto Guidelines for Completion of an Arborist Report (Toronto Urban Forestry 2011). The following report summarizes the results of the arborist study.

The study area for the Yonge TOmorrow Project is the Yonge Street corridor from University Avenue/Queen's Park Circle/Avenue Road in the west, Roxborough Street in the north, Mount Pleasant Road/Jarvis Street in the east and King Street in the south. The study area is presented in **Figure 1**. The arborist study focussed on Yonge Street from Queen Street West to Davenport Road.



FIGURE 1. STUDY AREA.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

An LGL ISA Certified Arborist conducted an inventory of tree resources on November 23, 2018. The tree survey was undertaken along Yonge Street from Queen Street to Davenport Road and encompassed the focused and extended focused study area. All trees within and immediately adjacent to the Yonge Street right-of-way were surveyed.

Information specific to individual trees was collected including species, diameter at breast height (DBH), tree condition assessed in a matrix of trunk integrity, canopy structure, and crown vigour, and general comments, where warranted. Trees were uniquely identified and numbered 1-139. In addition, each tree was assigned a City of Toronto tree category based on the following criteria:

- Category 1: Trees with a diameter of 30 cm or more on private property;
- **Category 2**: Trees with a diameter of 30 cm or more on private property, within 6 metres of the study area;
- Category 3: Trees of all diameters on City owned parkland;
- **Category 4:** Trees of all diameters within the Ravine and Natural Feature Protection Limit; and,
- **Category 5:** Trees of all diameters within the City road allowance, adjacent to the study area.

3.0 RESULTS

A total of 139 trees were identified and assessed during LGL's tree inventory. All trees surveyed were planted as streetscape and amenity features. Overall, trees within the study area range in size from 4 to 40 cm DBH and are generally considered to be in good to fair condition. The majority of trees displayed signs of a number of abiotic and biotic defects which are typically associated with the urban setting including epicormic branching and stunted growth. A detailed summary of all trees surveyed is presented in **Appendix A – Tree Inventory** and the location of each tree (by identified number) is presented in **Figures 2A to 2D**.

3.1 Species at Risk

Kentucky coffee tree (*Gymnocladus diocus*) is regulated as Threatened under the Ontario *Endangered Species* Act, 2007 and was identified within the study area. One Kentucky coffee tree was identified on the east side of Yonge Street, south of Church Street (LGL tree ID #16). Consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) Management Biologist (Mr. Bohdan Kowalyk) confirmed that the Toronto streetscape Kentucky coffee tree is not regulated under the *Endangered Species Act*, 2007, due to its non-native origin.



FIGURE 2A. TREE RESOURCES



FIGURE 2B. TREE RESOURCES



FIGURE 2C. TREE RESOURCES



FIGURE 2D. TREE RESOURCES

4.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The technically preferred design concept for Yonge Street is Alternative 4c. This design concept strikes a balance between providing pedestrian circulation space and allowing for vehicle operations. Key elements of the design concept are described below and a schematic of the design concept is presented in Figure 3. The public realm plan for Yonge Street is presented in Figure 4.

4.1 College Street to Gerrard Street

Yonge Street from College to Gerrard Street will be a traffic calmed, two-way local access road with a 30 km/h speed limit. Cycling facilities and loading zones will be added and wide sidewalks will be provided for landscaping, patios and street retail in flexible, strategic locations. A pedestrian crossing would be included for people to access College Park from the McGill Street and Granby Street areas.

4.2 Gerrard Street to Edward Street

Yonge Street from Gerrard Street to Edward Street will be fully pedestrianized during the day, with some short sections that allow for limited essential local access. Overnight, the pedestrianized section would be opened up to allow the TTC night bus to operate.

4.2.1 Gerrard Street to Edward Street

From Gerrard Street to Walton Street, Yonge Street will support northbound traffic to service the buildings located on Walton Street. Traffic lanes are reduced to allow for widened sidewalks to support pedestrian movement.

4.2.2 Walton Street to Elm Street

From Walton Street to Elm Street, Yonge Street will be a pedestrian priority zone to accommodate high pedestrian volumes. Vehicle access during the day will be prohibited by the use of gates and cyclists will be permitted to travel through this area at reduced speed.

4.2.3 Elm Street to Edward Street

From Elm Street to Edward Street, Yonge Street will allow for local vehicle access to circulate in a loop-style movement through Yonge Street to allow for essential servicing of the businesses that do not have rear access. Like other portions of the street, the sidewalks will be widened to support comfortable pedestrian movement and also provide for landscaping, patios and street retail in flexible, strategic locations. Vehicles will be expected to share the road with cyclists.

Recommended Design Concept

4c — Pedestrian Priority Zones with One-Way Driving Access and Cycle Tracks

Overview







FIGURE 4. YONGE STREET PUBLIC REALM PLAN.

LGL Limited environmental research associates

Yonge Street from Edward Street to Dundas Square will be a pedestrian priority zone with the street closed off to vehicles reserving the space for pedestrians to support the high volumes of foot traffic in this area. Gates will be installed to prohibit through traffic.

Cyclists are permitted to travel through pedestrian priority zones at reduce speeds for safe interaction with pedestrians. Overnight the pedestrianized sections will be opened up to allow the TTC night bus to operate.

At Dundas Square, the existing one-way traffic arrangement would be retained for eastbound movements to allow for servicing and access to the Dundas Square parking garage. The existing commercial loading zone would be retained.

4.4 Dundas Square to Shuter Street

Yonge Street from Dundas Square to Shuter Street will have the sidewalks widened to improve the pedestrian experience and calm traffic. One lane of traffic will be permitted northbound to allow for local access and a north-bound lay-by will provided for ride hail activities and deliveries. Like other portions of the street, the sidewalks will be widened to support comfortable pedestrian movement and also provide for landscaping, patios and street retail in flexible, strategic locations. Northbound vehicles will be expected to share the road with cyclists whilst the southbound lane is available solely for cyclists.

The existing pedestrian crossing at the Eaton Centre entrance will be retained.

4.5 Shuter Street to Queen Street

Yonge Street from Shuter Street to Queen Street will have the sidewalks widened to improve the pedestrian experience and calm traffic. The design features two lanes of traffic with lay-bys for deliveries and ride hailing for the theaters and stores that do not have rear access. Like other portions of the street, the sidewalks will be widened to support comfortable pedestrian movement and also provide for landscaping, patios andstreet retail in flexible, strategic locations. Vehicles will be expected to share the road with cyclists. Access to the Eaton Centre parking garage will be maintained, as would the existing turning restrictions at the Yonge Street and Queen Street intersection.

Trees located along Yonge Street are protected under several City of Toronto Tree Protection By-laws including the Street Tree By-law; Private Tree By-law; Ravine and Natural Feature Protection By-law; and, Parks By-law. The Tree Protection By-laws apply as follows:

- Street Tree By-law protects all trees located on City streets;
- Private Tree By-law protects trees on private property with dbh of 30 cm or more and trees of any diameter that were planted as a condition of a permit issued under this by-law or a site plan agreement;
- Ravine and Natural Feature Protection By-law prohibits and regulates the injury and destruction of all trees; and,
- Parks By-law protects all trees located within a City park.

These by-laws are implemented by Toronto Urban Forestry under the authority of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

Based on the design concept and public realm plan prepared for Yonge Street from College Street to Queen Street, extensive redevelopment is planned along Yonge Street. This redevelopment will likely have a significant impact to the existing tree resources including removal, physical injury, severing of roots and root compaction.

During detail design, an assessment will be carried out to determine trees that can be retained, trees that require mitigation and trees that will be removed. Trees to be retained and trees that require mitigation will be identified and protected in accordance with the Tree Protection Policy and Specification for Construction Near Trees (City of Toronto 2016). Trees that will be removed or are injured during construction will require compensation in accordance with City of Toronto Urban Forestry policies. Tree removals may also be subject to the requirements and provisions of other legislation, regulations or bylaws, such as the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA), *Conservation Authorities Act, Endangered Species Act*, or the *Fisheries Act*. With respect to the MBCA, it is recommended that vegetation removals be avoided during the breeding bird season (mid-March to late August). If construction during this timing window is deemed necessary a nest survey is required and the results may dictate consultation with Environment Canada.

5.1 Mitigation for Trees to be Retained/Protected

The following recommendations should be considered during detail design to prevent or mitigate impacts to trees near construction that will be retained/protected:

- No trees shall be pruned or removed or impacted without prior approval from the City;
- It is the responsibility of the project team and contractor to become directly acquainted with the site, to carefully examine the location of the proposed work, and to notify the City of any discrepancies in the site conditions;
- Prior to the start of any site work, the Contractor shall supply and install tree protection barriers around each tree designated for protection;
- The protective barrier is to comply with City specifications for tree protection including the recommended minimum tree protection zone (TPZ) based on tree diameter;
- No fill, machinery, chemicals, fuel or materials are to be placed within the protective barrier; heavy machinery is not to be operated within the TPZ (including overhead swinging of machine arms);
- No re-grading, including filling or excavation, is to take place within the TPZ unless permitted by the City (Urban Forestry);
- All tree protection must be removed upon completion of construction activities;
- No signs or objects should be displayed or affixed to any retained trees;
- Signs shall be affixed to the TPZ fence to inform workers that entry is not permitted (see Appendix C); and,
- Should any additional, incidental or accidental tree injuries occur during construction, a qualified Arborist or City Forester should be consulted to determine additional mitigation measures.

5.2 Compensation for Trees to be Removed

In accordance with the City of Toronto Urban Forestry policies any tree removal or injury to trees will require replacement or site restoration following construction activities. Compensation rates vary depending on the governing by-law. Typical compensation rates employed by Toronto Urban Forestry are as follows:

- Removal of ravine tree 3 replacement trees: 1 removal
- Removal of City/Park tree 1 replacement tree : 1 removal
- Removal of Private tree (>30cm) 3 replacement trees: 1 removal
- Damage or pruning of ravine or City-owned trees (i.e. within TPZ) 1 replacement: 1 injury

If replacement plantings based on ratios provided cannot be met due to site constraints, cash in lieu of planting may be accepted. Cash in lieu is calculated as the City of

Toronto's installed cost for planting and maintaining a tree for two years at a value of \$583 a tree. It is anticipated that trees located on City Streets will be replaced on site, and the balance of trees not planted would form the basis for the cash in lieu replacement value.

5.3 Commitments to Future Work

During detail design, this Arborist Report will be updated to: 1) reflect existing conditions at the time of the survey; 2) assess each tree for retention, mitigation and removal based on the detail design drawings; and, determine the appropriate TPZs. For trees to be retained/protected, a detailed Tree Protection Plan will be prepared in discussions with Toronto Urban Forestry. The Arborist Report and Tree Protection Plan shall follow the City's Guidelines for the Completion of an Arborist Report (City of Toronto 2011) and the Tree Protection Policy and Specification for Construction Near Trees (City of Toronto 2016). Compensation requirements will also be determined once the number of trees to be removed has been confirmed. A permit will be obtained from Toronto Urban Forestry prior to construction for all trees to be removed or injured.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

TREE INVENTORY

Project: Client:	TA8871 Steer		Date:	November 23, 20	18		~	_																							LGL
Collectors:	LMC; JCN	-	Area:	Yonge Street from	n Daver	nport Ro	ad to C	Queen S	Areet	-	-		-	CON	DITIC	ON	-		_	_	_		_	-		-	Tree	Prote	ction Measu	Ires	
Tree #	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Additional Stems	F	S	cv	Radial Dripline (m)	Canopy Die Back (%)	Co-dominant stem	Included Bark	Lean, Dir.	Fungus	Insects	Cavity	Rot	Mound	Frost Crack	Epicormic	EAB	Canker	Suppressed	PFW	Hazard	ESA	Remove	Protect	Impacted	TPZ(m)- City/Privately Owned Tree	City of Toronto Tree Category	COMMENTS
1	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	40.0		g	g	g	5	T																10				2.40	1	
2	Gledifsia triacanthos	honey locust	20.0		g	g	g	4									x	4	x		1								1.80	5	
3	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	19.0	1	9	g	g	4	5																1				1.80	5	
4	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	20,0		g	g	g	4		-									x										1.80	5	
5	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	20.0	1	g	g	g	4											x		-						Ĵ		1.80	5	
6	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	21.0		g	g	g	4			3.													-					1.80	5	
7	Gleditsia triacarithos	honey locust	14.0		g	g	g	3	5										×										1.80	5	
8	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	13.0		g	g	g	3		·								x	1.000			5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						1.80	5	-
9	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	19.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
10	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	18.0		g	g	g	3										x											1.80	5	
11	Ulmus sp.	elm	10.0		g	g	g	2																					1.80	5	
12	Ulmus sp.	elm	11.0		g	g	g	2									х												1.80	5	
13	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	15.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
14	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	7.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
15	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	11.0		g	g	g	1																					1.80	5	
16	Gymnocladus dioicus	Kentucky coffee tree	6.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
17	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	13.0		g	g	g	4																					1.80	5	
18	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	11.0		g	g	f	2	30										х										1.80	5	
19	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	17.0		f	f	f	2	10								х												1.80	5	
20	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20		
21	Malus sp.	crabapple	8.0		g	g	g	1						х															1.20		
22	Malus sp.	crabapple	7.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20		
23	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	6.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20		
24	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	6.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20		
25	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	12.0		g	g	g	2	5																				1.80	5	
26	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	2	5																				1.20	5	
27	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	13.0		g	g	g	2	5										х										1.80	5	
28	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	2											x										1.20	5	
29	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	2																					1.20	5	
30	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	2											x										1.20	5	
31	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	2																					1.20	5	
32	Ulmus sp.	elm	6.0		р	р	р	1						x															1.20	5	
33	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	2																					1.20	5	
34	Acer campestre	hedge maple	5.0		q	g	g	1							Τ														1.20	5	

Page 1 of 5

Project: Client:	TA8871 Steer		Date:	November 23, 20	18																										LAL
Collectors:	LWC, JCN		Area	Tonge Street from	n Daver	ipon Ro	adioQ	ueen su	cel	-				CON	DITIO	N											Tree	Prote	ction Meas	ires	
Tree #	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Additional Stems	F	S	cv	Radial Dripline (m)	(%)	Co-dominant stem	Included Bark	Lean, Dir.	Fungus	Insects	Cavity	Rot	punoM	Frost Crack	Epicormic	EAB	Canker	Suppressed	PFW	Hazard	ESA	Remove	Protect	Impacted	TPZ(m)- Ctty/Privately Owned Tree	City of Toronto Tree Category	COMMENTS
35	Acer platanoides	Nonway maple	19.0	1	f	f	f	3	10										x										1.80	5	stunted.
36	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	17.0		f	F	f	3	10		-								x										1.80	5	stunted
37	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	17.0		f	Ŧ	f	3	10		200		-						x		-			1-					1.80	5	stunted
38	Acer X Freemanli	Freeman's maple	5.0		g	g	g	1					1	-i ()					x	Ξł				111	12			Ť.	1.20	5	
39	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	19.0		g	g	g	2			21																		1.80	5	
40	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	20.0		g	g	g	3	5										x							- 11			1.80	5	
41	Fyrus sp.	pear	17.0	9.0	g	g	g	3																	TC.				1.80	5	
42	Pyrus sp.	pear	14.0	11.0	g	g	g	2																					1.80	5	
43	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	17.0		g	g	g	2									x		x										1.80	5	
44	Ulmus sp.	elm	5.0		g	g	g	1							х														1.20	5	
45	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	18.0		f	f	f	2											x										1.80	5	stunted
46	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	15.0		f	f	f	2											x										1.80	5	stunted
47	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	16.0		f	f	f	2											x										1.80	5	stunted
48	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	13.0		f	f	f	2																					1.80	5	
49	Pyrus sp.	pear	19.0		g	g	g	3											x										1.80	5	
50	Pyrus sp.	pear	16.0		g	g	g	2											x										1.80	5	
51	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	18.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
52	Ulmus sp.	elm	5.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
53	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	21.0		f	f	f	3	30										x										1.80	5	
54	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	19.0		f	f	f	3	30										х										1.80	5	
55	Pyrus sp.	pear	24.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
56	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	27.0		g	g	g	3									x												1.80	5	
57	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	26.0		g	g	g	3									x												1.80	5	
58	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	24.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	3	
59	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	28.0		g	g	g	3											x										1.80	3	
60	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	23.0		g	g	g	3											x										1.80	3	
61	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	24.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
62	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	27.0		g	g	g	4	5																				1.80	5	
63	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	21.0		g	g	g	3	5																				1.80	5	
64	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	24.0		g	g	g	3	5																				1.80	3	
65	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	22.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
66	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	20.0		g	g	g	3																					1.80	5	
67	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1																			T		1.20	5	
68	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		a	g	a	1						T			x												1.20	5	

Page 2 of 5

Project: Client:	TA8871 Steer		Date:	November 23, 20	18																										LGL
Collectors:	LMC, JCN		Area:	Yonge Street from	n Daven	port Ro	ad to Q	ueen Str	eet	_	_		-	CON			-					_	_		-	-					
Tree #	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Additional Stems	F	S	CV	Radial Dripline (m) Canomy Dia Back	(%)	Co-dominant stem	Included Bark	Lean, Dir.	Fungus	Insects	Bot	KOL	Mound	Frost Crack	Epicormic	EAB	Calike	Suppressed	PFW	Hazard	ESA	Remove	Protect	Impacted	TPZ(m)- City/Privately Owned Tree	City of Toronto Tree Category	COMMENTS
69	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1		x	x				1				×						-				1.20	5	
70	Tilia cordata	little leaf linden	33.0		f	p	p	2			-																		2.40	1	pruned between two buildings
71	Ulmus sp.	elm	7.0		f	Ŧ	f	1	20				-				x		x							-			1.20	5	
72	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	16.0		g	g	g	3					1		1		1	x							1.1				1.80	5	
73	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	21.0		g	g	g	3	5																				1.80	5	
74	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	25.0		g	g	g	3	5																11				1.80	5	
75	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	6.0		g	g	g	1	5																Ĩ.				1.20	5	
76	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1											x										1.20	5	
77	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	26.0		g	g	g	4											x										1.80	5	
78	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	18.0		g	g	g	2						x					x										1.80	5	
79	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	red ash	23.0		р	р	р	4											x	х									1.80	5	
80	Ulmus sp.	elm	3.0		f	f	f	1	5					x				x											1.20	5	
81	Ulmus sp.	elm	11.0		f	f	f	2	5					x				x											1.80	5	
82	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	ash	15.0		f	f	f	2											x										1.80	5	
83	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	ash	17.0		f	f	f	2											x										1.80	5	
84	Ginkgo biloba	ginkgo	20.0		g	g	g	3											x										1.80	5	
85	Acer saccharinum	silver maple	20.0		g	g	g	3										x	x										1.80	5	
86	Acer saccharinum	silver maple	15.0		g	g	g	2											x										1.80	5	
87	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
88	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	7.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
89	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1											x										1.20	5	
90	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1											x										1.20	5	
91	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	7.0		g	g	g	1									x												1.20	5	
92	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1											x										1.20	5	
93	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1	10										x										1.20	5	
94	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1	10										x										1.20	5	
95	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1											x										1.20	5	
96	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	1									x		x										1.20	5	
97	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1																					1.20	5	
98	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1																			Τ		1.20	5	
99	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1	5																				1.20	5	
100	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	10.0		g	g	g	1	5										x										1.80	5	
101	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1	5									x	x										1.20	5	
102	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	1	5					T	T	T				T	Τ						T		1.20	5	

Page 3 of 5

Project: Client:	TA8871 Steer		Date:	November 23, 20	18																							LGL
Collectors:	LMC, JCN		Area:	Yonge Street from	n Davenpo	at Roa	id to Quei	n Stree		-	_	1	COND	OITION	v	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	T	T	ee Pro	ection Meas	ures	
Tree #	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Additional Stems	F	S	CV Radial Dripline	(m) Canopy Die Back	(%) Co-dominant stem	Included Bark	Lean, Dir.	Fungus	Cauth	Cavity	Wound	Frost Crack	Epicormic	EAB	Canker	Suppressed	PFW	Hazard	Remove	Protect	Impacted	TPZ(m)- City/Privately Owned Tree	City of Toronto Tree Category	COMMENTS
103	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g	r I						1		1		2								1.20	5	
104	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	10.0		g	g	g	2		1				11			×									1.80	5	
105	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	11.0		9	g	g .	10	0								x									1.80	5	
106	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	10.0		g	g	g ·	10	p i i			1				101	x									1.80	5	1
107	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	9	10	p						X		x							1		1.20	5	
108	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	7.0		g	g	g																			1.20	5	· · · · · ·
109	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g	6							×											1.20	5	
110	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	8.0		g	g	g ·								x		x									1.20	5	
111	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	7.0		g	g	g ·								x		x									1.20	5	
112	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g ·																			1.20	5	
113	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	35.0		g	g	g 4	1								x										2.40	5	
114	Fraxinus pennsylvanica	ash	31.0		f	f	f	1									х									2.40	5	
115	Acer X Freemanii	Freeman's maple	17.0		f	f	f :	3									x									1.80	5	
116	Acer X Freemanii	Freeman's maple	16.0		f	f	f :	3									x									1.80	5	
117	Ulmus sp.	elm	8.0		g	g	g ·	ř 📃																		1.20	5	
118	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	28.0		р	f	f	1 10	1								x									1.80	5	girdled
119	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	24.0		р	f	f 4	10	1								x									1.80	5	girdled
120	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	24.0		р	f	f	10									х									1.80	5	girdled
121	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	4.0		f	f	f	10									x									1.20	5	
122	Ulmus sp.	elm	4.0		f	f	f	10	i i								х									1.20	5	
123	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	5.0		g	g	g ·																			1.20	5	
124	Ulmus sp.	elm	4.0		g	g	g ·										x									1.20	5	
125	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	4.0		g	g	g ·	5								x	x									1.20	5	
126	Ulmus sp.	elm	4.0		g	g	g ·																			1.20	5	
127	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	4.0		g	g	g ·	10	1								x					_				1.20	5	
128	Ulmus sp.	elm	4.0		g	g	g ·	10	1								x				_					1.20	5	
129	Pyrus sp.	pear	11.0		g	g	g 2	2							x		x									1.80	3	
130	Pyrus sp.	pear	13.0		g	g	g 2	2									x									1.80	3	
131	Ulmus pumila	Siberian elm	5.0		f	f	f									x										1.20	5	
132	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	6.0			ead	_																			1.20	5	
133	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	3.0		р	р	p ·	40							x											1.20	5	
134	Acer platanoides	Norway maple	3.0		р	р	p ·	40	i l						x		x									1.20	5	
135	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	15.0		g	g	g 2	2																		1.80	5	
136	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	9.0		g	g	g .																			1.20	5	

Page 4 of 5

ient: diectors:	Steer LMC, JCN		Date: Area:	November 23, 20 Yonge Street from	18 m Dave	nport Re	bad to	Queen	Street																					
														COND	ITION	5						-	5		Tre	e Prot	ection Meas	sures		
Tree #	Scientific Name	Common Name	DBH (cm)	Additional Stems	F	S	cv	Radial Dripline (m)	Canopy Die Back	Co-dominant stem	Included Bark	Lean, Dir.	Fungus	Insects Cavity	Rot	Mound	Frost Crack	Epicormic	EAB	Canker	DEW		Hazard	Remove	Protect	Impacted	TPZ(m)- Ctty/Privately Owned Tree	City of Toronto	Tree Category	COMMENTS
137	Gleditsia triacanthos	honey locust	14.0	1	g	g	g	2							1												1.80	a	5	
138	Ulmus sp.	elm	6,0		g	g	g	1																	-	1	1.20		5	
139	Gledilsra Inacanthos	honey locust	.9.0		g	g	g	1	-				-	-11				1		-				-	-		1.20	4	5	

APPENDIX B

DISCLAIMER

DISCLAIMER

Limitations of this Assessment

This Assessment is based on the circumstances and observations as they existed at the time of the site inspection of the Client's Property and the trees situate thereon and upon information provided by the Client to LGL Limited. The opinions in this Assessment are given based on observations made and using generally accepted professional judgment, however, because trees and plants are living organisms and subject to change, damage and disease, the results, observations, recommendations, and analysis as set out in this Assessment are valid only as at the date any such testing, observations and analysis took place and no guarantee, warranty, representation or opinion is offered or made as to the length of the validity of the results, observations, recommendations and analysis contained within this Assessment. As a result the Client shall not rely upon this Assessment, save and except for representing the circumstances and observations, analysis and recommendations that were made as at the date of such inspections. It is recommended that the trees discussed in this Assessment should be re-assessed periodically.

Restriction of Assessment

The Assessment carried out was restricted to the Property. No assessment of any other trees or plants has been undertaken by LGL. LGL is not legally liable for any other trees or plants on the Property except those expressly discussed herein. The conclusions of this Assessment do not apply to any areas, trees, plants or any other property not covered or referenced in this Assessment.

Professional Responsibility

In carrying out this Assessment, LGL Limited and any Assessor appointed for and on behalf of LGL Limited to perform and carry out the Assessment has exercised a reasonable standard of care, skill and diligence as would be customarily and normally provided in carrying out this Assessment. The Assessment has been made using accepted arboricultural techniques. These include a visual examination of each tree for structural defects, scars, external indications of decay such as fungal fruiting bodies, evidence of insect attack, discoloured foliage, the condition of any visible root structures, the degree and direction of lean (if any), the general condition of the tree(s) and the surrounding site, and the current or planned proximity of property and people. Except where specifically noted in the Assessment, none of the trees examined on the property were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken. While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered, or implied, that these trees, or all parts of them will remain standing. It is professionally impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviour of any single tree or group of trees, or all their component parts, in all given circumstances. Inevitably, a standing tree will always pose some risk. Most trees have the potential to fall, lean, or otherwise pose a danger to property and persons in the event of adverse weather conditions, and this risk can only be eliminated if the tree is removed.

Without limiting the foregoing, no liability is assumed by LGL or its directors, officers, employers, contractors, agents or Assessors for:

- a) any legal description provided with respect to the Property;
- b) issues of title and or ownership respect to the Property;
- c) the accuracy of the Property line locations or boundaries with respect to the Property;
- d) the accuracy of any other information provided to LGL by the Client or third parties;
- e) any consequential loss, injury or damages suffered by the Client or any third parties, including but not limited to replacement costs, loss of use, earnings and business interruption; and,
- f) the unauthorized distribution of the Assessment.

General

Any plans and/or illustrations in this Assessment are included only to help the Client visualize the issues in this Assessment and shall not be relied upon for any other purpose.