

1. General	
A.Name of the Project:	
B. Date:	C. Address of Application:
D.Name of Consultant:	
E. Phone number and email of	of the Consultant:

2. Description

A.Short Description of the Project:

B. Programme of the Application:	C. Number of buildings for this Application:	

3. (When re	quired) Triggers					
A.Location	(Map 1):		B1. Height in	Metres:		
Area 1	Area 2		B2. Height Tr	B2. Height Triggers Classification (Table 1):		
			Low	Moderate	High	
C. Additiona	al triggers:					
D. Final Clas	ssification:					
Low	Moderate	High				
μ						

4. Applicat	ion Proces	is s				
A. Application type:		B. Method of \	Nind Study	for this Applic	ation:	
OPA	ZBLA	Combined OPA/ZBLA	CFD Phase	1 CFC	Phase 2	WTS
SPA			*CFD: Comput	ational Flui	d Dynamics So	ftware.
5177			WTS: Wind T	unnel Study		
C. Was a C	FD Phase 1	submitted at Pre-Application	consultation?	Yes	No	
D. Were th	D. Were there any previous applications for this project?		Yes	No		

If Yes:

D.1 Date:	D.2 Type of previous application process:			
	Low	Mode	erate	High
D.3 Method of Wind Study for the previous Applica	ition: (CFD Phase 1	CFD Phas	e 2 WTS
D.4 Important findings in the previous Study:				

Toronto Templat

Pedestrian Level Wind Study

Template A for Complete Application Evaluation

4. Application Process – Continued	
E. If this application is for a SPA, are there any design changes between the previous and	
current Application? Yes No Not Applicable	
If Yes:	
E.1 Describe the design changes between the previous and current application:	
Le beschbe the design changes between the previous and carrent application.	
E.2 Do those changes qualify as significant: Yes No	
<i>If yes,</i> is this a submission for a revised study? Yes No Not Applicable	
F. Did the urban designer approve the type of wind study assessment method/ the location of the	
sensors/vulnerable areas: Yes No	
G. If a CFD is used for the study, did you provide a 3D model: Yes No	
H. Please attach a diagram with heights of the buildings that were used for the context of the scen	arios
that were tested	
5. Required Contents	
A. Which scenarios have been tested:	
Existing Proposed Mitigation Phases	
B. Is this a large project? Yes No	
C. Is this project with different stages? Yes No	
D. Main Areas of Interest:	
E. Data Station Used:	
6. Technical Information	. ,
A. Are you fully compliant with all of the technical specifications in the Terms of Reference and Gu	ide:
Yes No	
B. Are you fully compliant with the City criteria for comfort and safety? Yes No	
If not, please explain:	
C. Is the consultant acknowledging that this method is appropriate for this study: Yes	No

Pedestrian Level Wind Study



TORONTO Template A for Complete Application Evaluation

6. Technical Information Continued			
D. Is the consultant recommending a different method of study?	Yes	No	
If Yes:			

D.1	Please	explain:
-----	--------	----------

7. General Comments

Declaration of Consultant
۱ <u> </u>
(Print name)
certify that I have examined the contents of the application, certify that the information submitted
with it is accurate and concur with the submission of the application.
Date:
Signature of Concultants
Signature of Consultant: