

DECISION AND ORDER

Decision Issue Date: Monday, September 13, 2021

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER 45(12), subsection 45(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended (the "Act")

Appellant(s): IAN GOODWIN

Applicant(s): CZC BUILDING CONSULTANTS LTD

Property Address/Description: 33 CATALINA DR

Committee of Adjustment File

Number(s): 20 178060 ESC 24 MV (A0195/20SC)

TLAB Case File Number(s): 20 213747 S45 24 TLAB

Hearing date: May 26, 2021

Deadline Date for Closing Submissions/Undertakings:

DECISION DELIVERED BY STANLEY MAKUCH

REGISTERED PARTIES AND PARTICIPANTS

Name	Role	Representative
CZC Building Consultants Ltd.	Applicant	
Jo-Ann Wong	Owner	
Cale Duguay	Primary Owner	
Ian Goodwin	Appellant	

INTRODUCTION

This is an appeal by an adjacent neighbour of the Committee of Adjustment decision approving a minor variance for a front, second story, and rear addition. The variance is as follows:

Chapter 10.20.40.20.(1), By-law No. 569-2013.

The maximum permitted building length is 17 m. The proposed building length is 20.73 m.

BACKGROUND

The neighbour was concerned only about certain elements of the design which were addressed and he was satisfied with the submission of revised plans.

MATTERS IN ISSUE

There were no matters in issue as a result of the revised plans. Moreover, it was clear that the variance for an extension of the building by 3.73 m. met the four tests of the *Planning Act* and did not conflict with any provincial requirements, provided the building was constructed in accordance with the revised plans.

JURISDICTION

Provincial Policy – S. 3

A decision of the Toronto Local Appeal Body ('TLAB') must be consistent with the 2014 Provincial Policy Statement ('PPS') and conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe for the subject area ('Growth Plan').

Variance – S. 45(1)

In considering the applications for variances from the Zoning By-laws, the TLAB Panel must be satisfied that the applications meet all of the four tests under s. 45(1) of the Act. The tests are whether the variances:

- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan;
- maintain the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-laws;
- are desirable for the appropriate development or use of the land; and
- are minor.

EVIDENCE

The evidence presented clearly described the basis of the settlement and the plans prepared by Seven K Design filed on May 25, 2021 by H. Zhou demonstrated conformity with the *Planning Act* and provincial requirements.

ANALYSIS, FINDINGS, REASONS

Based on the plans submitted the variance should be approved on condition that construction is in accordance with the revised plans referred to above.

DECISION AND ORDER

The variance set out above is approved on condition that construction is in accordance with the plans contained in Appendix 1.

X 

S. Makuch
Panel Chair, Toronto Local Appeal

APPENDIX 1

