

Rouge Park Bridges Transportation Master Plan

Public Information

Centre #2

July 20, 2022



To commence this meeting we would like to first take a moment to acknowledge the land on which we are meeting.

This land is the traditional territory of many nations including the Mississaugas of the Credit, the Anishnabeg, the Chippewa, the Haudenosaunee and the Huron-Wendat peoples and is now home to many diverse First Nations, Inuit and Métis peoples.

We also acknowledge that Toronto is covered by Treaty 13 with the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation.



Tonight's Agenda

\rightarrow Project Overview

- → Preferred Alterative (for each site)
- \rightarrow Next Steps
- ightarrow Q&A





The City of Toronto is undertaking a Transportation Master Plan (TMP) study to determine preferred alternatives for the future of five bridges located within the Rouge National Urban Park, recognizing the need to:

- Address the deteriorating condition of the bridges;
- Maintain the rural character of the roadways and the right-of-way, consistent with City policies;
- Support the local transportation network within the Park, including access for emergency services;
- Follow heritage conservation principles at each bridge;
- Improve the safety and function of these sites for all users; and
- Mitigate potential impacts to the natural environment of the RNUP.



The Area - Rouge National Urban Park


Federal jurisdiction of Parks Canada since 2019 The City maintains ownership and responsibility for public roads and bridges on its right-of-way



Study Area

A – Sewell's BridgeB - Milne BridgeC - Hillside BridgeD - Maxwell Bridge

- **E Stotts Bridge**
- * CP Rail Bridge (secondary interest)





The Transportation Master Plan (TMP) Process

The TMP is following Approach 2 of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (MCEA) process for Master Plan studies, an approved planning process under the Ontario Environmental Assessment (EA) Act. Approach 2 includes completion of Phase 1 and 2 of the Class EA process.

Phase 1 Consu	Itation Phase 2	Recommend Preferred Solution	Consultation	TMP Final Report & 30 day public review	Phase 3	
Review Existing Conditions, Challenges & Opportunities Develop Problem & Opportunity Statement	021 Identify Alternative Solutions & Evaluation Criteria Evaluate & Select Preliminary Preferred Alternative Solution	Spring 2022	Summer 2022 We are here	Winter 2023 Conclusion of TMP Study	Future studies may be required after the TMP is completed as part of the MCEA process	

Consultation to Date

Public Information Centre #1 (October 2021)

- **Interactive online mapping (Fall 2021)**
- Phase 1 Consultation Report (Fall 2021)
- Consultation with public stakeholders and agencies, including Parks Canada, and TRCA.

Consultation with Indigenous Communities



What We've Heard

Natural Environment

- Protect species/avoid disrupting flora and fauna
- Improve runoff quality and salt management
- Improve connectivity at crossings and improve habitat
- Adhere to relevant policy documents
- Avoid Disruptions
- Minimize the level and spread of noise
- Consider lighting

Vehicles/Traffic

- Traffic management at bridges and traffic concerns (heavy traffic during rush hour)
- Replace bridges to code
- Consider if widening or increasing capacity of bridges will increase traffic flow
- EMS vehicles need to cross bridges



What We've Heard

Pedestrians & Cycling

- Improve pedestrian and cycling infrastructure on or adjacent to structures
- Increase safety for pedestrians and cyclists
- Address access by pedestrians, hikers, cyclists and casual users
- Connect to trails

Design

- Add a second, parallel bridge next to existing bridges
- Modify the steep gradients or the road itself to enhance vehicle safety

Heritage

- Enhance historical signage (especially at Milne Bridge)
- Name bridges after significant people who contributed to the park

Sewell's Bridge

- Poor sightlines unless vegetation is cut back frequently
- Rehabilitate with potential for widening
- Retain or replace to code

Milne Bridge

• Replace

Hillside Bridge

• Rehabilitate or replace to code

Maxwell Bridge

- Retain or rehabilitate with potential widening
- Blend in with trails and shoulders of the road

Stotts Bridge

Retain or rehabilitate with potential widening



Evaluation Alternatives

Retain (minimal changes)

Keep bridge in existing condition with minor repairs

May include

modest repairs to extend life improve roadway at bridge short service life extension Rehabilitate

(significant alterations)

Strengthen and alter existing bridge to improve its function

May include

add/replace components partially strengthen bridge alters appearance widen bridge modest service life extension Replace (build new, remove old)

Construct a new bridge in place of the old bridge

May include

meets current standards wider bridge accommodate cyclists long service life extension

Evaluation Criteria

Bridge Condition & Function



- \rightarrow Bridge condition
- \rightarrow Bridge life and maintenance
- \rightarrow Vehicle types
- \rightarrow Bridge safety and function

Transportation



- \rightarrow Roadway design
- \rightarrow Traffic operations
- \rightarrow Network connectivity & access
- \rightarrow Active transportation

Heritage & Archaeology



- \rightarrow Cultural heritage
- \rightarrow Built heritage
- \rightarrow Archaeological potential



Natural Environment & Hydraulics



→ Terrestrial habitat
→ Aquatic habitat
→ River conveyance

Public Uses in RNUP



→ Rouge National Urban Park
→ Toronto Zoo

Implementation



→ Complexity & Constructability
 → Cost considerations





A: Sewell's Bridge (1912)

- On Sewell's Road
- Suspension bridge (rare)
- Heritage Property (designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)
- One lane wide (drivers yield to oncoming traffic)
- Very low posted load limit (5 t)
- Fire truck & ambulance constraint
- Concrete deck and curbs



Evaluation of Alternatives – A: Sewell's Bridge



Least Preferred	Neutral	Most Preferred
×	-	

Factor Area	Retain (minor repairs)	Rehabilitate (strengthen)	Replace (remove old)
Bridge Condition & Function	Neutral	Least preferred	Most preferred
Transportation	Neutral	- Neutral	Most preferred
Heritage & Archaeology	Most preferred	Least preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment & Hydraulics	Most preferred	- Neutral	- Neutral
Public Uses in Rouge National Urban Park	Neutral	- Neutral	Neutral
Implementation (Cost and Complexity)	Most preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
Overall	Most preferred	Least preferred	- Neutral



B: Milne Bridge (1988)

- On Old Finch Avenue
- Panel bridge ("Bailey Bridge")
- Heritage Listed (monitored) by City
- One lane wide (Traffic signals at both ends of this bridge because curved roadway limits sight lines for drivers)
- Very low posted load limit (5 t)
- Fire truck & ambulance constraint
- Open metal grating for deck



Evaluation of Alternatives – B: Milne Bridge



	Heritage Archaeo		
			Natural
Least	Neutral	Most	& Hydra
Preferred	Neutrai	Preferred	Public U
	_		Nationa
			Implem
			(Cost an

Factor Area	Retain (minor repairs)	Rehabilitate (strengthen)	Replace (remove old)
Bridge Condition & Function	Least preferred	X Least preferred	Most preferred
Transportation	Neutral	Neutral	Most preferred
Heritage & Archaeology	Most preferred	- Neutral	Least preferred
Natural Environment & Hydraulics	Most preferred	Neutral	Neutral
Public Uses in Rouge National Urban Park	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
Implementation (Cost and Complexity)	Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
Overall	★ Least preferred	X Least preferred	Most preferred



C: Hillside Bridge (1917)

- On Meadowvale Road
- Pony truss
- Heritage Property (designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)
- One lane wide (drivers yield to oncoming traffic)
- Low posted load limit (15 t)
- Fire truck constraint
- Open metal grating for deck
- 2020: short-term closure for repairs



Evaluation of Alternatives – C: Hillside Bridge



Neutral

Least

Preferred

	Factor Area	Retain (minor repairs)	Rehabilitate (strengthen)	Replace (remove old)
	Bridge Condition & Function	Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
	Transportation	Neutral	Neutral	Most preferred
	Heritage & Archaeology	Most preferred	Least preferred	Least preferred
Most Preferred	Natural Environment & Hydraulics	Most preferred	Neutral	Neutral
	Public Uses in Rouge National Urban Park	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
	Implementation (Cost and Complexity)	X Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
	Overall	💢 Least preferred	X Least preferred	Most preferred



D: Maxwell Bridge (1927)

- On Twyn Rivers Drive (Evacuation route)
- Concrete arch & deck
- Heritage Property (designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)
- Two lanes wide (no shoulder)
- Very low posted load limit (3 t)
- Fire truck & ambulance constraint
- Parks Canada has notified the City about improvements to trail safety and visitor infrastructure near Maxwell Bridge and Twyn Rivers Parking Area, commencing summer 2022.



Evaluation of Alternatives – D: Maxwell Bridge



Least Preferred	Neutral	Most Preferred
×	-	

Factor Area	Retain (minor repairs)	Rehabilitate (strengthen)	Replace (remove old)
Bridge Condition & Function	- Neutral	Least preferred	Most preferred
Transportation	— Neutral	Neutral	Most preferred
Heritage & Archaeology	Most preferred	Least preferred	Least preferred
Natural Environment & Hydraulics	Most preferred	Neutral	Neutral
Public Uses in Rouge National Urban Park	- Neutral	- Neutral	Neutral
Implementation (Cost and Complexity)	Most preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
Overall	Most preferred	Least preferred	— Neutral



E: Stotts Bridge (1915)

- On Twyn Rivers Drive (Evacuation route)
- Pony truss
- Heritage Property (designated under the Ontario Heritage Act)
- One lane wide (drivers yield to oncoming traffic)
- Very low posted load limit (3 t)
- Fire truck/ambulance constraint
- Open metal grating for deck
- 2020: short-term closure for repairs



Evaluation of Alternatives – E: Stotts Bridge



Least Preferred

×


		Factor Area	Retain (minor repairs)	Rehabilitate (strengthen)	Replace (remove old)
		Bridge Condition & Function	Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
		Transportation	neutral	Neutral	Most preferred
		Heritage & Archaeology	Most preferred	Least preferred	Least preferred
		Natural Environment & Hydraulics	Most preferred	Neutral	Neutral
Neutral Preferred	Public Uses in Rouge National Urban Park	Neutral	Neutral	- Neutral	
		Implementation (Cost and Complexity)	Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred
		Overall	Least preferred	Least preferred	Most preferred

CP Rail Bridges – Sewell's Road & Meadowvale Road

- → Vertical clearance is an issue for larger trucks, including fire trucks (access)
- → Proposed short-term TMP recommendation is to lower the road
- → Future bridge replacement or upgrades would need to be considered under a separate study process in consultation with the railway




Summary of Recommendations





Next Steps: We want your feedback!

- → Receive Feedback on the Recommended Alternatives from Public and Stakeholders (Summer 2022)
- → Report to Infrastructure and Environment Committee and City Council with recommendations (Winter 2023)
- → Complete TMP Final Report (Winter 2023)
- → TMP Final Report 30 day public review period (Winter 2023)





Questions

Thank you!