



Toronto Island Park Master Plan

Meeting Summary

Open House

November 30, 2022

Canoe Landing Community Recreation Centre

Overview

On Wednesday, November 30th, 2022, from 5:30 pm to 8:00 pm, the City of Toronto's Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division (PFR) hosted an Open House as part of the Toronto Island Park Master Plan's Phase Three public engagement. The Open House was hosted at Canoe Landing Community Recreation Centre in Toronto.

Over 80 members of the public attended the Open House, including some members from the project's Community Advisory Committee as well as Ward 10 – Spadina–Fort York City Councillor, Ausma Malik. The project team in attendance included representatives from the City of Toronto's Parks Forestry and Recreation Division along with their consultants: the design team (DTAH, Trophic Design, North-South Environmental, Common Bond Collective, and

Steer), the business strategy team (fsStrategy), and the engagement team (Third Party Public and Nbisiing Consulting). See Appendix A for the full list of project staff who attended.

The purpose of the Open House was to share the Draft Master Plan, including updates to the Draft Demonstration Plan, site-specific approaches for Priority Areas and Focus Areas and the business strategy; as well as seek feedback on the Draft Master Plan, overall.

Members of the public were able to drop in to learn about the Master Plan through reading informational panels and/or speaking with City staff and subject matter experts from the project team. Participants shared feedback by submitting feedback forms, posting sticky notes on the boards, and through conversations with the project team.

An event opening took place at 6:00 pm and Lori Ellis, Senior Project Manager, Strategic Projects from PFR, thanked participants for attending and shared a land acknowledgement. Afterwards, Bob Goulais from Nbisiing Consulting shared a teaching moment about the land acknowledgment, different First Nations and their histories. Councillor Malik also shared remarks as the new Councillor for the Spadina-Fort York Ward.

This summary was written by Pragya and Jacky from Third Party Public, the independent facilitation team retained by the City of Toronto to help support community engagement for the Toronto Island Park Master Plan. This summary is not intended to be a verbatim transcript; rather, it summarizes points of feedback shared by participants at the Open House through conversations with the project team, feedback forms, and posted sticky notes.



Figure 1 - Photos from the Open House

Open House format at a glance

The Open House included [38 informational panels](#), arranged around the Multi-purpose Room #4 at Canoe Landing Community Recreation Centre, along with tables and chairs set up in the middle of the room for participants to sit and fill out feedback forms or read printed copies of the Phase Two Engagement Report. Participants walked around the room to read the informational panels and to speak with the project team. There was a sign-in table at the door where participants could opt-in for project updates and submit comment forms on their way out.

Subject matter experts from the project team, including staff from Parks, Forestry and Recreation, the design team (DTAH, Trophic Design, North-South Environmental, Common Bond Collective, and Steer) and the business strategy team (fsStrategy) were stationed by the panels most relevant to their area of expertise to speak with participants.

The first half of the panel display provided background information and highlights of the Master Plan process including: an engagement snapshot; the drivers for the Plan; and a summary of the values; vision; guiding principles that have been established through the iterative engagement process. This portion of the panel presentation also included information about the five Master Plan lenses; considering Island-wide recommendation such as the importance of Revealing an Indigenous Place and Placekeeping; the importance of the Master Plan's light-touch approach; the significance of the natural heritage areas; and considering a four-season approach to future Island activation. There were also panels that shared information about Island Access – getting to the Island; on-Island transportation; the internal waterways; the importance of the Island's cultural heritage, storytelling, and opportunities for interpretation; and future signage and wayfinding improvements; as well as the highlights and integration of the business plan.

The second half of the panel display provided information about the four Island Districts: Hanlan's, Gibraltar, Center and Wards, with additional detail provided for the Ferry Landings (Priority Areas) and Area-specific solutions (Focus Areas) – such as Snake Island, Algonquin Island, Beach Areas and Edges, to name a few.

A full map of the Island illustrating all the overall proposed Master Plan was located centrally in the room. Other panels available at the Open House included a feedback panel for participants to post their comments using sticky notes; and an interactive postcard cutout for participants to take pictures with.

In total, over 80 people attended the Open House. There were 71 people who signed-in at the door, and 177 who RSVP'd on Eventbrite. There were 18 project team members available to speak to participants. Participants submitted 27 feedback forms and posted 41 sticky notes.

Link to download the Open House panels: <https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/planning-development/construction-new-facilities/parks-facility-plans-strategies/toronto-island-park-master-plan/toronto-island-park-master-plan-have-your-say/?accordion=phase-3-engagement-events-and-activities>

Key themes in the feedback

The following points reflect key themes that emerged in the feedback shared by participants. They are intended to be read along with and complement the more detailed feedback that follows in the next section. Key themes in the feedback are summarized as follows:

- **General excitement and interest in the Toronto Island Park Master Plan.** Overall, many participants expressed support for the plan's focus on sustainability, resilience, and biodiversity, as well as its emphasis on Indigenous placekeeping and upgrading existing facilities. They said they liked year-round programming and wanted to learn more about opportunities to expand business opportunities and access year-round. Some said they particularly appreciated that the plan is intended to be a light-touch approach, and that it is not introducing significant new infrastructure or changing uses.
- **More information about implementation.** Some participants suggested that the materials should include more information about budgets, timelines, and who will be responsible for implementing, operating, and maintaining various components of the Master Plan. In general, they wanted to see how all the ideas relate to one another and how they will be implemented over time.
- **Further engage with Hanlan's Point Beach users and LGBTQ2S+ communities to evaluate and workshop proposed ideas.** Several participants shared concerns with some of the ideas presented in the Master Plan for Hanlan's Point, as well as how LGBTQ2S+ communities were engaged in the Master Plan process, in general. The concerns raised were generally about safety for beach users. These participants emphasized the importance of recognizing and protecting the beach as a significant queer space and acknowledging the beach as a site of significant importance to LGBTQ2s+ people. There were some mixed opinions about the proposed event space at Hanlan's Point. Some said it could lead to conflicts and potential homophobic attacks if event/concert goers are not aware that they are entering a clothing optional and queer space, while a few others shared support for an event space

here or elsewhere on the Island. Other feedback included concerns about overcrowding and the potential impact on wildlife. Some were also concerned about potential conflicts with beach users if parts of the beach were closed for environmental rehabilitation reasons since this beach space is particularly important for various user groups.

- **Post-Open House note from City staff:** At the Open House it became clear that it was important to have an additional meeting to share the Draft Master Plan and have an additional conversation with Hanlan's Point Beach users and the LGBTQ2s+ community. The project team is organizing a workshop to validate and advance the ideas proposed in the Draft Plan for the beach and for Hanlan's Point to ensure that the ideas being proposed are understood and meet the needs of the community, park and beach users. The workshop is being planned for at the 519 Community Centre on February 27th, 2023. For more information, contact islandmasterplan@toronto.ca
- **Balance the priorities of protecting/restoring nature while improving the visitor experience.** Some participants said it is important to prioritize protecting greenspaces and wildlife and preserving the natural character of the Island when implementing the proposed improvements. Additionally, some suggested implementing better waste management and minimizing the need for additional signage for wayfinding or other communications to avoid having too many signs.
- **Support for year-round access with increased winter activities and programing.** Some participants said they like the idea of visiting the Island year-round, and that it is important there be amenities like washrooms and shelters to support these activities in all seasons.
- **Stories and heritage on the Island are important. The Island is a culturally important place.** Throughout the Open House, a number of participants shared stories of their experiences on the Island and said the team should be aware of and collect the stories from the diversity of groups on the Island, including previous residents, the LGBTQ2S+ community, Indigenous communities, and many others – the participants said it is important to preserve and honour the different cultural histories of the Island. The Island residents also said they were important stewards of the Island and wanted to see this reflected more clearly in the Plan.
- **Appreciation for the community engagement efforts.** The Open House was well attended and there was a good flow of participants throughout the evening. Some participants said they appreciated learning about the Master Plan and speaking to project team. There were also some suggestions to conduct additional engagement with communities that make the Island so special, including the LGBTQ2S+ community and Island residents.

Detailed summary feedback

This section summarizes the feedback, advice, suggestions, concerns, and questions shared by participants through direct conversations with the project team, the 27 feedback forms submitted at the Open House, and 41 sticky notes posted on the informational panels at the Open House. See Appendix B for the feedback form that was available at the Open House.

Participant feedback is organized by:

- General feedback about the Master Plan and implementation
- Feedback about Hanlan's Point
- Feedback about Revealing an Indigenous Place
- Feedback about Supporting a Dynamic Environment
- Feedback about Improving Access and Connection
- Feedback about Enhancing Visitor Experience
- Feedback about the Open House and engagement process
- Other feedback

Participants shared the following:

General feedback about the Master Plan and implementation

- **Support for protecting and restoring nature, Indigenous placekeeping, a light-touch approach, and upgrading facilities on the Island.** Many participants said they value and appreciate the Master Plan's focus on sustainability, resilience, and biodiversity; Indigenous placekeeping and engagement, the Island ambassador program, improving how the City shares information on the Island, and upgrading existing facilities. In general, participants said the plan is very detailed. Some suggested that the areas beyond the project scope, such as the airport and the water treatment plan, should also be included in the Master Plan's scope.
- **Provide more information about the budgets and timeline for implementing the ideas.** Some participants asked the team about budgets associated and timelines for implementing the ideas in the Master Plan. There were others who wanted to learn about who would be responsible for implementing, operating, and maintaining components of the plan, and whether they will include expertise across different specialties (i.e., horticulture, climate change, etc.).

- **Priorities suggested by participants include:**

- Protecting greenspaces and wildlife, preserving the natural character, and limiting any additional commercial development or infrastructure.
- Providing additional washrooms throughout the park, as well as ensuring there are washrooms available and well maintained year-round.
- Exploring opportunities to combine public realm improvements with flood protection funding. There were a few suggestions to integrate public walkways and promenades into any flood mitigation infrastructure.
- Minimizing signage and preserving the natural aesthetic.
- Providing accessible dock facilities for paddlers in a few locations and co-locating picnic areas, washrooms, and potentially wheelchair rentals near these locations.
- Reinstating camping programs for kids, which some participants had helped with as teachers – and said this was often kids' first experience with camping and it was very rewarding to give the experience to them.
- Preserving the many different cultural histories on the Island, including previous residential communities, the LGBTQ2S+ community, and others.
- Maintaining, revitalizing, or upgrading existing facilities including: the playhouse; Storytelling place; the frisbee golf course; the turtle pond, and walkways through Algonquin island. Some, however, felt that Algonquin Island should not be developed at all.
- Modernizing Centreville, including the rides and ticketing.
- Continued engagement with a diverse set of visitors throughout the implementation of the Master Plan, in addition to Island residents.
- Management of cormorants, which may be excluding other birds and turtles from nesting in addition to being noisy, smelly, damaging to the environment and disruptive to adjacent recreational uses

- **Other details participants would like to learn more about include:**

- More information from the business strategy about proposals for year-round commercial activities and which restaurants and/amenities would be open.

- More information about water-based activities, and considerations for experienced paddlers going out and around the Island, not just internal waterways.
- Plans for boat safety in the internal waterways.
- Plans for improving waste management on the Island, including intentions on cleaning up the yards beside the water treatment plant.
- Security, safety, and emergency response times on the Island.

Feedback about Hanlan's Point

- **The safety of LGBTQ2S+ beach users needs to be a priority.** Several participants said that the safety of queer folks needs to be prioritized and better addressed through the Master Plan. These participants brought up that there have been homophobic attacks at Hanlan's Point in recent memory. There were some suggestions for cultural markers, an ambassador program and partnerships with service providers who already conduct outreach at the beach, could be a way to address some of these safety concerns. One participant suggested that there should be naloxone kits at the beach.
- **It is important to recognize and protect Hanlan's Point Beach as a queer space.** Some participants said Hanlan's holds a unique place for the LGBTQ2S+ community, and further efforts should be made in the Master Plan to recognize and protect it as such – they said they felt their inputs from previous engagement were not clearly identified in the Plan. Suggestions included providing clear signage or renaming it to strengthen that Hanlan's is a significant queer space (such as "Pride Beach"); acknowledging or recognizing the gay cruising area; preserving the bushes which are a cultural and historical part of Hanlan's queer community; and protecting the area from excessive commercialization. Some also suggested the team do additional engagement with the LGBTQ2S+ community by hosting additional focus groups and/or a pop-up in The 519.
- **A range of opinions about the proposed event space on Hanlan's.** Some participants said that creating an event space at Hanlan's might make it unsafe for the queer community because there would be more visitors coming to the area who may not be aware that Hanlan's Beach is clothing optional and that it is a culturally significant space for the queer community – they said this had resulted in conflicts in the past. They suggested that events should be limited to Olympic Island. Some other participants shared support for an event space at Hanlan's and suggested to create a permanent stage so that the turf is not destroyed due to repeated setup and tear down of stages and equipment. Others highlighted the impacts of events on the sensitive habitats in this area and that this should be considered and managed if events are continued in this area.

- **Expand clothing optional area and ensure safety, without increasing policing.** Some said the clothing optional area should be expanded and protected, with suggestions to introduce an exclusive nudist space; they said the beach that recently opened at the southern tip of Hanlan's point, is currently being used by queer people and nudist beach goers and it should be designated as a clothing optional space. Some said it is important that no new by-laws (such as noise limiting by-laws) are introduced, and police presence on the Island should not increase, since these can make the space inaccessible and unwelcoming and the community would prefer to self-regulate over increased police presence.
- **Set clear rules and expectations for bonfires at Hanlan's Point Beach.** Some said there were concerns that much of the forest and bush areas of the beach are being overused for daily bonfires. They suggested there should be signs clarifying which areas can be used for fires and which areas are ecologically sensitive and should be left undisturbed.
- **Address garbage and sand quality issues at the beach.** Some participants suggested that the beach needed a better garbage management strategy including bigger and more adequate waste receptacles. They also said the sand contains a lot of debris and should be better groomed.
- **Prioritize protecting wildlife at Hanlan's.** Some participants said Piping Plovers should be protected and their nesting areas should be buffered from others during nesting seasons; and seasonal closures should be seen as acceptable protective measures. Some, however, expressed concern about placing any limits on Hanlan's Beach because of its important value to different beach user groups. There were also a few who reported sightings of snapping turtles by Hanlan's breakwater and terns at Trout Pond. There were also some suggestions to provide additional signage to better inform users of the area why these measures are important for protecting the habitat, birds, etc.
- **Other suggestions to ensure safety at Hanlan's Point Beach included:**
 - Extending buoys further from the shore to expand the swimming area.
 - Managing noise from boats playing music and parked near the beach.
 - Ensuring privacy for the people in clothing optional areas.
 - Providing overdose kits and implementing harm reduction strategies close to the beach.
 - Enforce clothing at the clothing-mandatory beach.
 - Enforce or encourage dogs to be on-leash.

- Revegetate areas surrounding the beach to provide privacy.
- Install showers and gender neutral washrooms near the beach

Feedback about Revealing an Indigenous Place

- **Support and appreciation for the Master Plan’s focus on Indigenous placekeeping and engagement.** Many participants said they particularly appreciated the focus on Indigenous placekeeping and engagement throughout the Master Plan process. These participants liked that the focus on heritage and ecology also included protecting space for Indigenous culture as well as the park’s natural spaces.
- **Integrate Indigenous storytelling throughout the Island experience.** Some participants suggested the team consider ways to integrate Indigenous storytelling by starting the educational experience at the ferry terminals, through partnerships with the Island school, offering portaging experiences, and including Ojibway or other Indigenous languages in the signage. There were also suggestions include Indigenous plantings throughout the park and to consider the entire Island as a ceremonial space.

Feedback about Supporting a Dynamic Environment

- **Preserve the unique nature of the Island as a ‘city respite’ and ‘parkland for all’.** Many participants said the Island is a special place in the city as a green space that has changed very little. Some said to ensure that the park can be improved without changing its character or being over commercialized. There were also suggestions to create distance between public space and park space to allow for a quiet experience, especially for visitors from the city core.
- **Support for preserving and restoring nature and a light-touch approach.** Some said it is important to prioritize the preservation of the natural habitat and biodiversity on the Island with a light touch approach, especially within the ESAs on the west side of the Island and the Area of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSIs) on several parts of the Island, including Ward’s Island. There were also a few suggestions to limit watercraft and paddlers from entering Trout Pond during nesting seasons.
- **Concerns about the Cormorant population on the Island.** Some participants expressed concerns about their impact on the Island’s flora and fauna, along with concerns about noise, smell, and degradation of the tree canopy as well as reduced turtle, heron, and other bird sightings. There were also concerns about Cormorants impacting paddlers enjoying the waterway and/or mooring near Donut Island. These participants wanted to know more about what was being done to manage this new population of Cormorants and said the impacts could be devastating.

- **Support for raised boardwalks in ESAs and be mindful to avoid disturbing the environment.** In general, some participants said boardwalks in ESAs was a good way to manage access while protecting nature in natural spaces. There was also a suggestion to reconsider the proposed boardwalk through the ESA on Algonquin Island to because it will disturb the environment and there is another route along the shore that is more worn and generally used.
- **Create ‘Low Traffic Areas’ in Environmentally Significant Areas (ESAs).** Low traffic areas should be an intentional feature of the Master Plan, and should be designed and encouraged within ESAs, especially at the dunes by Gibraltar Point.
- **Focus on protecting and maintaining beaches and shorelines.** There were also some suggestions for the Master Plan to include a clear focus on protecting beaches and shorelines, highlighting that beaches are well maintained and attractive to swim in and a very popular recreational destination.
- **Preserve the bird population on the Island.** Some said it is important that any changes or new infrastructure on the Island be critically evaluated to ensure preservation of the bird population and avoid potentially disturbing the birds - the Island is a critical nesting area for birds.
- **Implement better waste management on the Island.** Some suggested the City should do more frequent clearing of overfilled waste bins, make sure garbage and recycling isn't mixed, and create a composting strategy. There was also a suggestion to educate visitors about zero-waste picnics.

Feedback about Improving Access and Connection

- **Getting to and across the Island needs to be more accessible.** Some participants suggested creating additional places to access the ferry (for example at the foot of Spadina Ave., close to CityPlace and Canoe Landing Recreation Centre), as well as offering other modes of getting to the Island, such as by walking or cycling. Additionally, access to and across the Island must be accessible for people with disabilities and available year-round. Generally, participants support providing accessible options to get across the Island. While some supported the proposed tram service, there were also suggestions to transport people by bike (i.e., rickshaw bikes or bike buses) instead of the tram.
- **Increase and improve access for a diversity of people including children, families, and seniors.** Some participants suggested to include options on the Island for non-adult-centric activities/experiences; and to encourage more school visits and learning opportunities for students. To make it more accessible for seniors, suggestions included limiting vehicles on

the Island, adding more washrooms, and having a range of accessible options to get from one side of the Island to the other.

- **Wayfinding is a critical element of getting across the Island with ease.** Some participants suggested the team to explore opportunities for creative and interactive signage. There were also suggestions to keep wayfinding signage and heritage information separate; and to ensure that washrooms appear on maps. A few suggested to consider the needs for wayfinding signage in the waterways for paddlers and ice skaters. There were also a few suggestions to carefully consider where signs are installed on the Island and to avoid “over sign-ing” or creating “signage pollution”, and one suggestion to develop a location-based “Toronto” app to provide wayfinding and important place-based information.
- **Make wandering across the Island interesting.** There were some suggestions to create new walking loops or paths and enhanced walkways and to explore opportunities to pilot ‘permeable pavements’ on the Island.
- **Consider how motorized watercrafts will be redistributed if non-motorized zones are established.** A few participants said the non-motorized zone in Long Pond will restrict access to and from the Toronto Island Marina and push commercial vessels to the pond by the lighthouse.
- **Concern about the proposed bridge to Mugg’s Island.** There was a concern that adding a bridge to Mugg’s Island will bring more people to Mugg’s Island which could impact the natural environment. There was also a suggestion that there should be a way to manage and/or mitigate people from entering the Yacht Club area, including the Club’s tennis court and amenities.
- **Other suggestions to improve getting to and across the Island include:**
 - Limit and avoid the promotion of any additional vehicle usage on the Island.
 - Create a series of bridges, trails, and promenades along the edges of the Island and internal waterways, similar to the waterfront on the mainland.
 - Provide lots of bike rentals near ferry landings.
 - Include clear markings to separate cyclists and pedestrians on multi-use trails.
 - Maintain canoe and kayaks access to Trout Pond at Gibraltar Point with the addition of new pedestrian friendly boardwalks.
 - Consider offering guided tours on the water around the Island.

- Create bridge connections across the western and eastern channels for pedestrians and cyclists. Some participants suggested to explore opportunities to create access to the Island from Ontario Place, The Ex, and the Port Lands, including utilizing the pedestrian tunnel at the airport.

Feedback about Enhancing Visitor Experience

- **Support for year-round access with increased winter activities and programming.** Some participants shared interest in seeing improved year-round access to the Island, with suggestions to create more opportunities for skating and including a skating rink and skate paths which can be enjoyed by speed skaters, as well as others. Some said it's important to provide winterized amenities like shelters and washrooms to support year-round activities.
- **Add infrastructure to support a range of activities.** Some suggested to increase opportunities for biking, canoeing, and kayaking; and that increasing these activities may also require more infrastructure such as bike stands with locks and safe storage facilities for canoes and kayaks on the Island.
- **Other suggestions to support improving the visitor experience include:**
 - More food options.
 - Increasing commercial activity and opportunities right by the ferry landings.
 - Provide large screens with information located at ferry landings.
 - Offer educational rides and experiences using the Island's transportation network.
 - Increasing camping and canoeing opportunities for kids – the Island is often the first experience of camping and canoeing for many kids in the city.
 - Spaces that are flexible and can accommodate Ultimate Frisbee games, and theatre and performance art.
 - Space for smaller watercrafts in non-motorized zones.
 - Vertical structures for views.

Feedback about the Open House and engagement process

- **Support and appreciation for the community engagement efforts.** Some participants said the panels were helpful, informative, and easy to navigate and read. They also said staff were friendly and knowledgeable, and that it was nice to attend an in-person engagement again.

There were also a few who appreciated the opportunity to learn more about the Master Plan and its intention on improving existing spaces rather than changing the uses.

- **Conduct additional engagement with targeted audiences/community groups.** Some participants said that the importance of engagement with some of the existing user groups and Island communities was not referenced or celebrated enough in the Master Plan materials, specifically engagement with the LGBTQ2S+ community and Island residents. Some participants suggested the team to consider conducting additional engagement before the Master Plan is finalized, as well as have continued engagement as the City implements the Master Plan. They suggested to host additional open houses, pop-ups, and/or other engagements on the Island and in The Village. There were a few suggestions to share more details about which groups and communities have been engaged to date throughout the process.
- **Improvements to the Open House suggested by participants include:**
 - More staff to interact with more attendees to clarify proposals since there was so much information available on the boards and some attendees spoke to specific staff for long periods of time.
 - More visuals and clearer explanations of the proposals. Some said the precedent images were not representative of the reality or constraints on the Island, and also suggested the visuals be clearer on the proposed location of activities such as formalized ice skating.
 - A formal presentation by the project team.
 - A clearer layout and flow of content around the room.
 - The QR code on the feedback form should link to an online feedback form instead of the project website.
- **Suggested edits to the informational boards:**
 - On the placekeeping board, the reference to “Toronto’s urban Indigenous communities” should be changed to “the urban Indigenous communities” since Toronto does not own them.
 - Rename “Eastern Channel” to “Eastern Gap”
 - Snake Island should not be part of the Ward’s Island District.
 - Include biodiversity in the Guiding Principles.

Other feedback

Other feedback shared by participants include:

- Create an app that educates people about the important spaces on the Island and map routes to avoid people walking off trails and disturbing natural and sensitive spaces.
- Develop an Arts and Culture Plan for the Island.
- Remove the graffiti on the Eastern Channel Dock Wall.
- The Island houses are not “cottages”, they are year-round homes.
- William Hubbard, Toronto’s first Black elected official, played an important role in the history and development of Centre Island.

Next steps

Feedback from participants at the Open House will inform the Toronto Island Park Master Plan team’s refinements to the Draft Master Plan. The Draft Master Plan will be reviewed by City Staff and PFR for finalization, with a report to Council in 2023.

The informational panels from the Open House will be installed at City Hall and Metro Hall in early 2023 for additional public viewing.

Appendix A:

List of staff in attendance

City of Toronto, Parks, Forestry & Recreation Division

David O'Hara, Manager, Park Design & Strategic Capital Initiatives

Lori Ellis, Senior Project Manager – Park Design, Parks Development + Capital Projects

Pablo Muñoz, Senior Public Consultation Coordinator

Alex Deighan, Manager, Partnership and Business Services

Karyn Lau, Senior Project Manager, Client and Business Services

Fred Martin, Senior Project Manager, Indigenous Affairs Office

Elected Official's Office

Councillor Ausma Malik – Ward 10, Spadina-Fort York

Abra Rissi – Ward 10 Councillor, Chief of Staff

Consultant teams

Design team

DTAH:

Victoria Bell

James Roche

Alexandra Walker

Jake Yin

Trophic Design:

Terence Radford

North-South Environmental:

Sarah Mainguy

Common Bond Collective:

David Deo

Ellen Kowalchuk

Steer:

David Kopulos

Business strategy team

fsSTRATEGY:

Jeff Dover

Engagement team

Third Party Public:

Jacky Li

Pragya Priyadarshini

Nbisiing Consulting:

Bob Goulais

Appendix B

Open House Feedback Form



Tell us what you think!

What part of the Draft Master Plan do you value most, and why?

Is there anything missing in the Draft Master Plan, or anything you would like to see changed?

What would you like to see prioritized in the Draft Master Plan, and why?

Remember to drop off this comment form on your way out. For any other questions or comments, email: islandmasterplan@toronto.ca

Tell us how we did!

Did you find the Open House helpful? What was the most helpful part?

How did you find out about this Open House?

- Social media
- E-mail
- Word of mouth
- Other *(please specify)*:

Would you like to sign up for project updates?

- Yes
- No

Name: _____

E-mail: _____

Notice of Collection Statement

Parks, Forestry and Recreation collects personal information under the legal authority of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, SO 2006, Chapter 11, Schedule A, sections 8 and 136 (c) and City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 608, Parks. Your name and email address will only be used to keep you informed about the progress of the Island Stories campaign and the Toronto Island Master Plan. Questions about this collection can be directed to the Manager, Public Consultation, Metro Hall, 55 John Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5V 3C6, or by telephone at 416-338-3020.

View the boards online and learn more about Toronto Island Park Master Plan at:



[Toronto.ca/IslandMasterPlan](https://toronto.ca/IslandMasterPlan)